

Review of: "Urban Green Infrastructure Planning for the Bangkok Metropolitan Region: An Empirical Study for Greenspace Expansion"

Grigorios L. Kyriakopoulos¹

¹ National Technical University of Athens

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The Abstract section can be accompanied by relevant data or quantitative information that is representative of the study, analysis, and findings. Besides, 1-2 concluding sentences can be added to succinctly denote the specific constraints and the wider applicability issues that have been derived from the research outcomes through coupling AHP and GIS. Moreover, the chronology of the utilized data can be mentioned in this early step of the study.
2. The missing section 2, Literature Review, can be added. Besides, the lengthy narrative of section 1, Introduction, can be reorganized into 2-3 subsections, per key aspect of the covered theory. The same reorganization in subsections is recommended for section 5, Discussion.
3. Extended text extracts of sections 2 and 3 can be checked and cross-cited, thus better validating their statements.
4. When figures are composed by smaller subgraphs, then a numbering of as a, b, c,....., can be given, and one explanatory paragraph per each subgraph can be provided. In such a way, the embodied information and the distinct visual characteristics from one subgraph to the other can be signified, enabling also a better understanding by the readers.
5. In case figures and tables have been retrieved or adopted from other published media, then their source citations can be added in their captions-legends.
6. The Conclusions section can be numbered as 6. Conclusions.
7. In the References section, the bulleted formatting can be removed since citations have been already and correctly presented in alphabetical order by initials of the last name of the first author throughout the citations' list.