Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "Jeopardizing Happiness. “No One Can Coerce Me To Be Happy in His Way”"

Yuval Eytan[1]

1. Columbia University, United States

The article presents an interesting and original perspective. However, I believe it would benefit from a more systematic clarification of the initial typology of philosophical approaches to happiness. For instance, it would be helpful to first distinguish between **subjective and objective conceptions of happiness**, and then to further classify the views into **pre-modern and modern perspectives** accordingly. This would provide a clearer framework for understanding the various positions presented.

Regarding the discussion of Kant, the treatment feels somewhat incomplete. It remains unclear what the author’s own stance is in relation to Kant’s view. Did Kant indeed propose a fully **subjective conception of happiness**? If so, one might ask why he takes the trouble to define the concept of happiness in such detail throughout his systematic writings. A more precise engagement with Kant’s position—and how it fits within the broader debate between subjective and objective views—would significantly enrich this section.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

doi.org/10.32388/16KPTY