

Review of: "Examining GITAM (Deemed to be University), Hyderabad Campus for Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Measures: A Foundation Towards a Safe CPTED Exterior Campus Model"

Meenal Kumar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Is the question or issue clearly stated?

Yes the questions and issues are clearly stated

2. Is the significance of the work clearly stated?

No, the abstract says significant issues have not yet arisen, how does the author justifies this statement in paper is missing, has prier survey done for this.

3. If relevant, are the method, data collection, and analysis procedures well-designed and appropriate to the question addressed?

The Significance of using CPTED method needs to be elaborated through various studies, if possible with respect to Indian context.

On what basis the questionnaire has been framed, need to support it with relevant parameters.

The author has reviewed perception of only 5 people which is insufficient for the research purpose, even to test the questionnaire minimum sample of 15 to 20 person feedback is needed, also data analysis method needs to be refined with proper statistical tools.

4. Is the work original? Does it present new data?

Yes work is original and can present new data.

5. Are the conclusions justified in relation to the data and/or analyses?

Yes the conclusions are justified, but need to be more concise and apparent.

6. Is the abstract written clearly and organized well?

The abstract needs to be curt and pertinent giving, don't add examples in abstract.

7. Is the topic of scientific, methodological or theoretical importance?



Yes the topic is of methodological and theoretical importance.

8. Is the paper timely in terms of current issues of interest?

Yes , the paper can provide relevant data for present and further studies, if properly oriented.

Qeios ID: 1R4QSE · https://doi.org/10.32388/1R4QSE