

Review of: "Evaluation of Chemical Content and Phytochemical Composition of Yemeni Almond Cultivars"

Muhammed Akif Açıkgoz¹

¹ Ordu University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Evaluation

"Evaluation of Chemical Content and Phytochemical Composition of Yemeni Almond Cultivars"

Does the title reflect the subject matter of the article clearly and exactly? /yes

Does the abstract reflect the aim scope and conclusions of the article? / yes

Is the text of the article clear and flawless as per the grammar rules? / yes

Has the author presented the subject of the article in a proper methodological perspective? / yes

Has the author presented the aim of the article? / yes

Is the method used in the research appropriate? / yes

Has the author presented the result of the article sufficiently? / no

Are the comments and analysis of the subject covered by the author sufficient? / yes

Are the resources which are referred in the article shown properly in the bibliography? / no

Is the study prepared in accordance with scientific publication ethics? / -

Does the article have an original contribution to knowledge and the field? / yes

My Decision- Major Revision

-The keywords should be revised according to the journal writing rules and should be rearranged in alphabetical order

- in vitro should be written in italics (including Ref.)

- There is a lot of unnecessary information in the introduction. Authors should examine the subject in the introduction, taking into account the title of the study, and write the purpose part. There is a lot of unnecessary information in this paragraph. The introduction should be rewritten in line with the title and unnecessary information should be avoided (In Yemen, almonds are one of the most important ...)

-The abstract should be a mini article, including introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and recommendations, re-write

introductory part is not enough, it is not clearly stated why this study was done, why this study was needed. should be discussed in the light of previous studies, deficiencies should be criticized

-I found the discussion section weak, there are insufficient references, although there are many studies on the subject.

-Al jawfi et al, 2014- There are errors in the use of citations, they should be re-checked according to the journal spelling rules (Al jawfi et al.(there is a point), 2014)

- materials and methods

Soil analysis of the sampled areas provides important information about the growing conditions. It is useful to give it. Why were so many references used in determining the total phenol content? A single method should be given to eliminate confusion. Otherwise, it may be thought that the differences are due to the analysis method.

Yours sincerely,

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muhammed Akif Açıkgöz