

**Open Peer Review on Qeios** 



# The "Africa Rising": An Empirical Analysis of the Determinants of Per-Capita Growth

Francesco Scalamonti<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Perugia

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Abstract

In developing economies, the race toward inclusive development has prompted researchers to reconsider the drivers of growth in view of achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This research note has the purpose to explore the determinants of African growth after analysing reference literature to select the explanatory variables.

This paper attempts to identify the robust drivers of growth of 54 African countries over the period 2010-2019, where the data source is more complete. As a data source, the main international organisations (UN, WB, IMF) have been considered.

We employ a system dynamic panel estimator (GMM-sys) without and with added exogenous regressors for a robustness check. As far as we know, we have not found any studies analysing the determinants of growth in a panel of 54 African countries and/or using GMM estimators with data referring to the last decade. We have used the real per-capita GDP as a dependent variable.

Our findings indicate that, in addition to initial conditions of the per-capita GDP, different macroeconomic factors such as fixed investments, inflation, openness, productivity, unemployment, received remittance, external debt, and terms-of-trade such as imports and exports from or to HDCs, and imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia are robustly correlated with African growth. We conclude that institutional and business environments are particularly important in explaining African growth.

# Francesco Scalamonti

Master's Degree at Perugia University

Email: scala\_t@libero.it

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2960-0133

Keywords: development, African growth, globalisation.

JEL Codes: C23, F15, O11.

# 1. Introduction

Since the new millennium, Africa has had considerable GDP growth that has more than doubled. Over the last decade, six of the fastest-growing economies in the world were in Africa. "Africa rising" has prompted academics and analysts to reconsider the issue of African economic growth. This is affecting firms' strategies, and those from developed countries are seeking to enter these emerging markets (Ferrucci et al., 2018; Scalamonti, 2022; Abdu et al., 2022).

African countries that gained independence from colonial rule in the sixties experienced their own model of cultural, social, and economic development. According to the theory of development, all societies advance through similar stages of development, and this means that underdeveloped countries today are in the same condition as developed countries were in the past (Marini, 2004; Schwab, 2014, 2015). This means that underdeveloped countries are not merely a primitive version of developed countries, but they are unique in their features and structures (De Jong, 2009; Hofstede et al., 2010).

Underdeveloped countries can accelerate their development, for instance, by implementing structural reforms, by attracting capital flows, and by enhancing technology transfers, as well as, they have increased the integration along global value chains.

Although the political and macroeconomic framework of African countries can be unstable, nonetheless, these emerging markets are often considered an opportunity, anyway with a given operative risk. In other words, labour markets may be inadequately regulated, the rule of law may be poor, and corruption may be high, logistical difficulties may depend on infrastructural deficiencies, or trade



may be difficult due to the absence of codes of conduct and best practices.

Can African markets grow and break with their colonial trading past? On the other hand, can Africa successfully integrate into the global economy as it has in other areas of the world?

Despite the difficulties, many African countries are encouraging drawing up action agendas and implementing structural reforms (Nafziger, 2012; Kuada, 2014; Mazrui and Wiafe-Amoako, 2015; Mol et al., 2017; Heshmati, 2017, 2018; Oluwatayo and Ojo, 2018; Wiafe-Amoako, 2021).

In the last decade, Africa has experimented with high levels of growth, but there are still governance weaknesses. This means that debate on the determinants of African growth is central for economists and scholars. Economies in transition, such as emerging and developing, are experiencing an evident socio-economic dynamism, and, in the near future, they will have to face the challenge of change, as much as developed countries will have to do (Dallago and Casagrande, 2023). Globalisation has broken the consolidated production paradigms, and new business opportunities have emerged around the world.

This has increased competition between firms to enter global value chains, and the pressure on emerging markets. Those African trade with developed countries primarily raw materials and commodities, while, if these are traded with developing countries, they are dutiable.

The state of crisis that began in 2008 has never stopped. Former, the sovereign debt crisis in the Eurozone, then, the uprisings in the Middle East and North Africa, and now, the global pandemic caused by Covid-19, the imbalances in the US-China relationship, and the Russia-Ukraine war are seriously damaging the world economy. Advanced economies are growing slowly, or risk a new recession, as they have begun to suffer from growing internal imbalances and income disparity risking compromising the long-run economic and social stability (IMF, 2020, 2021, 2022).

Interest in African emerging markets is growing at least for three reasons: (i) governance in developed and developing countries, especially in Eastern and Southern Asia, is concerned about ensuring the supply of strategic raw materials to manufacturing industries; (ii) the so-called "African lions" – Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Mozambique, Nigeria, and South Africa – have experienced fast growth (IMF, 2019); (iii) it expects that the African Continental Free Trade Area Agreement-AfCFTA will increase income in countries by at least 9% by 2035 (World Bank, 2020, 2022). Multilateral and free trade agreements will be important for the success of African countries, as a consequence of the economic and geopolitical processes that are affecting the globalised world.

Therefore, our research note has the purpose of exploring the determinants of African growth in a panel of 54 counties after analysing reference literature to select the explanatory variables. The rest of the paper has been structured as follows: (i) the reference literature, (ii) the data analysis, (iii) the conclusions.

#### 2. The reference literature

We have found the reference literature to select the explanatory variables of African growth from the online search enginediscovered.ed.ac.uk developed by the University of Edinburgh, by inserting the following title key: Africa growth; filter: gross domestic product, time frame: 2011-2022, articles' type: business and economics. A clustering of the reference literature on African growth is shown at Table A.1 in the Annex.

Development economists have produced many empirical studies about the drivers of growth, however, their findings can change over time and based on the considered countries. As a result, authors can differently explain growth, based on the specific research questions or analysis methods they adopt. From the reference literature, it emerges that differences in economic policy among countries can explain their gaps in economic growth.

Many empirical studies on growth have been influenced by the neoclassical model. These studies start from the initial income level and extend their analysis to include factors such as government policies related to human capital formation, technology diffusion, population growth, and the geographical location of countries. Despite the vast literature on growth, the mechanics of development remain central in the economic debate. While empirical works have identified a number of variables correlated with development, an important issue that remains open is the lack of an unequivocal theoretical framework about what the determinants of growth are with reasonable certainty. Starting from the model by Solow (1956, 1957) various reasons have emerged.

These are not necessarily mutually exclusive, and have not an order of importance. Therefore, given the existence of multiple and possible repressors, a specific approach to analyse the growth determinants could be to research robust empirical relations for African growth over the last decade.

Over the last decade, the debate on the primacy of human development is increase, however, empirical works focus on explaining why some countries have experienced rapid long-term growth rates in per-capita income, while others have not achieved the same performance. In the economic growth theory, relatively small differences in cross-country growth rates, when cumulated over one or more generations, can have significant consequences on countries' living standards, and the role of governance and institutional environment on development is a widely debated research topic.

The relationship between the geographical localisation of countries and growth is also wide. The geographical factors can have little effect on growth after controlling for the country's institutional framework. In other words, these effects can be indirectly grasped by the institutional and business environment variables. The experience of countries where institutional and business environment is weak, or where governance has collapsed, has shown that growth is significantly lower than otherwise.

In sum, the neoclassical economic theory considers capital accumulation as a driver of growth, but endogenous growth models have also highlighted the key role of employment, productivity, human capital formation, and technology, as a result, unemployment and low knowledge capital cause slow growth (Lucas, 1988; Romer, 1990; Grossman and Helpman, 1990, 1991; Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 1992,1997). Development can depend on public and private investments, foreign direct investments, and international aid. The latter, if granted on the basis of the level of development reached by the recipient countries, has proven to be better. Moreover, in a globalised world, a part of the trade is along global value chains. For example, African trade with China has intensified as a result of diplomatic actions in countries rich in natural resources.

The neoclassical economic models consist of a set of assumptions useful for analysing market behaviour with an adequate mathematical formalisation. Under its assumptions, the appropriate unit of analysis is the individual consumer or firm rationally choosing among available alternatives according to preferences, by maximizing the utility function or profit. Therefore, preferences are exogenous to models, transaction costs are null, and information is perfectly available as the theorem by Coase (1960) implies.

However, this can be deceptive, in that each individual or firm always knows the quality of offered goods and their prices. Nonetheless, the neoclassical framework is a fundament in economic

Alternatively, two main theories of economic development emerged in the second half of the XX century. On the one hand, there is the "modernisation theory" grasping from the cultural and



technological differences among countries the explanation of their underdevelopment (Sadik-Zada, 2021). The capitalistic way should be a solution for underdeveloped economies and technology is the most important factor in the development analysis, while cultural differences and traditionalist behaviours can be an obstacle to progress and innovation. On the other hand, there is the "dependency theory", suggesting that underdevelopment can be explained in part by the exploitation of poor countries by the rich ones, due to the globalisation that privileges some countries at the expense of others (Sadik-Zada, 2023). South countries rich in natural resources remain underdeveloped to the advantage of developed ones exporting cheaply raw materials, which are then processed in developed countries, and then sold in developing countries as manufacturing goods with a higher value-added. In most ex-colonised countries exists a dependency on trade with excolonising countries, properly traced to this past experience shaped the economic relations. In the past, import substitution policies with locally manufactured products and inter- and intra-regional trade agreements were adopted as solutions to this order of issues.

In conclusion, from our clustering over the reference literature, the most questioned variable in empirical studies is the governance climate. The institutional level reached by countries affects their business environment. In other words, there is a positive nexus between the quality of the institutional and business environment with growth, but it requires sound governance (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2012; Acemoglu et al., 2019; Babajide et al., 2021; Festré, 2021; Glegg et al., 2021; Lin, 2021; Razin, 2022). Indeed, studies suggest that productivity, innovation, and a stable macroeconomic framework can affect growth based on the quality of the institutional and business environment in the country.

A clustering on the reference literature has been useful to determine which explanatory variables to include in econometric models. We develop our models starting from the macroeconomic determinants of growth most frequently used in empirical studies, such as openness, inflation, unemployment, external debt, net-ODA, FDI inflows, received remittances, natural resource rent, urbanisation, public expenditure, fixed and human capital formation, innovation, productivity, and last but not least the governance climate. Furthermore, we want to consider how the global interdependencies across markets can contribute to explaining African growth (Cooper and Barro, 1997). In other words, growth can create the conditions for a country to have a competitive advantage in trade with other countries. Trade and growth can be dependent or independent, otherwise, when there is a negative relationship between them, this can depend on the imports being higher than exports.

As a result, it seems to us necessary to include in our framework of analysis proxy variables for African countries' merchandise trade across clusters of developed and developing countries as World Bank Group researchers have classified them.

Additionally, the academic and political debate on the possible trade-off between efficiency in resource allocation and public interventionism in the economy led us to separately consider in our models the explicative variables.

Finally, we have found studies analysing growth with the generalised method of moment (GMM), but as far as we know, there are no studies analysing growth with a panel of 54 African countries and/or using GMM estimators over the period 2010-2019.

# 3. The data analysis

## 3.1. Dataset and econometric models

We have used a set of explanatory variables extracted from the UN-dataset (UNCTAD and UNDP), and the WB-dataset (World Development Indicators-WDI and World Governance Indicators-WGI) over the period 2010-2019 for all 54 African countries. Time-series have been integrated, when necessary, for a few missing values (2%) with data from the IMF (World Economic Outlook-WEO), otherwise from secondary sources (CIA-World Factbook's country surveys). A panel-dataset allows us to explore not only the cross-sectional dimension but also the time-variant one. As a result, the reliability of our panel-dataset based on its completeness is at 98%. At Table A.2 in the Annex, we show the main descriptive statistics and proxies for the variables used. An acceptable level of variability over the time dimension exists, while the cross-sectional dimension shows a higher level of this for some variables. In the Annex always at Table A.3, we show the statistical associations between variables that are non-excessive for the dependent variables, particularly, and among the regressors, generally.

With panel data, unobserved heterogeneity needs to be addressed by applying the within transformation (or demeaning process), as in fixed effects models, otherwise by taking first differences, if the second dimension of the panel is a proper time-series. The ability of first differencing to remove unobserved heterogeneity also underlies the family of estimators that have been developed for dynamic panel data models. These models contain one or more lagged dependent variables allowing for the modelling of a partial adjustment mechanism.

A serious difficulty arises with the fixed effects model in the context of a dynamic panel data model particularly with "small T and large N" (Nickell, 1981). This arises because the demeaning process which subtracts the individual's mean value of y and each x from the respective variable creates a correlation between regressor and error. Therefore, the first difference transformation removes both the constant term and the individual effect. First-differencing the equation removes the unobserved individual effect and its associated omitted-variable bias.

To simultaneously address both omitted variable bias and issues of endogeneity, we have used the GMM estimator for panel data. Using a GMM to estimate growth models is certainly nothing new. Nevertheless, firstly, it corrects for the omitted variable bias, eliminating the need to make any probabilistic assumptions on the country effect, Secondly, it eliminates the inconsistency arising from the potential endogeneity of the regressors. Indeed, the GMM estimator addresses both estimation problems under the assumption that the lagged values of the regressors are valid instruments.

Finally, the GMM-system estimator exploiting additional moment restrictions has better properties in finite samples than the GMM-difference estimator (Blundell and Bond, 1998). In other words, the GMM estimator can provide consistent results in the presence of different sources of endogeneity, such as unobserved heterogeneity, simultaneity, dynamic endogeneity, and in finite samples. We have computed over the time-series 2010-2019 the average value every two years, thus having in mean-stationary time-series of five time-units.

The "two-step" GMM applies forward orthogonal deviations, which means that instead of subtracting the previous observations of a variable from its current value, the "two-step" GMM subtracts the average of all future available observations of a particular variable (Roodman, 2009a). By using a "two-step" GMM, researchers can prevent unnecessary data information loss. In the case of a balanced panel dataset, a "two-step" GMM provides more efficient and consistent estimates for the involved coefficients (Arellano and Bover, 1995). In other words, this estimator allows to correct endogeneity when using a panel-dataset with variables that are potentially endogenously determined (Bond et al., 2001). By using the orthogonality conditions, the GMM estimators allow efficient estimation even in the presence of heteroscedasticity of unknown form.

Therefore, we have adopted the "two-step" GMM-system estimator, as this procedure is more efficient than the differencing, especially for a panel dataset like ours, where N is greater than T. The GMM-sys extended the difference model by adding equations in levels to the regressions run in the first differences, and this allows the introduction of additional instruments.



Specifically, by using lagged levels as instruments for first difference equations and the lagged first differences as instruments for level equations. In other words, for endogenous variables in levels, their own lagged differences serve as instruments, thus the additional moment conditions efficiency is increased. This means the modelling also takes care of finite sample bias, if it exists an inverse causality issue, the variables are highly persistent and are used as weak instruments for the first differences. We have also used the finite sample bias correction by Windmeijer (2005) for robust standard errors in the models.

Finally, an unbiased GMM estimator depends on the validity of the instruments and maintaining the number of this below the number of groups is a good rule of thumb (Roodman, 2009 b).

Instruments should be correlated with endogenous instrumented variables while conforming to the orthogonality condition to prevent errors (Baum, 2003). A high p-value for Sargan and Hansen is a confirmation of the correct specification of models under the null hypothesis of non-overidentification and instrumental validity.

On the one hand, Sargan relies on the assumption of homoscedastic errors, but this puts limitations on the strength of the test when the assumption is weak. On the other hand, the test is not exposed to the same instrumental proliferation weaknesses as the Hansen test.

Based on our heterogeneous dataset, there is a high probability of idiosyncratic shocks in each country, and there is a potential violation of the homoscedasticity assumption. To consider the Sargan test alone may be misleading, while considering both tests can be more convenient. However, the Hansen test is better for our case. The Sargan test is associated with not robust estimates, but is not weakened by many instruments, while, the Hansen test is associated with robust estimates, but can be weakened by the instrument proliferations.

To account for the possibility of the model's uncertainty bias, we adopt a univariate approach with the strictly exogenous regressor. The model uncertainty bias arises when the lack of clear theoretical guidance on the choice of likely regressors results in a wide set of possible model specifications. As a result, will be a high risk of incurring arbitrary model selection and eventually incurrect inference.

Therefore, as a robustness check, an instrumental approach with the  $z_i$  variables strictly exogenous has been used. As instrumental variables, the governance climate, ICT diffusion, and squared urban population have been used.

The dependent variable is real per-capita GDP, and the dynamic specification is given by the same dependent variable with one order of lags into models. We have estimated models with regressors at time t, and t-1 to consider the eventual lagged effect of macroeconomic policies on variables, or the persistent effect on trade. The models have been estimated with the open-source statistical software Greti, as below [11]:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha y_{i,t-1} + \beta x_{i,t} + \delta x_{i,t-1} + \theta_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}; \quad [1]$$

where,  $y_{,}$  is the dependent variable;  $y_{,-1}$  is the dependent variable with one order of lags on the right side of the equation  $x_{,}$  and  $x_{,-1}$  are time-variant explanatory variables;  $\theta$  is the constant in the equation grasping the fixed effects;  $\lambda$  is the idiosyncratic unobserved time-specific effects to prevent a contemporaneous correlation due to time-related shocks; finally,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\delta$  are the coefficients that want to be estimated, \_\_\_\_ is the idiosyncratic individual and time-specific error terms in the regressions.

## 3.2. Findings and interpretation

Estimated models with the significant variables are shown in Tables 1 (a, b), and A.4 (a, b) in the Annex. In Annex, models with the robustness check are shown. The real per-capita GDP with one order of lags is the significant variable with the highest magnitude in all models. It is expected of us coherently to the literature on growth. This is clear confirmation that the dynamic approach is suitable for capturing the effects of past policies on growth.

Inflation could be expected to negatively impact growth. In fact, it is not uncommon to find it associated with a more unstable economic system (Kagochi et al., 2013; Asongu, 2014; Walle, 2014).

However, its significance and positive magnitude for the variable with one order of lags can be affected by competitive devaluations in the related foreign exchange markets implemented by policymakers to encourage import-export, otherwise, it can be related to short-term assessments of labour market efficiency. As well, external debt has been found to be significant, which means that African countries have had a need to finance their growth recurring to founds of international organisation financing development (Mbate, 2013; Kedir et al., 2017; Mensah et al., 2019; Ehigiamusoe and Lean, 2020; Idun, 2021). By finding it significant, it may be a confirmation of debt overhang theories according to larger levels of accumulated debt stocks initially leading to lower growth, as captured by the variable with one order of lags. However, it should be highlighted that only in the neoclassical models, allowing for perfect capital mobility and the ability to borrow and lend capital without constraint, an excess of debt can lead to a positive effect on growth, but this may also be unrealistic due to several macroeconomic backlash effects.

Openness is significant and it is not uncommon for more liberalised economies to be better positioned along global value chains, for instance, benefiting from positive externalities on productivity by learning-by-doing in trade, or by collaborations and competition on international markets (Chang and Mendy, 2012; Elhiraika et al., 2014; Brueckner and Lederman, 2015; Koomson-Abekah and Nwaba, 2018; Osei et al., 2019; Udeagha and Ngepah, 2021; Abdu et al., 2021). However, a rapid openness can also damage development, if it does not occur in the appropriate way and at the right time, especially in developing countries, where they tend to specialise in traditional productions or in industries where innovation is not the core, thus, by becoming more vulnerable to external negative shocks. This means the openness effect on growth can be ambiguous in developing countries, and more openness can have a crowding-out effect on domestic growth and investments. Nonetheless, more openness can increase productivity, facilitate the manufacturing industry's upgrading, promote technological and institutional advancement, and finally, increase capital accumulation, as a result, intermediate manufacturing imports and goods exports rise.

Therefore, in line with our expectations, the gross fixed investments and also unemployment rate have been found significant (Seetanah and Rojid, 2011; Calderon and Boreux, 2016; Shittu et al., 2020). Gross fixed investment has been found with a positive magnitude, while the unemployment rate and it with one order of lags have been found with a negative and positive magnitude, respectively. Gross fixed investment and unemployment rate are variables related to income equation and labour market efficiency.

Remittances can have an effect on the economy to which they are directed through the Keynesian multiplier. Even if all the income is consumed by the households that received the remittances, this would indirectly stimulate the exogenous component of demand, as there will be a general increase in aggregate income. This suggests that migrant workers' earnings proxied by received remittance with one order of lags are positively contributing to African growth (Adusah-Poku, 2016).

Institutional quality and stability positively influence the countries' growth. Improvements in the institutional and business environment can produce spillover effects on growth. As a result, the governance climate is an important indicator of the level of development reached by a country, and it has been found significant and with a positive sign.



The macroeconomic variables related to public spending, such as government, health and military expenditure have been also found significant (Seetanah and Rojid, 2011; Pinkovskiy and Salai-Martin, 2014). Government expenditure is a proxy for the governance's bureaucratic size, and it is often associated with a negative impact on growth due to the issue of available resource allocation (Arizala et al., 2020). The health expenditure and military one with one order of lags have been found significant and positively and negatively impacting growth, respectively, although the second without the lag has had a positive impact (Ahmed, 2012; Akhmat et al., 2014; Shaaba and Ngepah, 2018). However, assessing these impacts should be difficult, especially in economies with a permanent or semipermanent war and riots, or because they could be related to other unconsidered exogenous variables (Mijiyawa, 2013; Nsiah et al., 2016; Boreux and Calderon, 2016; Franses and Welz, 2022).

Finally, growth can be associated, among other determinants, with geographical and cultural factors, or with ethnic fragmentation grasped by constant in the equations. However, after controlling for instrumental variables, we have found less and non-significant direct effects of fixed effects in the sample of African countries. If we do not consider this approach, instead, it exists a significant relationship between country fixed effects and growth in the African sample. This result shows that geographical factors can have little effect on growth after controlling for other country factors.

In Table 1b, we show models with the proxy variables for African countries' merchandise trade across clusters of developed and developing countries as classified by World Bank Group researchers. The significant proxy variables of the African merchandise trade are the imports and exports from or to the cluster of HDCs lead by US economy, as well as the exports to the cluster of developing economies in Europe or Central-Asia, and Eastern-Asia or Pacific where the Russian and Chinese economy lead, respectively. Additionally, imports from developing economies in Southern Asia led by India, have been found significant. Other significant evidence has not been found for the remaining clusters.

We also point out that among the macroeconomic variables (Table 1a), natural resource rent, FDI inflows, human capital formation, urban population, net-ODA, and ICT diffusion have not been found significant.

Countries rich in natural resources are usually characterised by their high dependency on them, their low economic diversification, and the volatility of their commodity prices and revenues. As a result, a negative sign for the variable with one order of lags refers to a crowding-out effect, i.e., the Dutch disease due to an abundance of natural resources and raw materials.

In developing and emerging economies, FDI inflows can contribute to growth in a different way, but proofs of their effect can be contrasting (Poku, 2016; Shittu et al., 2020; Hagan and Amoah, 2020). We have found evidence that FDI inflows with one order of lags positively affect growth. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the estimated coefficients is very low. It can depend on the complementarity degree, or the substitution effect between FDIs and the other foreign capital – such as net-ODA and received remittance, or the countries' domestic policies on physical investments and human capital formation. Otherwise, it can be caused by increased competition in markets. As a result, their net effect can be positive even if the substitution effect has crowded-out domestic fixed investment

Human capital formation is a proxy for more training, or a higher educational level usually associated with higher growth (Kagochi et al., 2013; Kayaoglu and Naval, 2017; Ibrahim, 2018; Anetor, 2020; Nwani, 2021). Nonetheless, their effects can have a direct and indirect impact on growth and can be positive, negative, or neutral.

The urban population growth may be related to the extension of urban areas, and the development of global cities following an increase in income (Bruckner, 2012; Onjala and K'Akumu, 2016; Oluwatayo and Ojo, 2018). The non-significance found for the urban population growth can be due to the neo-Malthusian theory suggesting that population growth can hinder development, as larger households have to spend more on public utilities, by eroding their savings or limiting investments. However, the impacts of demographic growth on development can vary considerably by country based on economic and institutional policies.

The effect of net-ODA comes with a negative sign. International aid can crowd out growth if it is not effectively directed by governance toward social and economic progress or poverty reduction (Alemu and Lee, 2015; Adusah-Poku, 2016; Cai et al., 2018). In other words, democracy and political rights promote growth, while the protectionism of the elite's interests depresses it (Gaibulloev and Sandler, 2011; Narayan et al., 2011; Bertocchi and Guerzoni, 2012; Jaunky, 2013; Fayissa and Nsiah, 2013; Ahlerup et al., 2016; Toh, 2016; Epaphra and Kombe, 2017; Ogbubor et al., 2020).

Although the use of new technologies such as the internet and mobile devices has been found significant in previous studies, this may depend on the variable used as a proxy (Batuo, 2015; Donou-Adonsou et al., 2016; David, 2019; David and Grobler, 2020; Ngameni et al., 2022). According to Haftu (2019), African societies still lag behind in the adoption of new information and communication technologies, however, we have found ICT diffusion with a positive sign.

The Sargan and Hansen tests, Wald tests on regressors and time-dummies, as well as respecting the rule of thumb to maintain the number of instruments less than the number of cross-sectional units, demonstrate the reliability of our estimations in models.

Finally, after a robustness check as shown in the Annex at Table A.4 (a, b), our findings indicate that in addition to initial conditions of the per-capita GDP, the fixed investments, inflation, openness, productivity, unemployment, received remittance, external debt, and terms-of-trade such as imports and exports from or to HDCs, and imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia are robustly correlated with African growth.

Here, we also point out that productivity reflects the manufacturing industry's ability to add value to outputs. Higher productivity has important implications for growth. Although the variable shown in the below models is significant, it has a negative sign. This effect can depend on the positioning of countries' manufacturing systems along the global value chains, primarily on that of traditional industries.

<b>Fable 1.</b> GMM-sys with $x_i$ strictly exogenous regressor. (a)																
	Real pe															
(a)	Coeff.	Std.Err.		Constant	Time Dummies	Standard Error	Wald-Test	Time Dummies Wald-Test (p-value)	AR (2) Test (p-value)	Non- Observa-tions (%)	Observa-tions (%)	Sargan Test (p-value)	Hansen Test (p-value)	Instru- ments		
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.917	0.064	***													
FDI Inflows	-0.001	0.008														
FDI Inflows (t-1)	0.006	0.010														
				yes	yes	0.107	0.000	0.000	0.489	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.149	0.336	15		



Real ner-cenite CDS (4.4)	0.000	0.057	***											
Real per-capita GDP (t-1) Inflation	-0.230	0.057	***											
Inflation (t-1)	0.340		***											
				yes	yes	0.099	0.000	0.000	0.175	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.191	0.626	15
Deal new conits CDR (4.1)	0.070	0.070	***	,	,					- (==)	()			
Real per-capita GDP (t-1) Openness	0.878	0.078	*											
Openness (t-1)	0.245		***											
				VOC	V00	0.101	0.000	0.000	0.164	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.029	0.444	15
				yes	yes	0.101	0.000	0.000	0.104	34 (20)	210 (00)	0.029	0.444	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.948	0.041	***											
Natural Resources Rent	0.053	0.048												
Natural Resources Rent (t-1)	-0.072	0.047												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.611	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.264	0.301	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.911	0.054	***											
Government Expenditure	-0.055	0.063												
Government Expenditure (t-1)	0.115	0.062	*											
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.562	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.179	0.495	15
Real per-capita CDD (4-1)	0.925	0.057	***											
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)														
Productivity	-0.348	0.265												
Productivity (t-1)	-0.225	0.254												
						0.105			0.704	54.000	0.10.100			
				yes	yes	0.105	0.000	0.000	0.781	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.107	0.361	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.879	0.055	***											
External Debt (5.1)	-0.192		***											
External Debt (t-1)	0.179	0.056												
				yes	yes	0.094	0.000	0.000	0.698	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.070	0.325	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.824	0.149	***											
Unemployment	-0.059	0.092												
Unemployment (t-1)	0.148	0.058	**											
				yes	yes	0.102	0.000	0.000	0.397	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.102	0.517	15
			***	,00	,00	0.102	0.000	0.000	0.007	01(20)	210 (00)	0.102	0.017	
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.874	0.075	***											
Military Expenditure	0.135	0.093												
Military Expenditure (t-1)	-0.179	0.100	*											
				yes	yes	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.186	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.054	0.374	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.918	0.044	***											
Health Expenditure	0.065	0.039	*											
Health Expenditure (t-1)	0.008	0.049												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.524	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.083	0.359	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.959	0.049	***											
Received Remittance	-0.010		*											
Received Remittance (t-1)	0.021	0.007	***											
				yes	yes	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.402	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.167	0.614	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.920	0.058	***											
Net ODA	-0.033	0.046												
Net ODA (t-1)	-0.001	0.044												
				yes	yes	0.108	0.000	0.000	0.557	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.132	0.321	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.861	0.157	***											
Urban Population <sup>2</sup>		0.123												
organ ropulation	-J.114	0.123												
Urban Population <sup>2</sup> (t-1)	0.136	0.151												
				yes	yes	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.492	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.044	0.104	15
Pool por occide CDS (1.4)	0.000	0.054	***	,	,					,	(/			
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.898	0.054												
Human Capital Formation	-0.019													



Human Capital Formation (t-1)	-0.004	0.039												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.488	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.127	0.398	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.895	0.069	***											
Gross Fixed Investment	-0.039	0.047												
Gross Fixed Investment (t-1)	0.107	0.051	**											
				yes	yes	0.105	0.000	0.000	0.493	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.081	0.296	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.909	0.090	***											
ICT diffusion	0.095	0.092												
ICT diffusion (t-1)	-0.000	0.123												
				yes	yes	0.108	0.000	0.000	0.558	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.056	0.084	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.942	0.040	***											
Governance Climate	0.172	0.085	**											
Governance Climate (t-1)	-0.104	0.100												
				yes	yes	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.553	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.103	0.347	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.901	0.057	***											
Constant	0.804	0.410	**											
				-	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.492	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.135	0.385	13

<b>Table 1.</b> GMM-sys with $x_i$ strictly exogenous regressor.	(b)													
	Real po	er-capita												
(b)	Coeff.	Std.Err.		Constant	Time Dummies	Standard Error	Regressors Wald-Test (p-value)	Time Dummies Wald-Test (p-value)	AR (2) Test (p-value)	Non- Observa- tions (%)	Observa-tions (%)	Sargan Test (p-value)	Hansen Test (p-value)	Instru- ments
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.899	0.062	***											
Imports from HDCs	0.235	0.114	**											
Imports from HDCs (t-1)	-0.209	0.113	*											
				yes	yes	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.142	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.064	0.283	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.901	0.065	***											
Exports to HDCs	-0.034	0.023												
Exports to HDCs (t-1)	0.056	0.019	***											
				yes	yes	0.103	0.000	0.000	0.451	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.080	0.332	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.901	0.066	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	0.005	0.014												
Imports from LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean (t-1)	-0.004	0.011												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.523	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.146	0.397	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.919	0.049	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	0.006	0.012												
Exports to LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean (t-1)	0.011	0.011												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.497	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.176	0.438	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.895	0.058	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia	0.011	0.016												
Imports from LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia (t-1)	-0.019	0.017												
				yes	yes	0.105	0.000	0.000	0.426	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.100	0.363	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.909	0.057	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia	-0.010	0.006	*											
Exports to LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia (t-1)	0.008	0.007												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.5125	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.129	0.396	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.896	0.057	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific	0.024	0.056												
Imports from LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific (t-1)	-0.089	0.059												



				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.384	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.114	0.507	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.924	0.053	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific	0.012	0.012												
Exports to LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific (t-1)	-0.025	0.013	*											
				yes	yes	0.105	0.000	0.000	0.629	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.072	0.337	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.839	0.107	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia	-0.053	0.028	*											
Imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia (t-1)	-0.008	0.030												
				yes	yes	0.102	0.000	0.000	0.361	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.124	0.394	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.898	0.060	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Southern-Asia	0.004	0.015												
Exports to LMDCs in Southern-Asia (t-1)	-0.009	0.017												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.603	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.125	0.413	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.899	0.059	***											
Imports from LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	-0.019	0.022												
Imports from LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East (t-1)	0.006	0.022												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.485	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.093	0.361	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.913	0.061	***											
Exports to LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	-0.002	0.007												
Exports to LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East (t-1)	0.003	0.008												
				yes	yes	0.107	0.000	0.000	0.505	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.118	0.354	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.889	0.073	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa	-0.016	0.037												
Imports from LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa (t-1)	0.002	0.037												
				yes	yes	0.105981	0.000	0.000	0.485	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.134	0.331	15
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.895	0.069	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa	-0.006	0.019												
Exports to LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa (t-1)	0.004	0.020												
				yes	yes	0.106	0.000	0.000	0.497	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.125	0.350	15

Note: \*\*\* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.01 \*\* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.05 \* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.10.

Source: our elaboration.

# 4. Conclusions

# 4.1. Concluding remarks

This study has analysed growth in a panel of 54 African countries using the generalised method of moment (GMM) estimators over the period 2010-2019. As far as we know, we have not found studies analysing growth with a panel of 54 African countries and/or using GMM-estimators over this period.

Moreover, we introduce a novelty element in the analysis, by considering African growth in relation to specific openness degrees as proxied by merchandise imports and exports for the clusters of developed and developing countries such as defined by the World Bank Group researchers. Therefore, based on the time-series data collected, and the estimation methodology used, our results show the determinants of African growth over the period 2010-2019.

Our findings indicate that in addition to initial conditions of the per-capita GDP, different macroeconomic factors such as fixed investments, inflation, openness, productivity, unemployment, received remittance, external debt, and terms-of-trade such as imports and exports from or to HDCs, and imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia are robustly correlated with African growth.

Finally, over the question that we have highlighted in the introduction, where it asks whether Africa can break with its colonial commercial past, we have found proof of the existence of a trade dependency added to that with the cluster of developed countries, in which certainly the ex-colonising countries are situated. In fact, we have found evidence of a trade dependency with the cluster of developing countries in Southern Asia led by India.

A more developed institutional and business environment should lead to sustainable long-run African growth, but this depends on sound governance. Indeed, the governance climate has been found to be significant in models.



Generally, the significance found in import and export from or to LMDCs can depend on favourable linkages between developing economies that, for instance, have a similar institutional and business environment, or a similar technological gap with respect to developed countries. However, the significance found in the trade with HDCs highlights the linkage along global value chains between African markets and developed ones.

Therefore, both statistical significances can depend on the linkages found by the growth studies analysing the development in the North-South and South-South frameworks. This can explain the trade relationship existing along global value chains between African markets and developed and developing countries.

Global value chains have expanded in the new millennium, and low transport costs, low trade barriers, few embargoes, as well as technological and financial spillovers, have made this possible, but this has also meant greater uncertainty in the markets, which are then closely interconnected with each other (World Bank, 2020, 2022). This means that economies in transition have to face negative aspects related to globalisation. As a result, there is a trade-off between the lowering of trade barriers, and technological advancements deriving from an international specialisation of productions, and the exposure of countries and their firms to economic and political unbalances ad shocks (Togati and Visaggio, 2016).

Especially, in developing countries, trade in semi-finished products has intensified firms' activities along global value chains, however, these goods may escape from national accounting due to the absence of international accounting harmonisation (Wolf and Zedillo, 2015). It should be considered that the system of harmonisation of national accounts developed by the UN statistical commission is stuck in the fifth version since 2008 as an upgrade of the previous one released in the early Nineties. Therefore, causing trade intensification along the global value chains, products can transit from one developing country to another after they have had an increase in value at least equal to the labour cost, and in turn, they can return to developed countries, but without having been properly accounted for. This means that a "country-factory" can show macroeconomic structures characterised by only consumed income, for instance, as small economies focusing on import-export activities with a dominant manufacturing production.

#### 4.2. Policy implications

In the future, African growth could depend more on sound governance, but countries should improve their institutional and business environments in order to achieve more inclusive and sustainable growth (Acemoglu et al., 2019; Lin, 2021). African governance could lead the growth, both by pursuing incentive policies on exports rather than imports or by improving the opportunities for firms (Glegg et al., 2021). Therefore, sound institutions and forward-looking policies can lead firms toward progress, technological specialisation, and wellbeing (Kurtishi-Kastrati, 2013; Collier, 2014; Trebilcock, 2015; Kim and Heshmati, 2019; Farahane and Heshmati, 2020; Babajide et al., 2021).

However, changes in institutional structures are generally burdened: (i) by a heavy inertial mass to change in defence of the elites' interests; and (ii) by the slowness of adaptive responses typified by many societies. As a result, the acceptance of a new techno-economic paradigm as well as a new socio-institutional system is a difficult process, as the country will have to bear a greater sunk-cost due to the specificity of its historical development path and the variety characterising the capitalistic system as an expression of the institutional structure (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2012;

Granovetter 2017)

The socio-economic and institutional transition processes will inevitably lead to internal contradictions within capitalism and to paradigmatic fluctuations. Recurring crises are showing that the governance of globalisation is an important issue related to capitalism (Dallago and Casagrande, 2023).

In the capitalist system, there will be a certain selfish impulse to capital accumulation, such that intrinsic instability is not its failure, but constitutes its vital impulse (Razin, 2022). Therefore, capitalism is changing by its nature, and its ability to self-production does not contribute to making the socio-economic system stable for too long.

In other words, social progress depends on the choices made by the agents and the probability that an endogenous shock to the system occurs, such that it triggers a dynamic process of change (Hallett et al., 2010). This process would evolve the system for incremental leaps, and the alternative solutions prospected would be those near the optimal points of the Paretian-frontier. It is precisely the proximity of the socio-economic system to such a frontier that triggers change, which makes mobile over time the steady state achieved by the system. This means that perturbations, triggered by the agents within the socio-economic system, push it toward a "natural" search for possible Nash's equilibriums, which would then be chosen among those sustaining and not among those responding to the maximisation logic (Festré, 2021).

The global pandemic and war in Eastern Europe are showing that global governance is an important issue at the current stage of globalisation (Cowling and Tomlinson, 2011; Autor et al., 2016; Eichengreen, 2018; Saccone, 2021). The evolution of the world economy has for too long been left solely to the regulatory automatisms of the markets, and this has increased social inequalities (Stiglitz, 2021).

The trajectory followed by globalisation is progressively abrading the stability and social cohesion in the advanced and emerging economies, as it is not consciously governed. Globalisation, on the other hand, can foster convergence between countries while also increasing economic and political competition between them by causing a disruption in global balances (Heshmati and Lee, 2010; Valli, 2018; Obstfeld, 2020; Marelli and Signorelli, 2022).

For instance, difficulties in multilateral trade negotiations within the World Trade Organisation-WTO have resulted in a generalised focus shift toward regional agreements, which have grown in number and complexity over the last decade. African countries' signatories to the AfCFTA agreement have accepted to limit their governance's unilateral action in order to jointly improve their attractiveness. The AfCFTA agreement may be the biggest trade area in the world, with which the African countries could enhance the position of their manufacturing systems along the global value chains and reach sustainable development in the direction of the SDGs. Nonetheless, the AfCFTA agreement is burdened by significant lags in its agenda.

Greater attention has then been given to the growth-wellbeing relationship in recent years. Two commonly adopted indicators are per-capita GDP and the Human Development Index-HDI by the United Nations. The first is widely used, and it is annually available for all countries, although it measures only the economic dimension of development and suffers from some methodological issues, it remains a reliable growth index. The second is better, but data may not be available for all countries.

In other words, HDI is a composite and synthetic indicator measuring, on average, the country's performance based on three aspects: life expectancy at birth, schooling, and income. However, another indicator that considers the social impacts also is the Social Progress Index-SPI, developed by Porter et al. (2014) starting from the works by Sen, North, and Stiglitz. This index measures society's ability to satisfy basic human needs and improve people's quality of life, so that everyone can aspire to achieve the best possible personal fulfilment. Therefore, the HDI and SPI-index are well-being indicators prioritising social progress over economic progress.



#### 4.3. Limitations and future lines of research

One limitation of our analysis is that African countries cannot share the same growth functions, which cannot also be stable over time. In other words, growth can be the result of a different resource endowment and distinct stages of progress that could be separately considered (Marini, 2004; Schwab, 2014, 2015). Therefore, it can be more convenient to singularly deal with the specificities of each African country's development path (N'Zue, 2020).

Furthermore, it is known in the economic literature that structural reforms in a country need more time to manifest their effects on the socioeconomic system. As a result, this may explain why some lagged variables have not been found to be significant in this study.

Moreover, it could be a need to include other explicative variables in models, for instance, related to demographic characteristics, such as fertility rate and life expectancy, or, related to the quality of the institutional and business environment, which literature has shown to be significant for growth. As a result, it has greater data completeness. In addition, starting a backward time-series reconstruction project to extend the time dimension can be necessary.

Finally, a clustering based on the country's level of income could also be necessary to have control in models with reference to it. Four clusters could be used: low-income, lower middle-income, upper middle-income, and high-income countries, as provided by World Bank Group researchers. For instance, the gross national income-GNI with Atlas correction to reduce the impact of exchange rate fluctuations in the cross-country comparison, and the Gini coefficient for the income inequality could alternatively be used.

# Annex

Table A.1. The	clustering of the	e reference literature (2011-2022), authors, and summary.
Clusters	Authors	Summary
	Gaibulloev and Sandler (2011)	They have found the effects of domestic and transnational terrorism on the per-capita income growth of 51 African countries from 1970 to 2007 by accounting for the cross-sectional spatial dependence of conflicts. The findings suggest that transnational terrorism has a modest marginal impact on per-capita income growth and that domestic terrorist events, surprisingly, do not affect it. According to the authors, the modest impact of transnational terrorism on African growth indicates that developing economies are more resilient to terrorism than is commonly assumed.
	Narayan et al. (2011)	They examine the relationship between democracy and economic growth in 30 SSA countries, finding mixed support for the Lipset theory in the long run.
	Bertocchi and Guerzoni (2012)	They explore the empirical determinants of fragility in SSA over the period 1992-2007 by using a battery of development indicators and finding that institutions are the main cause of the fragility. The probability that a country will be fragile increases with the restrictions on civil liberties and with the increase in revolutions. In fact, the per-capita GDP growth and investments are significant explanatory variables, but the economic growth has an uncertain net impact as it reduces the country's fragility, while the investments increase it.
	Jaunky (2013)	He studies the linkage between democracy and economic development in 28 SSA countries over the period 1980–2005 using the GMM model. He has found that economic growth precedes democracy in the short run, while bi-directional causality is found in the long run. At last, the effects on growth are positive.
GOVERNANCE	Fayissa and Nsiah (2013)	They use fixed and random effects models, and GMM models for investigating the governance effect on African growth. They have found that governance contributes to the growth gap of African countries, which depends on the countries' income.
CLIMATE	Ahlerup et al. (2016)	They examine how an impartial government toward ethnic groups can improve the growth of 20 SSA countries beginning in the late Nineties. They have found that countries with a governance perceived as impartial have a better chance of growth.
	Akobeng (2016)	He investigates whether the linkage between growth and poverty reduction can be strengthened across the institutions in 41 SSA countries over the period 1981-2010 by using the GMM estimator. He finds that improvements in governance are significant for supporting the link between growth and poverty reduction in SSA.
	Toh (2016)	He investigates the long-run growth drivers of a group of SSA emerging economies. His findings indicate that the economies diverge more on economic characteristics, institutional quality, and governance than the slow-growth group.
	Epaphra and Kombe (2017)	They examine the impact of institutions on African growth using the GMM, fixed- and random-effects models over a sample of 48 countries from 1996 to 2016, discovering that political stability is the most important factor in explaining African per-capita GDP growth. Other significant explanatory variables are openness, gross fixed investments, human capital formation, and foreign direct investments.
	Ogbuabor et al. (2020)	They examine the impact of governance on economic growth in Western Africa after the global economic recession using a panel of 13 countries and find a negative relationship between governance and growth. Specifically, corruption, government ineffectiveness, political instability, the weakness of the rule of law, and the absence of accountability are the main obstacles to growth, while the per-capita GDP, gross fixed investments, employment, and foreign direct investment are the other significant drivers of growth in the region.
	Ahmed (2012)	He explores the relationship between military expenditure, external debts, and growth in a sample of 25 SSA countries over the period 1988–2007, by finding that military expenditure has a positive impact on the external debt of African countries, and GDP growth negatively affects their total debt stock.
	Kagochi et al. (2013)	They investigate the relationship between financial development and growth in a sample of SSA countries and find that stock-market development has a positive effect on growth. Instead, the other financial development indicators have an uncertain impact on the growth, while the control variables such as capital formation, schooling, and life expectancy have a positive effect on the growth.
	Mbate (2013)	He investigates the impact of the domestic debt on growth and the private sector in 21 SSA countries over the period 1985-2010 by using GMM models. He has found that domestic debt crowds out the private sector and deters capital accumulation.
	Asongu (2014)	He uses a VAR approach to examine the effects of monetary policy on African growth from 1987 to 2010, testing whether monetary policy variables affect growth in the short and long run, but with inconclusive results.
FINANCIAL	Walle (2014)	He examines the long-run relationship between the financial development and growth in 17 SSA countries over the period 1975-2005 by applying an error correction term based on the co-integration tests for considering the cross-sectional dependence between the countries. He has found that there is a long-run relationship between financial development and growth, although there is a weak reverse causal impact.
DEVELOPMENT, DEBT AND PUBLIC EXPENDITURE	Shaaba and Ngepah (2018)	On a panel of 35 African countries from 1990 to 2015, they analyse the relationship between military expenditure, industrialization, and growth, by finding that industrialisation and growth precede military expenditure in the short-and long run, but that military power can be used to achieve industrialisation and growth under given conditions.
	Mensah et al. (2019)	They used ADL models to exaggerate the impact of public debt on growth in 38 African countries from 1970 to 2015, discovering that public debt stifles growth when it exceeds 50% of the country's GDP.
	Arizala et al. (2020)	They investigate the effects of government expenditures and revenues on growth in SSA from 1990 to 2016. They discovered that cutting off public investments has a greater impact on growth than cutting off public consumption or increasing revenues. Attempts to consolidate public finances, on the other hand, have had a negative impact on short- and medium-term growth, which has been mitigated by financial adjustments.
	Ehigiamusoe and Lean (2020)	They examine the effects of public debt and deficit on growth in Western Africa by implementing empirical strategies that account for various econometric issues. They find that the impact of financial development on growth depends on the levels of debt and deficit. When debt and deficit levels exceed a certain threshold, the marginal effects of financial development on growth are negative.
	Idun (2021)	He believes that the use of technology in financial systems can contribute to African growth in the long run, provided that other growth drivers such as human capital formation, openness, and infrastructural capital are present in the countries. However, financial development produces divergent responses to growth within African country clusters. Financial innovation in COMESA and ECCAS causes growth, while that in ECOWAS and ARABMAG has been found to be dangerous to growth.
	Batuo (2015)	He finds that ICT infrastructures are positively related to the growth of a panel of 44 African countries over the period 1990-2010. A dynamic panel data approach has been employed. Findings show that additional ICT investments have a positive impact on growth.
	Donou- Adonsou et al. (2016)	They examine the impact of the ICT infrastructures on the growth of 47 SSA countries over the period 1993-2012, by finding the positive impact of internet adoption and mobile technology.
ICT	David (2019)	Over the period 2000-2015, he investigated the impact of ICT infrastructure on growth as measured by the GDP and HDI index in 46 African countries. He uses a composite index as a proxy for the ICT depth finding and finds that it contributes to the growth.
ADVANCEMENT		Using GMM models with internet and mobile telephone penetration rates as proxies for ICT depth. he discovered that an increase in mobile telephone penetration rate contributes to growth while an increase in internet



Secretary of the secret		Haftu (2019)	
in contact   con			penetration rate does not, as the countries remain in a relatively immature state in terms of technology use.
Company			They investigate the impact of ICT infrastructure on growth in African countries. They discovered that the depth of ICT has a positive impact on growth.
Section   Sect		3	
Number 1			
SOUTH NEAD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A			
Figure 1. August	CAPITAL		They investigate the effects of aid on African growth using panel data from 47 African countries from 1980 to 2013, discovering that international aid promotes growth, but its effectiveness is dependent on governance.
Page	INFLOWS	Amoah	
Name			They use co-integrated vector autoregressive analysis to examine the output and prices of tradable and non-tradable sectors in SSA countries. They find that aids have a heterogeneous effect on sectoral output and prices.
in the Name of Street Control of Street Stree			
Campaigness	HIIMAN	and Naval	
Post	CAPITAL		
CRITICAL			
MEON/ CONTROLL SEARCH STATE AND		(2021)	
In Learning May Company to the instrumental variables approach to estimate the reciprocal effects of operances and growth in SSA decovering that growth has a negative effect on operance while being a problem effect on growth. They recommend to the properties of th		Mendy	
LOGAL STATE  The year because the large year page to the wincome countries. The appears to the minute higher growth is associated with less openess. Enthermore, the growth commens delicionship in non-inner and has an invented U shape into the concernor. The means that an invented to shape into the concernor that the page of the	OPENNESS	and Lederman	They use the instrumental variables approach to estimate the reciprocal effects of openness and growth in SSA discovering that growth has a negative effect on openness while having a positive effect on growth.
mon Nigosal politics			is not robust and is largely negative in low-income countries. This suggests to them that higher growth is associated with less openness. Furthermore, the growth-openness relationship is non-linear and has an inverted U-shape
INCRESSE (INTEXED CONTINES)  INTERIOR CONTINES (INTERIOR		and Ngepah	
NETURNE    Control   Contr			
URBANISATION  Original and Rivarian (2016)  Septiment of Processing of the Value-added in agriculture leads to an increase in urbanisation.  Trey found that the relationship between CDP and urbanisation in sub-Sharman African countries differs from that in developed economies. Their results indicate that the traditional thesis is still valid in the SSA countries, in fact, 1016  Realizable and Royal Countries, in fact, 1016  Replace the Seathard and Royal Countries, in fact, 1016  Replace the Seathard and Royal Countries, in fact, 1011  Replace the Seathard Royal Countries, 1011  Replace the Seathard Ro		Abekah and Nwaba	growth in both the short and long run because their inflows are directed toward capital-intensive activities with a lower impact on employment. They also discovered that FDIs from the United States and Chinese trade had little impact on African growth. The Granger-causality test has confirmed that there is a unidirectional relationship between growth and the other variables, except for human capital formation, which does not show causality. More FDI
They bound that the relationship between GPP and urbanisation in sub-Saharan African countries offers from that in developed economies. Their results indicate that the traditional thesis is still valid in the SSA countries, in fact, October 1001 (2011)  Research and ARDIGOLD			
They analyse the drivers of growth in the selected African COMESA member countries and find that gross fixed investments, openness, and human capital formation are the most important drivers of growth, as well as governance, financial deplay, international aid, and spliciover fletchs from foreign capital inflows.  Mijawa (2013)  Abhmat et al. (2014)  Eliriaria al. (2014)  Pirkovskly and Salishing and the selection of the common of t	URBANISATION	K'Akumu	
Akhmat et al. (2014)		and Rojid	
al. (2014)  Ehinalia et al. (2014)  Elinalia et al. (2015)  Elinalia et al. (2016)  Elinalia et al. (2			
al. (2014) growth in manufacturing, at last, this has a positive impact on the GDP growth rate, reducing the volatility.  Pinkovskly and Salat-Martin (2014)  They look at the recent growth in Africa in relation to poverty. They estimate the income distribution, the poverty rate, and the inequality index in African countries over the period 1990-2011. They show that African poverty is falling rapidy, and the growth that began in the second half of the Nimeties has decreased income inequality, even in countries with geographical or historical disadvantages.  Addison et al. (2016)  Calderon and Boreux (2016)  Naiah et al. (2016)  Naiah et al. (2016)  They examine the determinants of growth in 48 African countries from 1980 to 2011, by taking into account the economic impacts of neighbouring countries. They control for some drivers of growth, such as the gross fixed investments, occurries with similar growth compete for resources.  Kedir et al. (2017)  Collustratopa and Opo (2015)  They estimate the additional investments required to achieve the SDGs and reduce poverty in Africa by 2030. They have found that estimates of the required growth rates vary widely across African subregions and countries. They examine growth compete for resources.  They estimate the additional investments required to achieve the SDGs and reduce poverty in Africa by 2030. They have found that estimates of the required growth rates vary widely across African subregions and countries. Countries and subregions with low initial poverty levels and higher responsiveness to the poverty contrast will need less development assistance.  They examine growth drivers and poverty reduction in African countries in search of better fiving conditions.  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalisation, and governance on the growth of Western Africa over the period of 1996-2016 using ADL models. They discover a positive relationship between globalization, governance, and growth. Even if the findings on the relationship between FDI and growth are inconclusive, g			They investigate the relationship between the public health indicators and growth in Africa from 1975 to 2011, by establishing that there exists a moderately bidirectional causality between the variables.
and Sala-i- Martin (2014)  OTHER ADDITIONAL VARIABLES  Addison et al. (2016)  Calderon and Boreux (2016)  Nisiah et al. (2016)  Nisiah et al. (2017)  Oluvatayo and Diavatayo and Diavat			
They investigate the commodity price shocks in SSA countries dependent on agricultural commodities, by finding that there are inconclusive proofs of unanticipated price variations as responses to variations in per-capita GDP.  Calderon and Boreux (2016)  Rish et al. (2016)  Naish et al. (2016)  Red if et al. (2017)  Countries and subregions with similar growth compete for resources.  Kedir et al. (2017)  Oluvatayo and Ojo (2018)  Shittu et al. (2018)  Shittu et al. (2020)  Shittu et al. (2020)  Shittu et al. (2020)  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalisation, and governance on the growth are engloyed contries in segment, and government expenditure, whose effects on growth are engloyed row that investment, and government expenditure, whose effects on growth are inspection of the special o		and Sala-i- Martin	
They investigate if African growth was accompanied by improved structural and macroeconomic indicators, if African countries had liquidity, and if governments implemented countercyclical policies following the global economic orisis between 1995 and 2008. They have found that improvements in the macroeconomic framework have allowed some African countries to better resist the global crisis.  They examine the determinants of growth in 48 African countries from 1980 to 2011, by taking into account the economic impacts of neighbouring countries. They control for some drivers of growth, such as the gross fixed capital investment, openness, aids, and inflation, by finding a significant level for the gross fixed investments and education, as well as, for the spatial linkages across countries. When recessions occur, neighbouring SSA countries with similar growth compete for resources.  Kedir et al. (2017)  Countries and subregions with low initial poverty levels and higher responsiveness to the poverty contrast will need less development assistance.  Oluwatavo and Ojo (2018)  They examine growth drivers and poverty reduction in African countries, by finding that African development is advancing inequality and poverty. In other words, this is manifested through persistent inequality, poverty, armed conflict, and indiscriminate young people's migration toward developed countries in search of better living conditions.  Shittu et al. (2020)  Shittu et al. (2020)  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalisation, and governance on the growth of Western Africa over the period of 1996-2016 using ADL models. They discover a positive relationship between globalization, governance, and growth. Even if the findings on the relationship between FDI and growth are negative on the first two and positive on the last.  They propose a forecasting model with a single equation for estimating the GDP growth rate in 52 African countries starting from 1960 and by including lagged growth rates from the other countries. Furthermore, co-integratio		Addison et	They investigate the commodity price shocks in SSA countries dependent on agricultural commodities, by finding that there are inconclusive proofs of unanticipated price variations as responses to variations in per-capita GDP.
Assistance at al. (2016) countries with similar growth compete for resources.  Kedir et al. (2017) Countries with similar growth compete for resources.  They estimate the additional investments required to achieve the SDGs and reduce poverty in Africa by 2030. They have found that estimates of the required growth rates vary widely across African subregions and countries. (2017) Countries and subregions with low initial poverty levels and higher responsiveness to the poverty contrast will need less development assistance.  They examine growth drivers and poverty reduction in African countries, by finding that African development is advancing inequality and poverty. In other words, this is manifested through persistent inequality, poverty, armed conflict, and indiscriminate young people's migration toward developed countries in search of better living conditions.  Shittu et al. (2020)  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalisation, and governance on the growth are renconclusive, governance has a positive impact on FDIs and growth. The other considered drivers of growth are employment, gross fixed capital investment, and government expenditure, whose effects on growth are negative on the first two and positive on the last.  They propose a forecasting model with a single equation for estimating the GDP growth rate in 52 African countries starting from 1960 and by including lagged growth rates from the other countries. Everthermore, co-integration	VARIABLES	and Boreux	
Countries and subregions with low initial poverty levels and higher responsiveness to the poverty contrast will need less development assistance.  Oluwatayo and Ojo (2018)  They examine growth drivers and poverty reduction in African countries, by finding that African development is advancing inequality and poverty. In other words, this is manifested through persistent inequality, poverty, armed conflict, and indiscriminate young people's migration toward developed countries in search of better living conditions.  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalization, and governance on the growth of Western Africa over the period of 1996-2016 using ADL models. They discover a positive relationship between globalization, governance, and growth. Even if the findings on the relationship between FDI and growth are inconclusive, governance has a positive impact on FDIs and growth. The other considered drivers of growth are employment, gross fixed capital investment, and government expenditure, whose effects on growth are negative on the first two and positive on the last.  They propose a forecasting model with a single equation for estimating the GDP growth rate in 52 African countries starting from 1960 and by including lagged growth rates from the other countries. Furthermore, co-integration			capital investment, openness, aids, and inflation, by finding a significant level for the gross fixed investments and education, as well as, for the spatial linkages across countries. When recessions occur, neighbouring SSA
and Ojo (2018)  Shittu et al. (2020)  Shittu et al. (2020)  Franses and They gearment growth drivers and poverty reduction in African countries, by Indiring that Ancian development is advancing inequality and poverty. In other words, this is manifested through persistent inequality, poverty, armed conflict, and indiscriminate young people's migration toward developed countries in search of better living conditions.  They study the impacts of FDIs, globalization, and governance on the growth are employment expenditure, whose effects on growth are negative on the first two and positive on the last.  Franses and  They propose a forecasting model with a single equation for estimating the GDP growth rate in 52 African countries starting from 1960 and by including lagged growth rates from the other countries. Furthermore, co-integration			
growth. Even if the findings on the relationship between FDI and growth are inconclusive, governance has a positive impact on FDIs and growth. The other considered drivers of growth are employment, gross fixed capital investment, and government expenditure, whose effects on growth are negative on the first two and positive on the last.  Franses and  They propose a forecasting model with a single equation for estimating the GDP growth rate in 52 African countries starting from 1960 and by including lagged growth rates from the other countries. Furthermore, co-integration		and Ojo	
			growth. Even if the findings on the relationship between FDI and growth are inconclusive, governance has a positive impact on FDIs and growth. The other considered drivers of growth are employment, gross fixed capital



Table A.2. Main statistics and description of the used variables,	2010-2019.			
		Standard Dev	viation	Proxy
Variables	Mean	Within	Between	and source
Real per-capita GDP	2,687	963.0	3,330	Middle income per-capita as a proxy of economic growth UNCTAD (USD)
FDI Inflows	4.985	4.606	5.729	FDI inflows as a proxy of activities of international investors UNCTAD (% of GDP)
Inflation	189.7	603.4	372.7	Consumer price index as a proxy of monetary stability  UNCTAD (trend % with the annual average growth rate)
Openness	75.96	19.48	41.60	Openness degree by country as a proxy of international integration UNCTAD (% of GDP)
Natural Resources Rent	10.59	5.323	9.637	Available resources rent as a proxy of revenues from raw materials  WB-WDI (% of GDP)
Government Expenditure	16.66	4.166	7.443	General government consumption as a proxy of the bureaucracy  WB-WDI (% of GDP)
Productivity	91.55	4.312	4.475	Gross value added at factors cost as a proxy of productivity  WB-WDI (% of GDP)
External Debt	40.15	13.12	27.04	External debt stock as a proxy of the creditworthiness of the country  WB-WDI (% of GNI)
Unemployment	9.149	0.922	7.285	Labour market efficiency WB-WDI (% of labour force)
Military Expenditure	3.395	16.18	10.51	Expenditures for keeping the armed forces  WB-WDI (% of GDP)
Health Expenditure	6.504	1.403	3.144	Domestic general health expenditure as a proxy of public health care  WB-WDI (% of general government expenditure)
Received Remittances	3.591	2.103	4.407	Transfers from the migrant labour force as a proxy of foreign incomes  WB-WDI (% of GDP)
Net ODA	8.225	6.361	9.972	Net official development assistance as a proxy of the international aid WB-WDI (% of GNI)
Urban Population	44.77	4.138	18.52	Urban population level as a proxy of urbanisation  WB-WDI (% of total population)
Human Capital Formation	39.70	12.89	6.808	Human capital formation as a proxy of the educational level UNDP (%)
Gross Fixed Investments	23.62	5.454	8.258	Internal structural investments as a proxy of infrastructural capital WB-WDI (% of GDP)
ICT diffusion	77.91	15.47	35.60	Mobile cellular subscriptions as a proxy of ICT diffusion  WB-WDI (per 100 people)
Governance Climate	35.62	2.363	12.72	Composite index as a proxy for the governance by Scalamonti (2021) our elaboration from WB-WGI (%)



Imports [Exports] from [to] HDCs	46.78 [52.12]	6.338 [10.84]	16.77 [23.34]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] high-developed countries (HDCs)  WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	2.092 [1.136]	0.868 [1.372]	1.928 [2.210]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in Latin America or Caribbean  WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia	3.429 [1.347]	1.424 [1.792]	3.068 [1.533]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in Europe or Central-Asia WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific	15.40 [11.85]	4.141 [10.26]	7.087 [14.14]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in Eastern-Asia or Pacific WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in Southern-Asia	6.607 [6.655]	4.345 [5.026]	5.896 [10.58]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in Southern-Asia WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	2.380 [2.098]	1.102 [1.941]	2.291 [3.559]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in North Africa or Middle East  WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])
Imports [Exports] from [to] LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa	21.41 [22.80]	7.088 [7.955]	21.24 [21.02]	Merchandise imports [exports] from [to] low- and middle-developed countries (LMDCs) in Sub-Saharan Africa WB-WDI (% of total merchandise imports [exports])

Table A.3. The	correlati	on matrix	, 2010-20	19.															
	Real per- capita GDP	FDI Inflows	Inflation	Openness	Natural Resources Rent	Government Expenditure	Productivity	External Debt	Unemployment	Military Expenditure	Health Expenditure	Received Remittances		Urban Population	Human Capital Formation	Gross Fixed Investment	ICT diffusion	Governance Climate	Imports I From I HDCs /
Real per-capita GDP	1.000																		
FDI Inflows	-0.030	1.000																	
Inflation	-0.059	-0.060	1.000																
Openness	0.349	0.405	-0.066	1.000															
Natural Resources Rent	0.217	0.097	-0.039	-0.049	1.000														
Government Expenditure	0.113	0.161	-0.003	0.271	-0.094	1.000													
Productivity	0.042	-0.082	0.075	-0.099	0.330	-0.065	1.000												
External Debt	0.205	0.301	-0.016	0.492	-0.285	0.150	-0.225	1.000											
Unemployment	0.329	-0.027	0.035	0.413	0.003	0.358	0.126	0.071	1.000										
Military Expenditure	0.144	-0.056	0.009	0.010	0.221	0.058	0.051	-0.080	0.130	1.000									
Health Expenditure	0.210	-0.174	-0.090	-0.034	-0.311	0.203	-0.228	0.110	0.257	-0.087	1.000								
Received Remittances	-0.237	0.139	0.016	0.095	-0.207	0.228	-0.183	0.025	0.044	-0.074	-0.019	1.000							
Net ODA	-0.353	0.198	0.217	0.004	-0.095	0.221	0.032	0.071	-0.173	-0.009	-0.293	0.101	1.000						
Urban Population	0.508	0.076	-0.109	0.318	0.163	0.124	0.104	0.131	0.468	0.155	0.024	-0.099	0.100	1.000					
Human Capital Formation	-0.046	0.103	-0.039	0.015	-0.082	-0.007	-0.080	0.137	-0.038	0.049	0.070	0.004	0.030	-0.082	1.000				
Gross Fixed Investments	0.177	0.414	-0.147	0.267	0.112	0.113	-0.001	0.182	0.071	-0.063	0.056	-0.021	- 0.218	0.205	0.059	1.000			
ICT diffusion	0.526	-0.049	-0.112	0.134	-0.101	0.042	-0.158	0.206	0.347	0.022	0.416	0.064	0.451	0.471	0.016	0.185	1.000		
Governance Climate	0.303	0.020	-0.180	0.116	-0.397	0.168	-0.262	0.250	0.098	-0.190	0.573	0.080	0.314	0.113	0.052	0.253	0.559	1.000	
Imports from HDCs	0.271	0.045	-0.137	0.082	0.155	-0.067	0.037	0.256	-0.032	0.039	-0.030	-0.199	0.104	0.451	-0.050	0.251	0.113	0.036	1.000
Imports from LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	0.092	-0.066	-0.080	-0.091	0.085	-0.209	0.076	-0.068	0.004	0.004	-0.052	0.060	- 0.117	0.372	-0.075	0.057	0.205	0.050	0.234
Imports from																			



Europe or Central-Asia	0.041	-0.026	-0.023	-0.072	0.143	-0.043	0.019	-0.060	0.050	0.299	-0.135	0.085	0.032	0.245	-0.006	-0.044	0.145	-0.204	0.240	(
Imports from LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific	-0.201	0.082	-0.013	-0.205	0.070	-0.230	-0.048	-0.156	-0.428	-0.002	-0.222	-0.043	0.129	-0.189	-0.029	-0.042	-0.212	-0.150	-0.048	(
Imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia	-0.186	-0.010	-0.018	-0.009	-0.069	-0.187	-0.108	0.149	-0.172	-0.057	-0.140	-0.026	0.065	-0.392	0.040	-0.153	-0.245	-0.090	-0.088	
Imports from LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	0.052	-0.078	-0.047	0.078	0.114	-0.135	-0.057	0.015	0.170	0.257	-0.112	-0.168	0.001	0.224	0.030	0.025	0.044	-0.348	0.283	(
Imports from LMDCs in Sub- Saharan Africa	-0.091	-0.051	0.144	0.045	-0.154	0.283	0.017	-0.134	0.243	-0.075	0.188	0.174	0.035	-0.267	0.031	-0.164	0.023	0.154	-0.784	
Exports to HDCs	0.311	0.197	-0.133	0.187	0.055	0.125	-0.156	0.182	0.033	0.076	0.179	-0.053	0.024	0.168	-0.031	0.355	0.174	0.161	0.348	(
Exports to LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	0.123	-0.035	-0.041	0.226	-0.045	0.124	0.131	-0.045	0.188	-0.025	-0.045	-0.043	0.061	0.337	-0.049	0.100	-0.096	-0.033	0.301	(
Exports to LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia	-0.127	-0.027	-0.029	-0.158	-0.065	-0.067	0.045	-0.059	-0.102	0.005	-0.057	-0.066	0.059	-0.017	-0.050	-0.017	-0.009	-0.051	0.148	(
Exports to LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific	-0.032	-0.018	0.347	-0.108	0.252	-0.043	0.296	-0.044	-0.044	0.056	-0.301	-0.208	0.186	0.133	-0.077	-0.158	-0.194	-0.327	0.003	(
Exports to LMDCs in Southern-Asia	-0.135	-0.110	-0.021	-0.193	-0.011	-0.208	0.089	-0.079	-0.201	-0.044	-0.228	0.062	0.015	-0.030	-0.028	-0.234	-0.060	-0.117	0.071	
Exports to LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	-0.064	-0.019	-0.013	0.206	-0.187	0.042	-0.007	0.101	0.265	-0.010	0.013	0.033	0.013	0.102	-0.045	0.025	-0.060	-0.108	0.222	(
Exports to LMDCs in Sub- Saharan Africa	-0.236	-0.121	-0.085	-0.065	-0.265	0.007	-0.121	-0.157	0.034	-0.097	0.157	0.201	0.095	-0.306	0.123	-0.147	0.023	0.173	-0.528	-

**Table A.4.** GMM-sys with  $x_i$  exogenous regressor and  $z_i$  strictly exogenous instruments. (a)



	GDP	er-capita												
(a)	Instrui	nents: Go	vern	ance Clima	ite, ICT diffu	ısion, Urbaı	n Population <sup>2</sup>							
	Coeff.	Std.Err.		Constant	Time Dummies	Standard Error	Regressors Wald-Test (p-value)	Time Dummies Wald-Test (p-value)	AR (2) Test (p-value)	Non- Observa- tions (%)	Observa- tions (%)	Sargan Test (p-value)	Hansen Test (p-value)	Instru
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.994	0.011	***											
FDI Inflows	0.003	0.007												
FDI Inflows (t-1)	0.009	0.007												
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.510	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.131	0.456	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.982	0.013	***											
Inflation	-0.242	0.023	***											
Inflation (t-1)	0.362	0.036	***											
				yes	yes	0.104	0.000	0.000	0.158	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.079	0.648	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	1.001	0.015	***											
Openness	-0.201	0.073	***											
Openness (t-1)	0.219	0.071	***											
				yes	yes	0.108	0.000	0.000	0.144	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.005	0.531	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.987	0.013	***	,	,				*****	()	(,			
Natural Resources Rent	0.053	0.046												
Natural Resources Rent (t-1)	-0.069													
ratural nesources nelli (t-1)	-0.009	0.049		VAC	VAC	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.626	54 (20)	216 (90)	0.175	0.386	18
Pool por conite CDD (1.4)	0.004	0.014	***	yes	yes	0.109	3.000	0.000	0.020	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.175	0.300	10
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.991	0.014												
Government Expenditure		0.062												
Government Expenditure (t-1)	0.089	0.063												
				yes	yes	0.110	0.000	0.000	0.607	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.065	0.525	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.990	0.011	***											
Productivity	-0.444	0.235	*											
Productivity (t-1)	-0.302	0.235												
				yes	yes	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.895	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.156	0.411	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.997	0.016	***											
External Debt	-0.198	0.054	***											
External Debt (t-1)	0.208	0.051	***											
				yes	yes	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.760	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.000	0.331	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	1.000	0.012	***											
Unemployment	-0.140	0.063	**											
Unemployment (t-1)	0.114	0.061	*											
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.487	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.124	0.544	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.984	0.011	***	,	,					- ( -)	- ( /			
Military Expenditure	0.057	0.062												
Military Expenditure (t-1)	-0.094													
minus y Exponentialo (t 1)	0.001	0.007		yes	yes	0.110	0.000	0.000	0.346	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.052	0.442	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.982	0.017	***	yes	yes	0.110	0.000	0.000	0.340	34 (20)	210 (00)	0.032	0.442	10
Health Expenditure	0.042	0.041												
Health Expenditure (t-1)	-0.026	0.040												
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.531	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.079	0.416	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.987	0.011	***											
Received Remittance	-0.010													
Received Remittance (t-1)	0.019	0.007	***											
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.421	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.269	0.832	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.988	0.027	***											
Net ODA	-0.043	0.057												
Net ODA (t-1)	0.052	0.048												
				yes	yes	0.110	0.000	0.000	0.549	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.078	0.367	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.992	0.014	***											
Human Capital Formation	-0.004	0.030												
Human Capital Formation (t-1)	0.027	0.030												
				yes	yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.5098	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.037	0.395	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.989	0.015	***											
Gross Fixed Investment	-0.058													
Gross Fixed Investment (t-1)	0.082	0.031	*											
(t-1)	0.002	0.040		VAS	VAS	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.522	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.040	0.442	19
Deal new early ORE (1.1)	0.000	0.015	***	yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.522	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.040	0.442	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.992	0.015	***											
Constant	0.150	0.108												
					yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.522	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.038	0.414	16



	Real per-capita GDP													
(b)	Instruments: Governance Climate, ICT diffusion, Urban Population <sup>2</sup>													
					Time	Standard		Time Dummies	AR (2)	Non-	Observa-	Sargan	Hansen	Instr
	Coeff.	Std.Err.		Constant	Dummies		Wald-Test (p-value)	Wald-Test (p-value)	Test (p-value)	Observa- tions (%)	tions (%)	Test (p-value)	Test (p-value)	men
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.993	0.012	***											
Imports from HDCs	0.247	0.126	**											
imports from HDCs (t-1)	-0.249	0.123	**											
				yes	yes	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.141	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.027	0.427	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.995	0.014	***											
Exports to HDCs	-0.047	0.022	**											
Exports to HDCs (t-1)	0.043	0.018	**											
				yes	yes	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.479	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.018	0.511	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	0.999	0.013	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean	-0.006	0.011												
Imports from LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean (t-	-0.003	0.011												
1)														
Deal are scale ODD (1)	0.00-	0.0:=	***	yes	yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.527	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.049	0.525	18
, ,	0.987	0.015	***											
,	0.002	0.011												
Exports to LMDCs in Latin America or Caribbean (t-1)	0.008	0.011				0.411	0.000	0.000	0.500	E4 (00)	040 (0.5)	0.051	0.40=	40
	0.55			yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.520	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.051	0.487	18
, ,	0.992	0.013	***											
	0.013	0.014												
Imports from LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia (t-1)	-0.018	0.015												
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.466	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.027	0.432	18
	0.992	0.014	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia		0.007												
Exports to LMDCs in Europe or Central-Asia (t-1)	0.011	0.008												
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.552	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.040	0.414	18
, ,	0.990	0.015	***											
	0.036	0.054												
Imports from LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific (t-1)	-0.049	0.060												
			***	yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.447	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.033	0.408	18
, ,	0.991	0.014	***											
p	0.011	0.011												
Exports to LMDCs in Eastern-Asia or Pacific (t-1)	-0.017	0.012												
				yes	yes	0.110	0.000	0.000	0.603	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.034	0.467	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	1.004	0.017	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia	-0.021													
Imports from LMDCs in Southern-Asia (t-1)	0.033	0.014	**											
				yes	yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.572	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.047	0.480	18
, , , ,	0.991	0.014	***											
p	0.011	0.017												
Exports to LMDCs in Southern-Asia (t-1)	-0.010	0.017												
		0.04:		yes	yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.674	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.033	0.505	18
, , , ,		0.014	***											
Imports from LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East	-0.013													
Imports from LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East (t-1)	0.009	0.025				0.44			0.50	E4.46.77	0.10 ::	0.01-		4.6
		0.04:		yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.521	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.018	0.384	18
, ,	0.991	0.014	***											
Exports to LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East		0.007												
Exports to LMDCs in North Africa or Middle East (t-1)	0.006	0.008												
				yes	yes	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.544	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.053	0.428	18
Real per-capita GDP (t-1)	1.001	0.013	***											
Imports from LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa	-0.002	0.031												
Imports from LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa (t-1)	0.014	0.031												
				yes	yes	0.112	0.000	0.000	0.491	54 (20)	216 (80)	0.046	0.617	18
	0.992	0.011	***											
Exports to LMDCs in Sub-Saharan Africa	0.992 0.002 0.008	0.011 0.019 0.018	***											

Note: \*\*\* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.01 \*\* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.05 \* significance for  $\alpha$  = 0.10.



## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> Northern-Africa: Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, Tunisia; Western-Africa: Benin, Burkina-Faso, Cabo Verde, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea, Guinea, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo. Central-Africa: Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Rep., Chad, Congo Dem. Rep., Congo Rep., Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Liberia, São Tomé and PrincipeEastern-Africa: Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia, South-Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda. Southern-Africa: Angola, Botswana, Eswatini, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, South-Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe. Sub-Saharan Africa-SSA: Western-, Central-, Eastern-, and Southern-Africa.

#### References

- Abdu M, Jibir A, Abdullahi S, et al. (2021) Drivers of manufacturing firms' productivity: a micro-perspective to industrialization in Nigeria. SN-Business & Economics 1:32.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-020-00026-5
- Abdu M, Musa BM, Jibir A (2022) Firm-level study of the drivers of internationalization of small- and medium-scale enterprises in Sub-Saharan Africa. SN-Business & Economics 2:52.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-022-00227-0
- Acemoglu D, Naidu S, Restrepo P, Robinson JA (2019) Democracy does cause growth. Journal of Political Economy 127:47–100 https://doi.org/10.1086/700936
- Acemoglu D, Robinson JA (2012) Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity and Poverty. New York, Crown.
- Addison T, Ghoshray A, Stamatogiannis MP (2016) Agricultural commodity price shocks and their effect on growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Agricultural Economics 67:47–61.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12129">https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12129</a>
- Adusah-Poku F (2016) Which form of foreign capital inflows enhance economic growth? Empirical evidence in Sub-Saharan Africa. Asian Economic and Financial Review 6:557–570.
   https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.aefr/2016.6.10/102.10.557.570
- Ahlerup P, Baskaran T, Bigsten A (2016) Government impartiality and sustained growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. World Development 83:54–69 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.03.006
- Ahmed AD (2012) Debt burden, military spending and growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: a dynamic panel data analysis. Defence and Peace Economics 23:485–506.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/10242694.2011.627163
- Akhmat G, Zaman K, Shukui T, et al (2014) Social Health Indicators and Economic Growth: Evidence from East Asia and Pacific, Middle East and North Africa, South Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa. Social indicators research 119:663–686. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0538-x">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0538-x</a>
- Akobeng E (2016) Growth and institutions: A potential medicine for the poor in sub-Saharan Africa. African Development Review 28:1–17 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12163
- Alemu AM, Lee JS (2015) Foreign aid on economic growth in Africa: a comparison of low and middle-income countries. South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences 18:449–462. https://doi.org/10.17159/2222-3436/2015/v18n4a1
- Anetor FO (2020) Human capital threshold, foreign direct investment and economic growth: evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Development Issues 9:323–337. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJDI-01-2020-0014
- Anoruo E, Elike U (2015) Human Capital-Economic Growth Nexus in Africa: Heterogeneous Panel Causality Approach. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues 5:1017–1023.
- Arellano M, Bover O (1995) Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. Journal of Econometrics 68: 29–51 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(94)01642-d
- Arizala F, Gonzalez-Garcia J, Tsangarides CG, Yenice M (2021) The impact of fiscal consolidations on growth in sub-Saharan Africa. Empirical Economics 61:1–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-020-01863-x
- Asongu SA (2014) Does money matter in Africa? New empirics on long-and short-run effects of monetary policy on output and prices. Indian Growth and Development Review 7: 142–180. https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-12-2012-0048
- Autor DH, Dorn D, Hanson GH (2016) The China shock: Learning from labor-market adjustment to large changes in trade. Annual review of economics 8:205–240
   https://doi.org/10.1146/annureveconomics-080315-015041
- Babajide A, Ahmad AH, Coleman S (2021) Violent conflicts and state capacity: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Government and Economics, 3:100019.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ige.2021.100019
- Barro RJ, Sala-i-Martin X (1992) Public finance in models of economic growth. Review of Economic Studies 59:645https://doi.org/10.2307/2297991
- Barro RJ, Sala-i-Martin X (1997) Capital mobility in neoclassical models of growth. Journal of Economic Growth 2:1–26https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1009746629269
- Batuo ME (2015) The role of telecommunications infrastructure in the regional economic growth of Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 313–330 https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2015.0005
- Baum CF, Schaffer ME, Stillman S (2003) Instrumental Variables and GMM: Estimation and Testing. The Stata Journal, 3:1–31. https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867x0300300101
- Bertocchi G, Guerzoni A (2012) Growth, history, or institutions: What explains state fragility in sub-Saharan Africa? Journal of Peace Research 49:769–783.
   https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343312452420
- Blundell R, Bond S (1998) Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. Journal of Econometrics 87:115–143 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4076(98)00009-8
- Bond SA, Hoeffler A, Temple JR (2001) GMM estimation of empirical growth models. Economics Papers from Economics Group of Oxford University and Nuffield College 2001-W21:1–33.
- Bruckner M (2012) Economic growth, size of the agricultural sector, and urbanization in Africa. Journal of Urban Economics 71:26-36https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2011.08.004
- Brueckner M, Lederman D (2015) Trade openness and economic growth: Panel data evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. Economica 82:1302–1323 https://doi.org/10.1111/ecca.12160
- Cai J, Zheng Z, Hu R, et al (2018) Has international aid promoted economic growth in Africa? African Development Review 30:239–251 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12333
- Calderon C, Boreux S (2016) Citius, Altius, Fortius: Is Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa More Resilient? Journal of African Economies 25:502–528 https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejw006
- Chang CC, Mendy M (2012) Economic growth and openness in Africa: What is the empirical relationship? Applied Economics Letters 19:1903–1907 https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2012.676728
- Coase RH (1960) The Problem of Social Cost. The Journal of Law and Economics, 3:1-44 https://doi.org/10.1086/466560
- Cooper RN, Barro RJ (1997) Determinants of economic growth: A cross-country empirical study. Foreign Affairs, 76:154 https://doi.org/10.2307/20048292
- Collier P (2014) Attracting international private finance for African infrastructure. Journal of African Trade 1:37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joat.2014.09.002
- Cowling K, Tomlinson P (2011) Post the 'Washington Consensus': Economic governance and industrial strategies for the twenty-first century. Cambridge Journal of Economics 35:831–852. https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/ber003



- Dallago B, Casagrande S (eds) (2023) The Routledge handbook of comparative economic systems. London, Routledge.
- David OO (2019) Powering economic growth and development in Africa: telecommunication operations. Applied Economics 51:3583-3607 https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2019.1578852
- David OO, Grobler W (2020) Information and communication technology penetration level as an impetus for economic growth and development in Africa. Economic research-Ekonomska Istraživanja 33:1394—1418. https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2020.1745661
- De Jong E (2009) Culture and economic. London, Routledge
- Doku I, Akuma J, Owusu-Afriyie J (2017) Effect of Chinese foreign direct investment on economic growth in Africa. Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies 10: 162–171.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/JCEFTS-06-2017-0014">https://doi.org/10.1108/JCEFTS-06-2017-0014</a>
- Donou-Adonsou F, Lim S, Mathey SA (2016) Technological progress and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from telecommunications infrastructure. International Advances in Economic Research 22:65–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11294-015-9559-3
- Ehigiamusoe KU, Lean HH (2020) The role of deficit and debt in financing growth in West Africa. Journal of Policy Modeling 42:216–234https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2019.08.001
- Eichengreen B (2018) The populist temptation: Economic grievance and political reaction in the modern era. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Elhiraika AB, Aboubakar O, Muhammad K (2014) Promoting manufacturing to accelerate economic growth and reduce growth volatility in Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 1–20. http://www.istor.org/stable/23723963
- Epaphra M, Kombe AH (2017) Institutions and economic growth in Africa: Evidence from panel estimation. Business and Economic Horizons (BEH) 13:570–590. https://doi.org/10.22004/aq.econ.285110
- Farahane M, Heshmati A (2020) Trade and economic growth: Theories and evidence from the Southern African Development Community. IZA-Discussion Paper, No. 13679:1–27.
- Fayissa B, Nsiah C (2013) The impact of governance on economic growth in Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 91–108 https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2013.0009
- Ferrucci L, Gigliotti M, Runfola A (2018) Italian firms in emerging markets: Relationships and networks for internationalization in Africa. Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship 30:375—395. https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2017.1412611
- Festré A (2021) Michael Polanyi's vision of government and economics: Spanning Hayek and Keynes. Journal of Government and Economics, 4:100026https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jge.2021.100026
- Franses PH, Welz M (2022) Forecasting Real GDP Growth for Africa. Econometrics 10:3. https://doi.org/10.3390/econometrics10010003
- Gaibulloev K, Sandler T (2011) The adverse effect of transnational and domestic terrorism on growth in Africa. Journal of Peace Research 48:355–371. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343310395798
- Glegg C, Harris O, Ngo T, Susnjara J (2021) Having the government as a client: Does this reduce earnings management of the firm? Journal of Government and Economics, 4:100022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jge.2021.100022
- · Granovetter M (2017) Society and economy: Framework and principles. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Grossman GM, Helpman E (1990) Comparative advantage and long-run growth. American Economic Review 80:796–815.https://doi.org/10.3386/w2809
- Grossman GM, Helpman E (1991) Endogenous innovation in the theory of growth. Journal of Economic Perspectives 8:23-44https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.8.1.23
- Haftu GG (2019) Information communications technology and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: A panel data approach. Telecommunications Policy 43:88–99.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2018.03.010">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2018.03.010</a>
- Hagan E, Amoah A (2019) Foreign direct investment and economic growth nexus in Africa: New evidence from the new financial fragility measure. African Journal of Economic and Management Studies 11:1–17. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-05-2019-0180">https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-05-2019-0180</a>
- Hallett AH, Acocella N, Di Bartolomeo G. (2010) Policy games, policy neutrality and Tinbergen controllability under rational expectations. Journal of Macroeconomics, 32:55–67.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imacro.2009.07.002
- Heshmati A (ed) (2017) Economic transformation for poverty reduction in Africa. London, Routledge.
- Heshmati A (ed) (2018) Determinants of economic growth in Africa. London: Palgrave.
- Heshmati A, Lee S (2010) The relationship between globalization, economic growth and income inequality. Journal of Globalization Studies 1:87–117.
- Hofstede G, Hofstede GJ, Minkov M (2010) Cultures and organizations. New York, McGraw Hill.
- Ibrahim M (2018) Interactive effects of human capital in finance—economic growth nexus in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Economic Studies 45: 1192–1210https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-07-2017-0109
- Idun AA-A (2021) Does Finance Lead to Economic Growth Convergence in Africa? The Journal of Developing Areas 55:23–55<a href="https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2021.0051">https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2021.0051</a>
- IMF (2019) World economic outlook: Global manufacturing downturn, rising trade barriers. International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- IMF (2020) World economic outlook: A long and difficult ascent. International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- IMF (2021) World economic outlook: Recovery during a pandemic. International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- IMF (2022) World economic outlook: Countering the cost-of-living crisis. International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- Jaunky VC (2013) Democracy and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: a panel data approach. Empirical Economics 45:987–1008 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-012-0633-x
- Kagochi JM, Nasser OMA, Kebede E (2013) Does financial development hold the key to economic growth? The case of Sub-Saharan Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 61–79. https://doi.org/10.1353/ida.2013.0035
- Kayaoglu A, Naval J (2017) Urbanisation, education and the growth backlog of Africa. Journal of African Economies 26:584–606
   https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejx019
- Kedir A, Elhiraika A, Chinzara Z, Sandjong D (2017) Growth and development finance required for achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) in Africa. African Development Review 29:15—26. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12230
- Kim SN, Heshmati A (eds) (2019) Panel data econometrics: Empirical applications. Amsterdam, Elsevier.
- Koomson-Abekah I, Nwaba EC (2018) Africa-China investment and growth link. Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies 11: 132–150<a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/JCEFTS-11-2017-0024">https://doi.org/10.1108/JCEFTS-11-2017-0024</a>
- Kuada J (2014) Economic growth and poverty alleviation in Africa-linking hard and soft economics. African Journal of Economic and Management Studies 5:2-8attps://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-03-2014-0016
- Kumar A, Saleh O (2021) Foreign Aid and Sectoral Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 55:14 https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2021.0033
- Kurtishi-Kastrati S. (2013) The effects of foreign direct investments for host country's economy. European Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies 5:26–38.
- Lin JY (2021) New structural economics: A framework of studying government and economics. Journal of Government and Economics 2:100014https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jge.2021.100014



- Lucas RE (1988) On the mechanics of economic development. Journal of Monetary Economics 22: 3-42https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(88)90168-7
- Marelli E, Signorelli M (eds) (2022) Economic policy: policies after the great recession and the pandemic shock. Giappichelli. Torino.
- Marini M (2004) Cultural evolution and economic growth: a theoretical hypothesis with some empirical evidence. The Journal of Socio-Economics 33:765–784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2004.09.044
- Mazrui AA, Wiafe-Amoako F (eds.) (2015) African institutions: challenges to political, social, and economic foundations of Africa's development. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham.
- Mbate M (2013) Domestic Debt, Private Sector Credit and Economic Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. African Development Review 25:434-446 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12040
- Mensah, Lord, Allotey D, Sarpong-Kumankoma E, Coffie W (2019) What debt threshold hampers economic growth in Africa? International Journal of Development Issues 19: 25–42. https://doi.org/10.1108/JDI-03-2019-0056
- Mijiyawa A (2013) Africa's recent economic growth: What are the contributing factors? African Development Review 25:289-302 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8268.2013.12030.x
- Mol MJ, Stadler C, Ariño A (2017) Africa: The new frontier for global strategy scholars. Global Strategy Journal 7:3-9 https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1146
- Nafziger EW (2012) Economic development. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Narayan PK, Narayan S, Smyth R (2011) Does democracy facilitate economic growth or does economic growth facilitate democracy? An empirical study of Sub-Saharan Africa. Economic Modelling 28:900–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2010.11.004
- Ngameni JP, Kemmanang LF, Ngassam SB (2022) Growth gap between China and Africa: Do digital technologies matter? Journal of the Knowledge Economy 13:24

   –43.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-020-00716-3
- Nickell, S. (1981). Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects. Econometrica, 49(6), 1417.https://doi.org/10.2307/1911408
- Nsiah C, Fayissa B, Wu C (2016) The spatial effects on the rate of economic growth: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 50:275–288.
   https://doi.org/10.1353/jida.2016.0005
- Nwani SE (2021) Human capital interaction on foreign aid-growth nexus: evidence from South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Development Issues 20:258–279. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJDI-11-2020-0261
- N'Zue F (2020) Rethinking Africa's development. Generis, Moldova.
- Obstfeld M (2020) Globalization cycles. Italian Economic Journal, 6:1-12.https://doi.org/10.1007/s40797-020-00121-4
- Ogbuabor JE, Orji A, Manasseh CO, Anthony-Orji OI (2020) Institutional quality and growth in West Africa: what happened after the great recession? International Advances in Economic Research 26:343–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11294-020-09805-0
- Oluwatayo IB, Ojo AO (2018) Walking through a tightrope: The challenge of economic growth and poverty in Africa. The Journal of Developing Areas 52:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2018.0004
- Onjala J, K'Akumu OA (2016) Relational patterns of urbanisation and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa. Development Southern Africa 33:234–246 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2015.1120655">https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2015.1120655</a>
- Osei DB, Sare YA, Ibrahim M (2019) On the determinants of trade openness in low-and lower–middle-income countries in Africa: how important is economic growth? Future Business Journal 5:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-019-0002-8
- Pinkovskiy M, Sala-i-Martin X (2014) Africa is on time. Journal of Economic Growth 19:311–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-014-9103-y
- Porter ME, Stern S, Green M (2014) Social Progress Index 2014, Washington, DC: Social Progress Imperative.
- Razin A (2022) Understanding national-government policies regarding globalization: A trade-finance analysis. Journal of Government and Economics, 8:100060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ige.2023.100060
- Roodman D (2009a) How to do Xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. The Stata Journal 9: 86–136https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867x0900900106
- Roodman D (2009b) A note on the theme of too many instruments. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 71:135–158 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0084.2008.00542.x
- Romer M (1990) Endogenous technological change. Journal of Political Economy 98: 71–102 https://doi.org/10.1086/261725
- Saccone D (2021) Can the Covid-19 pandemic affect the achievement of the 'Zero Hunger' goal? Some preliminary reflections. European journal of health economics. 54:1655-1667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-021-01311-2
- Sadik-Zada ER (2020) Natural resources, technological progress, and economic modernization. Review of Development Economics, 25:381–404 https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12716
- Sadik-Zada ER (2023) Resource rents, savings behavior, and scenarios of economic development. Resources Policy, 81:103258https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103258
- Scalamonti F (2021) The transition of the southern economies of Mediterranean Sea: The macroeconomic framework and the determinants of foreign investments. Industry, 3:533–578.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1430/98711">https://doi.org/10.1430/98711</a>
- Scalamonti F (2022) Rethinking SMEs' internationalisation process: Emerging markets and cross-cultural distance. Generis, Moldova.
- Schwab K (2014) The global competitiveness report. Geneva, World Economic Forum.
- Schwab K (2015) The global competitiveness report. Geneva, World Economic Forum
- Seetanah B, Rojid S (2011) Analysing the sources of economic growth in Africa using growth an accounting and a panel VAR approach. The Journal of Developing Areas 367–390.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1353/ida.0.0094">https://doi.org/10.1353/ida.0.0094</a>
- Shaaba SC, Ngepah N (2018) Military Expenditure, Industrialisation, and Economic Growth in Africa: Evidence from a Panel Causality Analysis. African Journal of Business & Economic Research 13:29—48. https://doi.org/10.31920/1750-4562/2018/v13n3a2
- Shittu WO, Yusuf HA, El Houssein AEM, Hassan S (2020) The impacts of foreign direct investment and globalisation on economic growth in West Africa: examining the role of political governance. Journal of Economic Studies 47:1733–1755. https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-09-2019-0446
- Solow RM (1956) A Contribution to the theory of economic growth. Quarterly Journal of Economics 70:65-94https://doi.org/10.2307/1884513
- Solow RM (1957) Technical change and the aggregate production function. Review of Economics and Statistics 39:312–320https://doi.org/10.2307/1926047
- Stiglitz JE (2021) The proper role of government in the market economy: The case of the post-COVID recovery. Journal of Government and Economics 1:100004. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ige.2021.100004
- Togati T, Visaggio M (2016) Lucas Island Model: A "case study" for improving students' skill in interpreting macroeconomic models. Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research 17: 118–142.
- Toh K (2016) Emerging growth economies in sub-Saharan Africa. The American Economist 61:229–244.



- Trebilcock M (2015) Between theories of trade and development: the future of the world trading system. The Journal of World Investment & Trade 16:122–140 https://doi.org/10.1163/22119000-01601004
- Udeagha MC, Ngepah N (2021) The asymmetric effect of trade openness on economic growth in South Africa: a nonlinear ARDL approach. Economic Change and Restructuring 54:491–540 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-020-09285-6
- Valli V (2018) The American Economy from Roosevelt to Trump. Palgrave, London.
- Walle YM (2014) Revisiting the finance—growth nexus in Sub-Saharan Africa: Results from error correction-based panel cointegration tests. African Development Review 26:310–321. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12083
- Wiafe-Amoako F (ed) (2021) Africa 2020-2022. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham.
- Windmeijer F (2005) A finite sample correction for the variance of linear efficient two-step GMM estimators. Journal of Econometrics 126:25–51 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.02.005
- Wolf M, Zedillo E (2015) The shifts and the shocks: What we have learned and have still to learn from the financial crisis. Penguin, New York.
- World Bank (2020) World development report: Trading for development in the age of global value chains. World Bank, Washington DC.
- World Bank (2022) World development report: Finance for an equitable recovery. World Bank, Washington DC.