

# Review of: "[Commentary] Comments on: “A perspective on impedance matching and resonance absorption mechanism for electromagnetic wave absorbing” by Hou et al. [Carbon 222 (2024) 118935]"

Moumita Saha

Potential competing interests: Nonlinear Optics, Photonics, Inverter, Motor

1. The abstract must be reframed in a far better way. Like "This is a comment on the problems of the paper by Hou et al.," the author should not mention any citation in the abstract. The abstract should be a concise concept of the whole work.
2. The introduction starts with an incomplete line: "A huge number of papers have been published ." regarding what?
3. While you are demanding that journal papers are wrong, a thorough case study is required. Moreover, the word "research" itself signifies that facts are re-searching. Therefore, every research is relatively correct or wrong according to the era. The writing flow of the manuscript should be more polite and professional.