

# **Proof of Luck**

Dmitri Martila



# Preprint v1

July 17, 2023

https://doi.org/10.32388/5KZT00

# **PROOF OF LUCK**

# DMITRI MARTILA INDEPENDENT RESEARCHER J. V. JANNSENI 6–7, PÄRNU 80032, ESTONIA

ABSTRACT. A simple proof confirms Riemann, Generalized Riemann, Collatz, Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures, and Fermat's Last Theorem. MSC Class: 11M26, 11M06.

# 5 1. About Dmitri Martila

6 My most recent progress is Ref. [1].

1

2

3

4

7 2. An Interesting Way of Aristotelian Logic

8 The total amount *H* of prime numbers is infinite:

(1) 
$$H = \infty.$$

9 Therefore, H cannot be any finite number. This means that  $H \neq 1$ , 10  $H \neq 2$ ,  $H \neq 3$ , and so on. I see that the number on the right-hand 11 side grows indefinitely, so I have the right to write the final record:

(2)  $H \neq \infty$ .

But recall Eq. (1). Therefore, after inserting this equation into the left-hand side of Eq. (2), I have  $\infty \neq \infty$ ,  $\infty - \infty \neq 0$ . The equations (1) and (2) are not in mutual contradiction because  $\infty - \infty$  is a type of mathematical uncertainty.

A "counter-example" is a situation in which zero of the zeta function does not belong to x = 1/2. The total number V of such counterexamples is still unknown but cannot be a finite number. [2] So,  $V \neq 1$ ,  $V \neq 2$ ,  $V \neq 3$ , and upto infinity:

(3) 
$$V \neq \infty$$
.

By inserting the definition of V into the left-hand side of Eq. (3), I am reading from it: the unknown number of counter-examples cannot be infinite.

eestidima@gmail.com.

#### DMITRI MARTILA

Because of the generality of this line of thinking, I am applying this
logic to other open questions of mathematics, e.g., Collatz conjecture
and Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

# 3. Why the method has the power?

A general, who has subsequently lost ten battles, is over ten times 5 more likely to lose a coming battle than a general, who has never lost 6 a battle. This fact does not depend on the skills of generals (because 7 my consideration does not mention a single word about abilities and 8 skills), only on the bad luck of the first general, and luck of the second. 9 The "five sigma rule" used to discover the Higgs Boson is the reliance 10 on luck. Why? It is accepted the existence of this particle because the 11 probability of a mistake is less than the five sigma rule value. 12

There is a possibility of time-machine causality violation, making 13 the reality unreal. But we are lucky enough that nobody has built a 14 time machine. Therefore, nobody has convinced Nature that it does 15 not exist in reality. If something (Dark Energy, Dark Matter, Black 16 Holes, interplay of fundamental constants, Quantum Mechanics, World 17 Peace Treaty) is necessary for reality, it exists due to luck. If something 18 harmful (wars, death, sickness) is destroying existence, it is because of 19 bad luck. 20

The Einstein Equations, in their original form, were

$$G_{\nu\mu}(ds^2) = 8\pi T_{\nu\mu} + X_{\nu\mu} \,,$$

where a correction term  $X_{\nu\mu} \equiv 0$ ; the  $ds^2$  is spacetime geometry, i.e., metric.

In the Large Hadron Collider before the proton-antiproton colli-23 sion were the matter tensor  $T^A_{\nu\mu}$ . The corresponding spacetime is  $ds^A$ . 24 But after the collision, much another matter was created, along with 25 annihilation-photons:  $T^B_{\nu\mu}$ , with the solution of Einstein Equations: 26  $ds^B$ . The  $ds^A \neq ds^B$ . So, at the moment of proton-antiproton colli-27 sion, there is an unknown kind of matter:  $T_{\nu\mu}^{C}$ . Because the matter is 28 unknown, it is a mix of known matter and the non-vanishing correction 29 term  $X_{\nu\mu}$  acting as a transition  $T^A_{\nu\mu} \to T^B_{\nu\mu}$ . 30

Dark Matter is a transition between the imprint of matter and sub-31 sequent radiation on spacetime during the matter-antimatter annihi-32 lation or during the impact of protons in the Large Hadron Collider. 33 And the Sun cannot miraculously disappear. Why? The vacuum and 34 Sun spacetime solutions are incompatible without a transition term in 35 the Einstein Equations: Dark Matter. Dark Matter is mathematical, 36 not Physical. Dark Matter makes the Einstein Equations consistent. 37 Dark Energy is luck because luck if it exists, has to have a place to be. 38

4

#### PROOF OF LUCK

Consider the quantum entanglement of two particles. We are lucky enough that even though Nature forbids faster-than-light communication, the measurement of one particle's spin coincides with another particle's measurement. In this way, Albert Einstein's Theory of Relativity does not become incomplete or wrong.

Consider the Fermi paradox: "absence of recordable life in cosmos, 6 while the abiogenesis has to happen." Romantic people look at night 7 sky star systems and think that the sky is full of life because the chance 8 for Earth to get alive was the same as the chance for any suitable planet 9 to bloom with living organisms. It is a romantic delusion. The Earth 10 is alive, and Mars is dead only because people are born on Earth. 11 Consider ten suitable for life planets. The Earth and Mars are among 12 them. The current time is 4 000 000 000 BC. If it is given that there will 13 be one single living planet in this group of planets with a probability 14 of 30 %, then the probability that the Earth gets alive is exactly this 15 30 %. Because humans can live only there, where they are born. But 16 Mars has not this advantage; hence, the probability of Mars getting life 17 is (1/10)\*30%=3%. The difference between 3 % and 30 % is explained 18 by Luck. This solves the Fermi paradox. 19

# 20 4. BIRCH AND SWINNERTON-DYER CONJECTURE

The question of the validity of this conjecture is the answer to the question: should the number of counter-examples be finite or infinite if the conjecture is false? If it is infinite, then the conjecture is true. An elliptic curve definition is

(4) 
$$\sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{\nu\mu} x^{\nu} y^{\mu} = 0.$$

If an counter-example has  $c_{\nu\mu} = k_{\nu\mu}$ , then by making transformation of variables  $x = q \hat{x}, y = w \hat{y}$ , where q and w are any two rational numbers, I come to an infinitude of counter-examples. An elliptic curve y = y(x)definition has

(5) 
$$\sum_{\nu,\mu} \hat{c}_{\nu\mu} \hat{x}^{\nu} \, \hat{y}^{\mu} = 0 \,,$$

29 where  $\hat{c}_{\nu\mu} = k_{\nu\mu} q^{\nu} w^{\mu}$ .

30

# 5. Fermat's Last Theorem

- A counter-example to this conjecture would have
  - (6)  $a^n + b^n = c^n,$

# DMITRI MARTILA

1 where integer  $n \geq 3$ . The a, b, c are rational numbers. Then, any 2 of (m a, m b, m c) triplets is a counter-example, where m > 0 is any 3 rational number. So, there should be an infinite number of counter-4 examples if Fermat's Last Theorem is false. Hence, Fermat's Last 5 Theorem is not false.

# 6. Collatz conjecture

The Collatz conjecture is one of the most famous unsolved problems
in mathematics. The conjecture asks whether repeating two simple
arithmetic operations will eventually transform every positive integer *n* into 1.

11 It deals with the following operation on an arbitrary positive integer: 12 if the number is even, divide it by two; but if the number is odd, triple 13 it and add one.

Assuming at least one counter-example exists, I come to an infinitude of them. If n = N is a counter-example, then all numbers of form  $2^k N$ , where  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., \infty$ , are counter-examples. So, the total number of counter-examples is infinite. But counter-examples were not found.

# 18 7. GENERALIZED RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

Assuming at least one counter-example exists, I come to an infinitude 19 of them. The generalized Riemann Hypothesis makes the inequality 20 of Dr. Schoenfeld  $|\pi(n) - \text{Li}(n)| < (1/(8\pi))\sqrt{n} \ln n$  stronger. [3] It is 21 known that there are infinitely many violations of Schoenfeld's inequal-22 ity because Dr. Robin has shown an infinitude of counter-examples. 23 Because generalized inequalities are stronger bounds than Dr. Schoen-24 feld's one, there are infinitely many violations of them. Infinitely many 25 counter-examples of generalized Riemann Hypothesis. But none of 26 27 them were found.

# 8. Continuum hypothesis

In mathematics, specifically set theory, the continuum hypothesis (abbreviated CH) is a hypothesis about the possible sizes of infinite sets. It states that there is no set whose cardinality is strictly between that of the integers and the real numbers. (en.wikipedia.org: Continuum Hypothesis). If there is a set, which is a counter-example to that claim, then there are infinitely many counter-examples made by multiplication of this set's members with an arbitrary integer.

4

6

28

#### PROOF OF LUCK

# 9. CONCLUSION

English idiom "Where there's a will, there's a way" means if someone 2 really wants to do something, they will find a way to do it. Citizens, 3 do not dishonor my planet Earth with a dark mind. Know everything. 4 Knowing everything, you also know that God exists. Why? Because 5 only God knows everything. "Ye are Gods," says Jesus Christ in the 6 Holy Bible. And knowing God, you have the gift of Omniscience. I 7 know what time and space are, what love and holiness are, and I know 8 what black holes are. 9

# References

- 11 [1] Dmitri Martila, Stefan Groote, "Evaluation of the Gauss Integral." Stats. 5(2):
  538-545 (2022).
- 13 https://doi.org/10.3390/stats5020032

1

10

- 14 [2] Guy Robin, "Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothése
  15 de Riemann." J. Math. pures appl, 63(2): 187–213 (1984).
- 16 [3] Lowell Schoenfeld, "Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions  $\theta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ .
- 17 II", Mathematics of Computation, 30 (134): 337–360 (1976).
- 18 https://doi.org/10.2307/2005976