Research Article The Intelligence of Nations. National IQs and Correlates

Ronald Henss¹

1. Independent researcher

Intelligence is by far the most important human trait. This holds true at the level of individuals as well as at the level of nations. The average cognitive performance of their inhabitants is the most important determinant of the social, cultural, and economic development of nations. The article considers cognitive ability of nations from the perspective of psychometric intelligence research, international student assessment studies, and Piaget's developmental psychology. Based on up-to-date data, the national IQ of 205 countries is estimated. Then it is shown that national IQs are closely related to a wide range of variables from different domains of life. Overall, it is demonstrated that the central findings of psychometric intelligence research hold unchanged. A special feature is the consideration of non-linear relationships. Using a specific threshold model derived from Piagetian developmental psychology, it is shown that the importance of national IQs is greater than previously known.

Highlights

- · Integration of psychometric intelligence research, international student assessment studies and Piaget's developmental psychology
- Update of national IQs for 205 nations.
- National IQs are closely related to a vast array of areas of life and are an excellent predictor of the well-being of nations
- Special feature: Focus on non-linear relationships

Introduction

Already thousands of years ago, people noticed that ethnic groups differ from each other in their cognitive abilities, even if a scientific concept was still a long way off. For example "Aristotle wrote in his *Politics*: "The peoples of the cold regions and those in Europe are of brave character, but lag behind in intelligence and artistry." The peoples of Asia, on the other hand, are intelligent and artistically gifted, but powerless, and therefore live as subordinates and servants." "The Greek people, living so to speak in the middle between the two climates, would have the advantages of both sides, being energetic and intelligent at the same time" (Vonderach, 2014, p. 13; translation R.H.¹). Here we already have an early form of a climate theory, and until today some regard the enormous differences between the climate zones as a major cause of the enormous differences in intelligence between peoples and nations (in particular also Richard Lynn, who is of paramount importance on the subject of intelligence of nations; see for example Lynn, 2015). We want to add just one more quote.² It comes from the "*Library of History*" by the ancient Greek historian Diodorus Siculus who lived in the 1st century BC. "The Egyptians are intelligent, but in a way that is different from other peoples. They are adept at the exact sciences and geometry, but they are not as capable in abstract reasoning as the Greeks". This statement is quite extraordinary. It appears as if Diodorus distinguishes between concrete-operational and formal-operative thinking in the sense of Jean Piaget. Only a few centuries before, at the time of Socrates and Plato, such a statement would not have been possible, because formal-operative thinking did not exist yet. The beginnings were with Aristotle and after a short flare-up among the Hellenists, it disappeared again until it started its triumphal course in the process of modernization in the western world (Cohen, 2010; Oesterdiekhoff, 2013; Piaget and Garcia, 1989; Russo, 2004).

Psychometric Intelligence Research

From the beginning of scientific psychology more than 150 years ago, intelligence was a central topic (Galton, 1869, 1883). With the advent of psychometric intelligence tests more than 100 years ago, scientifically sound information became available from different parts of the world, but comprehensive systematic research on the cognitive ability of peoples – or more precisely, nations – did not begin until the 1970s. Since then, a revolution in psychometric intelligence research has taken place, and it is inextricably linked to Richard Lynn, who, first on his own and then in cooperation with researchers from around the world, created an empirical database that is second to none.

As to the origin of this phenomenal success story, let's let the researcher himself have his say. "I began to collect the IQs of nations in the 1970s stimulated by the rapid economic development of Japan and Singapore, and other free market countries in East Asia in the years following the World War Two… I wondered whether there might be differences in intelligence between nations that might contribute to these differences in economic development… During the 1980s I collected data for IQs for a number of countries and published a compilation in Lynn (1991). This set the British IQ at 100 (standard deviation 15), and documented studies showing that European nations also had an average IQ of 100, Northeast Asian nations had an average IQ of 106, South Asians and North African nations had an average of 84, and the sub-Saharan African nations had an average IQ of 70" (Lynn, 2018, p. 256).

In 2002, in collaboration with Tatu Vanhanen, he published the seminal work *"IQ and the Wealth of Nations*", in which the authors presented empirical data on the intelligence levels of 81 countries and estimated scores for additional nations so that all 185 nations with populations greater than 50,000 were represented. With this dataset, they confirmed Lynn's earlier findings, particularly that East Asians score higher in intelligence than Europeans and that the level in sub-Saharan Africa is 70. In addition, they showed that the wealth of nations, as measured by per capita income, is closely related to intelligence (in the subsample of 81 countries with psychometric measurement scores, the correlation is.73; in the overall sample, it is.62). They also showed that national IQ scores correlate exceptionally highly with findings from international student assessment studies, mathematics.88, science.87.

In 2006 the collaborative work "*IQ* and global inequality" followed, and in 2012 "*Intelligence*. A unifying construct for the social sciences". These substantially expanded the empirical database and provided impressive support for the previous findings. In addition, the authors demonstrated that intelligence is highly correlated with numerous salient variables across a wide range of domains, such as educational achievement, economic performance, social inequality, poverty, political institutions, health, fertility, sanitation, corruption, crime, liberal vs. conservative attitudes, religiosity, and happiness. But not only that: by additionally including other variables that appeared to be relevant in the respective domain, Lynn and Vanhanen were able to demonstrate that intelligence has much greater explanatory power than the competing variables; often intelligence alone has greater explanatory power than the other variables combined.

In 2019, Lynn, in collaboration with David Becker, published *"The intelligence of nations"*. The database was again considerably expanded and the estimates further refined.³ To complement the book, the NIQ database, maintained primarily by David Becker, was created and is freely available on the Internet at <u>https://viewoniq.org/</u> (Becker, 2019). Based on the expanded database, the previous findings could be confirmed in all respects and supplemented with new ones.

Especially in the beginning, Lynn and Vanhanen's books were bitterly attacked from various sides. The accusations ranged from "Measuring national intelligence is meaningless!" to the inevitable screaming of "Racism! Racism!". For example, "Susan Barnett and Wendy Williams described our national IQs as "virtually meaningless" and Earl Hunt and Robert Sternberg described them as "meaningless". However, Earl Hunt later changed his mind about the validity of our national IQs because in his book *Human Intelligence* (2011, p. 440) he wrote "Lynn and Vanhanen's conclusions about correlations between IQ estimates and measures of social well-being are probably correct"" (Lynn, 2020, p. 331-332). And in 2018, Robert Sternberg published Richard Lynn's chapter *The Intelligence of Nations* in his book, *The Nature of Human Intelligence*. Richard Lynn comments on this with "Thus, in the course of twelve years my national IQs had made the transition from "technically inadequate … and meaningless" (Hunt and Sternberg, 2006) to mainstream acceptance" (Lynn and Becker, 2019, p. 10).

The "Racism! Racism!" screaming, however, continues to this day. We will address the ideology-driven accusations in the discussion.

Early on, some researchers recognized the fruitfulness of Lynn's approach; over the years, more and more did, and in an impressive collaboration, a network of researchers from around the world emerged, yielding a wealth of scientific findings. We refrain from listing the names of dozens of co-investigators and instead refer to Lynn's *"Memoirs of a Dissident Psychologist"*, in which he provides illuminating insight into his extraordinary work and credits numerous researchers who have made invaluable contributions (Lynn, 2020).

Overall, the line of research established by Lynn has demonstrated in an unprecedented way that intelligence is by far the most important variable in humans.

• Far and wide, there is not a single variable in sight that is independent of intelligence and has nearly as much explanatory power in so many different domains as intelligence.

Student Assessment Studies

Alongside the psychometric approach, another powerful branch of research unfolded that also yielded a wealth of information about the cognitive ability of nations. We are talking, of course, about the international student assessment studies such as PISA, TIMSS & Co.⁴ There, students of a clearly defined age group are tested at certain intervals, for example, in the case of PISA, the 15-year-olds, and in the case of TIMSS, students in the 4th and 8th grades. These studies have the advantage of having large samples; and because standardized test procedures are used, achievement trends can be tracked over time. In the early days, the studies were conducted almost exclusively in advanced industrialized nations. Over the years, more and more countries participated, but many from the lower intelligence range are still missing. There are, however, some regional studies, for example, from West Africa, Southeast Africa, Latin America, and South Asia. In each case, the organizers have developed their own tests adapted to the much lower performance levels.

The key point is:

Student achievement tests are not the same as psychometric intelligence tests, but of course, student achievement depends to a large extent on
intelligence; and intelligence develops in the course of that many years of schooling.

Richard Lynn and other intelligence researchers have recognized this from the beginning. For example, Weede and Kämpf (2002), Weede (2004), Weiss (2002, 2006, 2009, 2012, 2022), Rindermann (2006, 2007), Lynn and Mikk (2007). Lynn, Meisenberg, Mikk and Williams (2007). Meisenberg and Lynn remark: "IQ and school achievement are closely related. At the individual level within countries, correlations between IQ tests and school achievement tests are typically between 0.5 and 0.7 (Jencks, 1972; Jensen, 1998; Mackintosh, 1998), but can be as high as 0.8 (Deary et al, 2006). At the country level, correlations between the results of IQ tests and scholastic assessments are in the vicinity of 0.9 (Lynn & Mikk, 2007; Lynn et al., 2007; Lynn & Meisenberg, 2010). Therefore the two types of test appear to measure identical or closely related constructs" (Meisenberg and Lynn, 2011, p. 424). This extremely important finding has been confirmed time and again. For example, Heiner Rindermann provides an insightful analysis. He shows: student assessment studies highly correlate across scales, across grades, across time, across different studies, and across different approaches. And – this is the crucial point – "they also highly correlate with psychometric IQ" (Rindermann, 2018, p. 94). In a joint factor analysis of data from PISA, TIMSS, PIRLS, some older student assessment studies, and the national IQs according to Lynn and Vanhanen (2012), there emerged "one very strong G factor, the first unrotated factor of a factor analysis; the average loadings are $\lambda = .95$ " (p. 94). The loading of Lynn and Vanhanen's national IQs is .94.

Because of the outstanding correspondence, intelligence researchers have happily incorporated the valuable treasure of the neighboring discipline into their own data. In sharp contrast, educational researchers until today refuse to take note of psychometric intelligence research. The ignorance goes so far that the word intelligence does not appear at all in official reports or in countless research articles, and the name Richard Lynn is absolutely taboo. "Conventionally, such behavior might be described as idea plagiarism or at least as scholarly misconduct" (Rindermann, 2023, p. 715). However, as we will see, political correctness does not change empirical facts.

Jean Piaget's Developmental Psychology and Piagetian Cross-Cultural Developmental Psychology

In addition to psychometric intelligence research and student assessment studies, there is another approach that has yielded extraordinarily important findings. We are talking about Jean Piaget's developmental psychology and Piagetian cross-cultural developmental psychology. While intelligence research and student assessment studies are in full bloom, Piaget's contribution has been almost forgotten.

Piagetian developmental psychology does not provide estimates of national intelligence, but its theoretical framework is indispensable to an understanding of intelligence. Piaget's interest focuses on the development of intelligence from birth through early adulthood. Intelligence is not given as a ready-made package at birth; rather, it unfolds in ongoing active interaction with the environment. Development proceeds according to a specific pattern in which four qualitatively different stages can be distinguished from one another.⁵

The sensorimotor stage: The first stage extends from birth to about age 1½ to 2. Here, practical intelligence develops without conscious, rational thought.

The *preoperational* stage: The second stage extends from about 1¹/₂ to 2 to about 6 to 7 years of age. Here, consciousness and symbolic reasoning develop. However, reasoning is seriously deficient and "[t] he child this stage lives in a fairy tale world, in a world of magic, monsters, witches, mysteries, myths, and physical impossibilities" (Oesterdiekhoff, 2021).

The *concrete-operational* stage: The third stage extends from about age 6 to 7 until about age 11 to 12. Now the capacity for logical operations develops, but – this is the crucial point – these remain perception-bound and limited to one's own concrete world of experience. The irrational magical-animistic patterns of thought are weakened, but still remain present to some extent.

The *formal-operative* stage: From about the age of 11 to 12, reflexive, abstract and theoretical reasoning can develop. Only now do we find hypothetical, theoretical-logical thinking, formal reasoning, counterfactual thinking, meta-reflection, the overcoming of the egocentric perspective and the decoupling of thinking from perception.

The core concept that marks the difference between the second and the third and the third and the fourth stage is called *Operations* by Piaget. Operations are reversible actions. They are best described by Piaget's famous experimental set-up on volume preservation.

On the table are two identically shaped flat, wide glasses, A and B, both filled with water to the same height. Next to them is an empty, tall, narrow glass, C. The subject is asked to pour the water from glass B into glass C. Young children think that glass C contains more water than glass A. When they pour the water back from C to B, they think it has become less. People at the preformal stage are unable to consider height and surface area simultaneously and focus on the most salient feature, in this case height. They do not understand that the reversibility of the action is equivalent to volume preservation. It is not until the third stage that understanding of operations and logical thinking develop.

The difference between the third and the fourth stage concerns the scope of operations. On the concrete-operational stage, operations and logical thinking are bound to the own concrete world of experience. Only on the formal-operative level, operations and logical thinking succeed also with abstract, formal concepts and hypothetical assumptions. For this, an example from the studies conducted by Alexandr Luria in the 1930s among the largely illiterate rural population of Uzbekistan:⁶

Q: All bears are white where there is always snow; in Nowaya Zemlya there is always snow; what color are the bears there?

A: I have seen only black bears and I do not talk of what I have not seen.

Q: But what do my words imply?

A: If a person has not been there he cannot say anything on the basis of words. If a man was 60 or 80 and had seen a white bear there and told me about it, he could be believed.

People at a preformal stage are not able to draw logical conclusions merely from the formal structure of a syllogism and they are not willing to put themselves in a hypothetical situation and they refuse to draw conclusions from postulated suppositions in which they do not believe. This does not at all mean that preformal people cannot draw logical conclusions and cannot think hypothetically. Logical conclusions in concrete life situations are commonplace, and when it comes to God, gods, ghosts, spirits, witches and miracles, they take the most absurd fantasies at face value.

To summarize briefly:

People at a preoperational stage do not cope with operations at all.

People at the concrete-operational stage cope with operations only within their own concrete world of experience (1st order operations).

People at the formal-operative stage cope with operations beyond that (operations of operations; 2nd order operations).

Cross-cultural Piagetian developmental psychology has demonstrated that the stages can be observed all over the world; nowhere has a form of thinking been identified that could not be located within this conceptual framework.

Cognitive development proceeds from the simple to the complex and there is an increasing differentiation and hierarchization. This development is directional and irreversible except through brain injuries, mental disorders, age-related deterioration or under the influence of drugs.

Although the stages are qualitatively distinct from one another, they are not strictly disjunct categories and the notion of a staircase would be grossly misleading. Within the stages, there is continuous development whereby cognitive structures are reorganized in minute steps until, at a critical point, reasoning attains new qualities. There is, for example, a huge difference between the child who has just passed the preoperational stage and the child who is close to acquiring formal-operative thinking. Within the stages, sub-stages can be distinguished from each other.

The age specifications refer to modern industrial societies. They are qualified by "about" because development occurs at different pace in individuals within a population and between populations.

Individuals and societies do not reside at a single stage. For example, formal thinking is often restricted to some areas of life that play a special role, while otherwise reasoning is concrete-operational or even preoperational.

All healthy individuals and all human societies reach the second, the preoperational stage. Besides that, there are huge differences between individuals within one and the same population and also between populations.

The most important point is that the fundamental cleavage is not between the second and the third stage, but between the third and the fourth. Concreteoperational thinking overcomes many of the blatant deficiencies of preoperational thinking, but remnants of magical-animistic thinking and the egocentric perspective remain. It is not until formal-operative thinking that these deficiencies are overcome and entirely new possibilities unlocked. Only people who were capable of formal-operative thinking could create the modern world characterized by sciences, enlightenment, industrialization, rationalization, secularization, humanization and democratization.

The fundamental cleavage may also be expressed in this way: People at preformal stages are at the cognitive developmental level of children. People at the formal-operative stage are at the developmental level of adolescents or young adults in modern societies, depending on the extent of formal thinking. Expressed in age and IQ scores, and compared to the level of development in modern societies, it can be roughly said:⁷ Adults with an IQ below 60 are at the cognitive level of up to 7-year-olds (preoperational). Adults with an IQ of 60 to 80 reside at the level of 7- to 12-year-olds (concrete-operational). IQ scores above 80 correspond to the various sub-levels of formal-operative thinking that unfolds around age 11.

These statements - this is of outstanding importance for our topic - apply not only to individuals, but to nations as well.

The Flynn Effect

As early as the first half of the 20th century, researchers noticed that performance on psychometric intelligence tests was improving over time. IQ tests are normed so that the population has a mean of 100. To ensure this continued to be the case, increasingly difficult tasks had to be inserted when tests were renormed. The phenomenon was discussed in narrow circles but did not receive widespread attention. This did only change when James Flynn published two articles in the *Psychological Bulletin* in 1984 and 1987 entitled *"The mean IQ of Americans: Massive gains 1932 to 1978"* and *"Massive IQ gains in 14 nations: What IQ tests really measure."* In the first, he showed that intelligence in the U.S. has increased massively over decades, while at the same time performance on the widely used college aptitude test SAT has stagnated or even declined. In the second article, he showed that a comparable increase in intelligence had occurred in other industrialized nations as well. Suddenly, the research community became aware of the explosive nature of this finding and a veritable research boom erupted and the phenomenon was given the name *Flynn effect*⁸ as suggested by Herrnstein and Murray (1994).

What is absolutely extraordinary about the Flynn effect is its sheer magnitude. Now that a mountain of empirical data and some meta-analyses are available,⁹ there is consensus that phenotypic intelligence has increased by about 0.3 points per decade in various countries during the 20th century. That, in turn, means that IQ has risen by about 30 points in the 20th century! This finding, which at first glance seems "completely impossible", has caused considerable confusion among researchers for decades. Towards the end of this article, we will show that until today, some still do not understand the Flynn effect.

The Flynn effect is not limited to a few Western countries. It is a global phenomenon. All over the world, people are much more intelligent today than they were in 1900, although the gains vary in magnitude.

The Flynn effect is not a "never ending story". For some time, there has been evidence that cognitive development has stagnated or even somewhat declined in several Western countries.¹⁰ Something similar is also reported for some countries in the Islamic world, for example Bakhiet et al. (2018), Bal-Sezerel, Ateşgöz, and Kirişçi (2023).

A key to understanding the Flynn effect was already provided by James Flynn in his 1984 article. As mentioned, he not only showed that IQ in the U.S. has massively increased over decades, but he also showed that at the same time performance in the college aptitude test SAT has remained the same or even decreased. Because the SAT, of course, also measures aspects of intelligence, this may seem absurd. But it is not at all. Intelligence is an extraordinarily broad construct that encompasses many different components. These all correlate positively with each other – which is the very basis for measuring general intelligence. The key point is: the components can develop differently over time without erasing the positive correlation with the others.

Over decades, Flynn sought to pinpoint the different gains in the various sub-areas of intelligence and to understand the basic pattern behind these. The largest gains are found in Raven's Progressive Matrices, "there is a huge literature showing that Raven's gains have proceeded at no less than 0.50 IQ points per year in every developed nation for which we have data" (Flynn, 2009, p. 8).¹¹ In the Wechsler intelligence tests,¹² very large gains are found in the Similarities and Coding subtests for both adults and children; also in Vocabulary for adults and Block Design for children. Only small increases are found for Arithmetic and, for children, for Vocabulary and Information. People in advanced countries are no better at reading, writing, and arithmetic today than they were half a century ago, but during the 20th century thinking in other areas has attained a new quality. Raven's matrices are completely abstract and "the entire test demands detaching logic from a concrete referent" (p. 30). To illustrate the crucial point about the Similarities subtest, Flynn cites another example from Alexandr Luria's study among the rural population of Uzbekistan in the 1930s (p. 29).

Q: What do a fish and a crow have in common?

A: A fish – it lives in water. A crow flies. If the fish just lies on top of the water, the crow could peck at it. A crow can eat a fish but a fish can't eat a crow. Q: Could you use one word for both of them?

A: If you call them "animals" that wouldn't be right. A fish isn't an animal and a crow isn't either. A crow can eat a fish but a fish can't eat a bird. A person can eat a fish but not a crow.

The thinking is entirely directed to concrete aspects of practical usefulness; an abstract superordinate categorization is rejected as useless. "The Similarities subtest assumes exactly the opposite, that is, it damns the concrete in favor of the abstract" (p. 27). This statement makes a key point: in today's intelligence tests, abstract, theoretical, hypothetical thinking is rewarded and concrete thinking based on practical utility is devalued as "false".

Today's intelligence tests measure something different from earlier tests, or rather, they evaluate performance according to different standards.

In some sub-areas, today's intelligence tests require capabilities that are not necessary in less developed societies and that were not developed at all for most of human history.

In Flynn's interpretation, the fundamental change is that over the course of the 20th century, broader and broader segments of the population replaced the utilitarian mindset with a scientific one and took hypothetical problems seriously.

Of particular interest is Flynn's explicit reference to Jean Piaget's developmental stages. "Virtually all people in 1900 lived in a pre-scientific age. This is not to say that the distinction between concrete vs. formal is identical to the distinction between pre-scientific vs. post-scientific¹³... However, the two are undoubtedly causally linked in terms of historical context. People lacking in a scientific perspective are much more likely to have their intelligence grounded on the concrete level. No one can go back to 1900 and give Piagetian tests. I merely assert the following as a plausible hypothesis: most people were on the concrete level in 1900; a majority of people today move to the formal level in their early teens and, by adulthood, they are overwhelmingly on the formal level" (Flynn, 2009, pp. 32–33). The last half-sentence applies to industrialized nations, but, as we will see in the next section, in large parts of the world the picture looks different. The important point is the realization that most people in 1900 were at the concrete-operational level. Flynn understood the implication perfectly: Until not all that long ago, people, with few exceptions, did not advance beyond the reasoning patterns of modern children. But he rightly points out that people in earlier times were of course not mentally retarded. Our ancestors coped with their world of life just as well as we do with ours. They were only able to think in the patterns of children, but they accomplished great achievements thousands of years ago that we still admire today.

The first is the statement "The ultimate cause of IQ gains is the Industrial Revolution. The intermediate causes are probably its social consequences, such as more formal schooling, more cognitively demanding jobs, cognitively challenging leisure, a better ratio of adults to children, richer interaction between parent and child ... Donning scientific spectacles with the attendant emphasis on classification and logical analysis is only the proximate cause" (pp. 15-16). The first sentence is reminiscent of Piaget's spillover task on volume conservation. Here, as there, it is a mistake to focus only on the most eye-catching dimension. In the spillover task, the outcome is affected by only two dimensions, height and base area. In the evolution of intelligence, on the other hand, it is the hypercomplex interactions in a network of uncountable variables. The Industrial Revolution was undoubtedly of paramount importance, but it must not be considered in isolation. In particular, it is not only a cause, but also an effect at the same time; it could only emerge because intelligence in the Western world had risen beforehand. No, there is no single variable that is the ultimate cause of the Flynn effect. The ascent to the formal-operative stage occurred in the *process of modernization*, not only as a consequence but at the same time as a cause. The process has no specific starting point and it emerged from human evolution as a whole.

The second point is the ever-controversial issue of genes and environment, which we will not otherwise discuss further. It is obvious that the Flynn effect of the 20th century is not a genetic phenomenon. Genetic changes do not occur globally, and genetic changes even point in the opposite direction during this period. Of course, it does not follow from looking at the 20th century that the evolution of intelligence had no genetic causes. The opposite is true. There is ample evidence that for centuries the upper classes in Western Europe had more viable offspring than the lower classes, and that many from the lower intelligence range were almost completely excluded from reproduction.¹⁴ Because social status is positively correlated with intelligence and intelligence is to a considerable extent heritable, it follows that there was a genetic sifting for higher intelligence in Western Europe for centuries.¹⁵ The eugenic¹⁶ trend was probably a crucial factor in northwestern Europe's rise to the formal-operative stage. Since the mid-19th century, with the rapid improvement of general living conditions, this relationship has been reversed. However, the weak dysgenic effect was far outweighed by the extraordinary improvements in environmental conditions, resulting as a net in a positive Flynn effect.¹⁷ If environmental conditions in modern societies have indeed reached a temporal limit, then a decline in intelligence is inevitable given the prevailing reproductive pattern. We will consider the future prospects of intelligence at the end of this article.

The Global Learning Crisis

Student assessment studies have also produced a major finding, although not as revolutionary as the Flynn effect. "The World Bank's 2018 "*World Development Report*" presents two messages, one good and one bad. The good one is that all countries in the world have made great efforts and massively increased school enrollment. With few exceptions, almost all children can now attend school everywhere in the world. The bad news is: "... Today, almost all children can attend school, but hundreds of millions learn next to nothing. This statement is not an exaggeration, but a depressing fact that can no longer be swept under the rug and is referred to by UNESCO as the *Global Learning Crisis* (UNESCO, 2013) ... international student assessment studies have shown over and over again that in many countries achievement levels are far below what would be considered the minimum in modern industrialized societies. The following quotes give an idea of how dramatic the situation is: "for hundreds of millions of children in the developing world, schooling is not producing "education" in any real sense... hundreds of millions of children finish schooling lacking even the basic literacy and numeracy skills of the nineteenth century" (Pritchett, 2013, p. xi and p. 14). The "nineteenth century" time reference is not a misquotation. In many regions, educational attainment is below 19th century Western levels, not to mention the 20th century. "Worldwide, hundreds of millions of children reach young adulthood without even the most basic life skills" (World Bank, 2018, p. 3). "In fact, a large share of children in low-income countries complete their primary

education lacking even basic reading, writing, and arithmetic skills" (Bold et al., 2017a, p. 2); "in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, a majority of students spend years of instruction without any noticeable progress on basic learning outcomes" (Hanushek and Woessmann, 2015, p. 139)" (Henss, 2023).

For us, two points are pertinent. First, student assessment studies confirm the extraordinarily low levels in sub-Saharan Africa. For uttering this empirical fact, intelligence researchers have been vilified as racists. Second, the studies show that the underachievement of many nations is not just quantitative in nature. The core problem is not merely that students can solve too few tasks; the core problem is that formal reasoning skills are completely lacking. As long as simple tasks can be solved purely mechanically, at least some of the students succeed, but as soon as minimal conceptual understanding is required, they fail across the board. In many countries, substantial parts of the population are incapable of formal-operative thinking, and this is not limited to sub-Saharan Africa alone.

Non-linear Relationships

The cognitive development of mankind has an interesting implication. Numerous aspects that characterize life in modern industrial societies were not possible until a basic degree of formal-operative intelligence was established. For nations that have not yet surpassed this threshold, some achievements may be out of reach. In some cases, it may not matter whether the level of intelligence is only just or far below the threshold. It is only when the threshold is passed that formal-operative intelligence has an impact. That is, the relationship between intelligence and some of its correlates is different above and below the threshold.

The relationship of intelligence to other variables is mostly quite simple, namely linear. However, there is no shortage of variables where the relationship is non-linear. For example, Lynn and Vanhanen (2012) or Lynn and Becker (2019) provide several scatterplots where the non-linearity is eye-catching. The authors called attention to this, but did not pursue the matter further. Many other authors have also hinted at non-linear relations, and some of them have explicitly considered alternative models (for example, Brown, Wai and Chabris (2020), Coyle, Rindermann, Hancock and Freeman, 2018; Grinin and Korotayev, 2015; Haque and Kneller, 2005; Lv, 2017). However, in the majority of cases, the non-linearity is not even noticed or the authors nonetheless restrict themselves to linear correlation. This then has the consequence that the strength of the relationship is underestimated, in some cases considerably.

In this paper, we will only consider a quite specific form of nonlinearity. This form is immediately obvious when looking at some scatterplots, but oddly, it is only rarely taken into account. Figure 1 illustrates this with corruption as an example. The national IQs that we derive below are plotted on the X-axis. The Y-axis shows *Freedom from Corruption* according to Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index (2022) (note: a higher value indicates lower corruption). The dots each mark a nation. The solid line is the regression according to the *Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence* (Henss, 2021).



Figure 1. Intelligence and Freedom from Corruption.

The basic idea of the model is immediately apparent from the shape of the regression line. In the lower intelligence range, corruption is basically high. It does not matter whether the national IQ is below 70 or just above 80. Only above a certain point – in this case, above a national IQ of 83 – does intelligence have an effect. As the intelligence of the population increases, the containment of corruption becomes more successful, and this relationship is linear. We interpret this pattern in terms of Piaget's stages of cognitive development: Curbing corruption is only possible if a certain minimum proportion of the

population is capable of formal-operative thinking (or with the help of external intelligence provided from outside). The larger this proportion, the lower the level of corruption. Moral development goes hand in hand with cognitive development (Piaget, 1948, Kohlberg, 1984), and only at the level of formaloperative intelligence are societies able to curb the strong tendency toward self-interest and in-group favoritism for the benefit of the population at large. The superiority of the threshold model over the linear model is obvious to the naked eye. In the specific example, the linear correlation is.63, while the nonlinear correlation is.68, thus increasing the proportion of variance explained from 39.6 percent to 46.9 percent.¹⁸ This means that the linear model considerably underestimates the association between intelligence and corruption.

The basic principle we illustrated for corruption applies to many other variables as well. Some examples can be found in Henss (2021) and we will present additional ones in this paper.

Aims of this Study

The scope of this article is immediately apparent from its three-part title.

The Intelligence of Nations: We consider cognitive ability at the level of nations. That is, we are not concerned with individuals, schools, or educational systems.

National IQs: We look at *phenotypic* intelligence as measured by psychometric intelligence tests and by the tests of student assessment studies. Genotypic intelligence is not the subject of our study; in particular, we do not address the question of which proportions are due to genetic factors and which are due to environmental factors.¹⁹

Correlates: Calculating national IQs is not an end in itself. The average intelligence of the population has an impact on countless areas of life, and we demonstrate this by looking at a variety of correlates from different spheres.

First, we will show a further time that there is a very high degree of concordance between the findings of psychometric intelligence research and international student assessment studies. Then, for each nation, we will derive an estimate of national IQs based on both approaches. Estimating national IQs and tabulating them is not an end in itself. The key point is that myriad characteristics that we value positively are positively correlated with intelligence. Nations with more intelligent populations have enormous advantages in a wide variety of areas of life. We will demonstrate this through a couple of examples. We are not aiming for a comprehensive systematic review; after all, there are innumerable variables that could be taken into account. We have just selected a few up-to-date datasets from different areas of interest, each of which takes a number of variables into account.²⁰ This paper is concerned only with demonstrating substantial correlations of national IQs with a wide variety of variables. It is not concerned with questions of cause and effect; these are outside our scope. As a distinguishing feature, we will also look at non-linear relationships.

Methods

The empirical part of our work has two aspects, an internal and an external one. The internal aspect concerns the estimate of national IQs, the external one concerns their correlates across a variety of domains.

Up-to-date Estimate of National IQs

Student assessment studies investigate the performance of children and adolescents. The tests usually address the domains of Reading and/or Mathematics and/or Sciences. Intelligence researchers employ psychometric intelligence tests that cover a broad range of subdomains, and they assess children, adolescents, and adults of all ages. Because intelligence researchers also consider student assessment studies, there is considerable overlap between the two approaches. The overlap is not a shortcoming. On the contrary, if one wants to get a complete picture, one has to consider all available data sources.

Recently, Russell T. Warne has provided a downloadable database that includes the results of the two research traditions (Warne, 2022). This is one of the most up-to-date and most comprehensive compilation of the intelligence of nations.²¹

The intelligence research data are from the NIQ dataset by Becker (2019). Three estimates were considered: the national IQs according to Lynn and Vanhanen (2012), according to Heiner Rindermann, and according to David Becker. We refer to them hereafter as LV12, R, and NIQB.²² R and NIQB are both extensions of LV12.

The data from the student assessment studies come from the World Bank (Harmonized Learning Outcomes; Angrist et al., 2021) and Gust, Hanushek, and Woessmann (2022), who sought to overcome some shortcomings of Angrist et al.'s estimates. We refer to them as HLO and GHW. In addition to the large-scale PISA, TIMSS, and PIRLS studies, they also include small-scale studies from Africa, Asia, and Latin America.

The five sets are the basis of our analysis. We have made only three minor modifications. In the student assessment studies, the scores for Cuba are 101 (HLO) and 104 (GHW) and for Pakistan 64 (HLO) and 62 (GHW). This would make Cuba dwarf the entire Western world and Pakistan among the very least intelligent countries on earth. The data collection may well have been accurate, but there is no way it could have been representative samples. That is something like measuring the average height of young adult males and reporting an average of 1.96 meters for Cuba and an average of 1.56 meters for Pakistan. That may be correct for the Cuban national basketball team and the Pakistan national polo team, but it has nothing to do with the national average. The same is true for the score of 100 for Cambodia in the NIQB. Cambodia had participated in the special PISA for Development Programme and performed disastrously (OECD, 2018). The score 100 is based on a study by Bakhiet, Sles, Lynn, and Meisenberg (2018). They tested the cognitive abilities of a representative sample of students from the capital city of Phnom Penh using Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices Plus. Cambodia has a population of 17 million. Only a quarter live in cities, of which 2.3 million live in the capital. Cognitive ability is certainly much higher in the metropolis than in the rural population, which is the vast majority. Raven's Progressive Matrices are considered a very good measure of general intelligence. However, it is well known that it is just abstract formal reasoning, which is measured by this test, that shows the largest Flynn effect (Flynn, 2009, 2012). In other subdomains, the secular gains are much smaller. The score of 100 is not representative of the phenotypic intelligence of the Cambodian population. It is the reflection of modernization effects on the abstract formal reasoning of metropolitan students. The non–useful scores were, of course, excluded.

In total, the database comprises hundreds of studies with millions of subjects. The data for the highly developed nations are much more abundant and reliable than for the mid-level countries, and the data for the less developed countries are sparse.

We will show that the five datasets are in very high agreement. From these, we will derive our own estimate of national intelligence for 205 nations and summarize them in a table.

Correlates of National IQs

National IQs are of paramount importance because the average intelligence of the population is – often very closely – related to countless variables from quite different domains. We will demonstrate this using a sample of variables derived from well-established indexes.

Table 1 provides an overview of the indexes, the sources, and the number of nations and variables.

Index / Variable	Source	Nations	Var
Human Development Index	UNDP (2023)	191	39
Social Progress Index	Social Progress Imperative (2022)	133-169	76
Global Innovation Index	World Intellectual Property Organization (2022)	132	8
Global Talent Competitiveness Ind.	INSEAD (2022)	133	7
Democracy Index	Economist Intelligence Unit (2023)	166	6
Index of Economic Freedom	Kim (2023)	175-181	14
Global Health Security Index	Bell and Nuzo (2021)	189	7
World Happiness Report	Helliwell et al. (2023a, b)	108-114	9
Positive Peace Index	Institute for Economics & Peace (2022a, b)	162	32
Global Peace Index	Institute for Economics & Peace (2022a, b)	162	4
Total Fertility Rate 2022	Roser (2014)	189	1

Table 1. Correlates of Intelligence. Indices, Source, Number of Nations and Variables.

Human Development Index 2022 The Human Development Index is probably the best-known index. The core variable HDI is a composite of Life Expectancy at Birth, Years of Schooling, and Gross National Income per capita. In addition, the Human Development Index looks at numerous other variables, some of which refer to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations' *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (United Nations, 2022a, n.d.).

Social Progress Index 2022 The Social Progress Index "is the only measurement tool to focus exclusively comprehensively and systematically on the noneconomic dimensions of social performance across the globe with transparent and actionable data" (Social Progress Imperative, 2022, p. 1). From 60 indicators, the overall score and 3 domains with 4 sub-domains each are obtained. Basic Human Needs (Nutrition & Basic Medical Care, Water & Sanitation, Shelter, Personal Safety), Foundations of Wellbeing (Access to Basic Knowledge, Access to Information & Communication, Health & Wellness, Environmental Quality), Opportunity (Personal Rights, Personal Freedom & Choice, Inclusiveness, Access to Advanced Education).

Global Innovation Index 2022 This index looks at science, innovation, and technological progress. In addition to the Overall GII, it considers Institutions, Human Capital and Research, Infrastructure, Market Sophistication, Business Sophistication, Knowledge and Technology Outputs, and Creative Outputs.

Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2022 This index looks at the global race to recruit the brightest minds who are of highest importance for science, innovation and technology. In addition to the Overall GTCI, we consider the six pillars of Enable, Attract, Grow, Retain, Global Knowledge Skills, Vocational and Technical Skills. In the sample of 133 nations, only 13 belong to the low-income group. This is reasonable, as the vast majority of this group does not stand the slightest chance in the competition for the brightest brains due to the very low national intelligence.

Democracy Index 2023 The Democracy Index is based on five categories: Electoral Process and Pluralism, Functioning of Government, Political Participation, Political Culture, Civil Liberties.

Index of Economic Freedom 2023 The Index is divided into four areas, each with three sub-areas. These are: Rule of Law (Property Rights, Judicial Effectiveness, Government Integrity); Government Size (Tax Burden, Government Spending, Fiscal Health); Regulatory Efficiency (Business Freedom, Labor Freedom, Monetary Freedom); Market Openness (Trade Freedom, Investment Freedom, Financial Freedom).

Global Health Security Index 2021 The GHS Index assesses the ability to respond promptly and appropriately to health threats. The six main categories relate to the capability to Prevent, to Detect, and to Respond to biological threats and to Health Systems, Norms, and Risks that can strengthen or hinder this capability.

Global Peace Index 2022 In addition to the Overall GPI, the Global Peace Index takes into account Safety and Security, Ongoing Conflict, Militarization.

Positive Peace Index 2022 Alongside the Overall Score, the Positive Peace Index considers the six pillars Rights of Others, Equitable Distribution of Resources, Free Flow of Information, Good Relations with Neighbors, High Levels of Human Capital, Low Levels of Corruption. These pillars are based on three indicators each.

World Happiness Report 2023 The core variable of the World Happiness Report is the overall assessment of quality of life. The source is a question from the Gallup World Poll: "Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?". This so-called Life Ladder or Cantril Ladder may be interpreted as Happiness, Life Satisfaction or Well-Being. The report also takes into account Gross Domestic Product per capita, Social Support, Healthy Life Expectancy at Birth, Freedom to make Life Choices, Generosity, Perceptions of Corruption, Positive Affect, Negative Affect.

Total Fertility Rate 2022 Finally, we look at a variable that, along with intelligence, is possibly the most crucial variable for the future of humanity, namely the Total Fertility Rate. This is the average number of children a woman can expect to have over her lifetime. It is generally assumed that in highly developed industrial nations about 2.1 births per female are required to keep the population level constant when net migration is zero. Due to higher mortality rates, a higher number may be required in low-developed countries.

In a preliminary analysis, we performed a regression of the variables on intelligence and calculated the standardized residual for each nation. In most cases, North Korea showed an exceptionally large deviation. For example, in the Positive Peace Index, for 25 out of 32 variables, the residual was greater than 3 standard deviations. In the Democracy Index, all residuals were greater than 4.9. The Index of Economic Freedom 2023 uses a scale of 0 to 100, with Sudan (32.8), Venezuela (25.8), and Cuba (24.3) at the very bottom. On the very last place is North Korea with only 2.9 points. All this makes it clear: North Korea belongs to a different universe and was therefore excluded from further consideration.

Non-linear Relationships

A distinctive feature of our work is the explicit consideration of a specific form of a non-linear relationship, which we proposed under the name *Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence* (Henss, 2021). The theoretical background is the profound qualitative difference between formal and preformal reasoning in the sense of Jean Piaget. The basic idea was outlined when we looked at Freedom from Corruption in Figure 1. Let us express it in a different way. There is a point that divides the intelligence spectrum into two areas. In one range, intelligence plays no role. Only beyond the threshold, intelligence comes into play, and in our particular model, we assume that the relationship is linear. We interpret the threshold as the point at which formal-operative intelligence takes effect. We leave open whether the cognitive elite plays a decisive role, as suggested, for example, by smart fraction theory (e.g. Kirkegaard and Carl, 2022; La Griffe du Lion, 2002; Rindermann, Sailer and Thompson, 2009; Wai and Rindermann, 2017). In the graphical display, the regression line is represented by a horizontal line and a rising or falling branch. Depending on the second variable, the plateau can be in the lower or in the upper intelligence range.

If, as in Figure 1, the plateau is below the threshold, the functional equation of the threshold model is defined as follows:

f(x) = c for $x \,{\le}\, T$ and c + (x - T)×a for x > T

Here, f() stands for the threshold model function, x for the national IQ, T for the threshold intelligence, c for the constant in the sub-threshold range, and a for the slope in the supra-threshold range. The functional equation has no analytical solution. The parameters T, c and a can only be determined by numerical optimization.²³

Results

Our analysis is based on five datasets from Warne's database (2022). The national IQ estimates LV12, R, and NIQB come from psychometric intelligence research, HLO and GHW from student assessment studies. Table 2 shows the product-moment correlation between the five datasets.

	LV12	R	HLO	GHW
NIQB	.87	.88	.84	.89
LV12		.98	.87	.92
R			.90	.93
HLO				.93

Table 2. Correlation between estimates of national IQs.

The correlations are all very high. David Becker's NIQB shows the lowest commonality. Here the values range from.84 to.89. But even with the lowest agreement (NIQB and HLO), the common variance is 70.8 percent. Heiner Rindermann's R shows the greatest commonality. At.98, it is almost congruent with Lynn and Vanhanen's 2012 dataset.²⁴ Of particular note is the high agreement with the student assessment values. The correlation with the HLO is.90. The correlation with the GHW is even.93, which is as high as the agreement between the two student assessment measures. Furthermore, the correlation between LV12 and GHW is only.01 smaller as well. Gust, Hanushek, and Woessmann specifically aimed to overcome some shortcomings of the HLO. This brings the GHW even closer to the estimates from psychometric intelligence research.

Due to the very high correlations, a highly reliable measure of national intelligence can be constructed. For each nation, the median across the five datasets was computed (which correlates with the arithmetic mean at 99).²⁵ Hereafter, we will refer to our own estimates as nIQ. The estimates for each country will be presented in Table 5. Before doing that, we want to delve a bit deeper into our analysis.

Table 3 shows statistics for the source datasets as well as our nIQ. The mean and median were calculated without weighting nations by population size. Thus, these are not estimates of the world IQ, which is 86.7.

	LV12	R	NIQB	HLO	GHW	nIQ
Nations	199	199	200	161	157	205
Minimum	60.1	60.2	60.0	58.8	55.0	62.0
Maximum	107.1	105.3	106.5	107.7	108.6	107.1
Mean	84.4	83.2	82.3	84.8	84.0	83.2
Median	84.6	82.6	83.0	84.6	83.4	83.0

Table 3. Statistics for the source datasets and our own estimates.

The differences are very small.²⁶ It is only worth mentioning that the minimum is lower for the student assessment studies, even though they consider fewer nations.

Two Approaches, one Outcome

Within psychometric intelligence research and within student assessment studies, the median was calculated for each nation. The product-moment correlation between the two estimates is .93 (N = 168). The very high agreement is illustrated by Figure 2. The intelligence research estimates are plotted on the X-axis, and the student assessment estimates on the Y-axis. The dotted line marks the linear regression line.



Figure 2. Estimates of National IQs from Psychometric Intelligence Research and Student Assessment Studies.

It is evident at first glance that the agreement is much greater in the upper intelligence range than in the middle and lower ranges. Since much more abundant data are available for the more intelligent countries, this was to be expected. In 130 of 168 cases, the difference is smaller than 5 points; in 50 cases, it is even smaller than 1 point. Only in eight nations is the difference larger than 10 points, the maximum being 14.8 points.²⁷ Overall, the estimates from intelligence research and student assessment studies are virtually identical: the mean is 83.9 and 84.0, respectively, and the median 84.0 and 83.3.

PISA 2018 and National IQs

Among international student assessment studies, PISA is the most important. Therefore, it is worth comparing it with our estimates of national IQs.²⁸ PISA measures performance in three domains, Reading, Mathematics, and Sciences. Table 4 shows the product-moment correlations between the domains, the overall PISA 2018 score (= mean of the three domains), and our nIQ estimates.

	Mathematics	Sciences	PISA 2018	nIQ
Reading	.95	.98	.99	.94
Mathematics		.97	.99	.95
Sciences			.99	.95
PISA 2018				.96

Table 4. Correlations between PISA domains, PISA total, and nIQ.

Table 4 tells an unequivocal story. First, the three domains generate nearly identical results (.95,.97,.98). Second, all three domains match perfectly with the PISA total score (.99). Third, all three domains and the PISA total score correlate exceptionally highly with our nIQ (.94,.95,.95,.96). In view of the extraordinarily high congruency, it should be reminded that student assessment data are included as a subset in our national IQs. Becker's NIQ dataset includes a variable, QNW, based solely on psychometric intelligence tests. This correlates with HLO, GHW, and PISA 2018 at.82,.83, and.84, respectively. Overall, this means that at the nation level, PISA actually measures a single construct and that is intelligence.

In terms of absolute scores, the agreement is also overwhelming. For 36 nations, the difference between the PISA total score and our nIQ is less than 1 point. In 18 nations, it is between 1 and 2 points; in 9 nations between 2 and 3; in 5 nations between 3 and 4; in 6 nations between 4 and 5. Only in 4 cases is the difference greater than 5 points: Albania (5.65), China (BSJZ) (6.05), Qatar (6.13), and Macau (China) (6.42).

In the case of China, it should be noted that out of 31 provinces, only Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang participated in the PISA study, hence the addon (BSJZ). The four provinces are much more advanced than the rest of the country, and the IQ is much higher.²⁹ If China as a whole were taken into account, the difference from our nIQ would be lower by a few points. Qatar also deserves separate mention. Large numbers of migrants have entered the country in recent times. At 56.8 percent, migrants are the overwhelming majority, and they are much more intelligent than the native population. In the 2018 focus domain, Reading, the difference is 92 to 80 IQ points (OECD, 2019a, b). The migrants raised the score in PISA 2018, but of course, in previous studies they could not be included yet. In the particular case of Qatar, PISA 2018 is probably closer to the present situation than our nIQ.

Brief Summary and Conclusion

As expected, the five original datasets strongly correlate with each other. Hence, it follows that the aggregate estimates from intelligence research, on the one hand, and student assessment studies, on the other hand, also match to a high degree. Thus, a highly reliable estimate of national IQs can be derived from the database. The commonalities are so strong that each of the three PISA domains is in excellent accord with our estimate.

From all this we conclude:

• Psychometric intelligence tests and the international student assessment studies essentially measure the same latent variable, namely intelligence.

National IQs at a Glance

Our central goal is to estimate national IQs at the most comprehensive and up-to-date level. The result can be seen in Table 5. It shows the values for 205 nations. The left part is arranged in descending order of IQ, the right alphabetically.

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Singapore	107	72	Afghanistan
China	106	82	Albania
Hong Kong	105	80	Algeria
Korea, South	105	95	Andorra
Taiwan	105	70	Angola
Japan	104	71	Antigua and Barbuda
Korea, North	104	87	Argentina
Finland	101	91	Armenia
Netherlands	101	99	Australia
Canada	101	99	Austria
Estonia	101	85	Azerbaijan
Liechtenstein	101	84	Bahamas
Switzerland	100	87	Bahrain
United Kingdom	100	74	Bangladesh
Macau	100	80	Barbados
Germany	100	96	Belarus
New Zealand	100	100	Belgium
Sweden	100	72	Belize
Belgium	100	71	Benin
Australia	99	90	Bermuda
Czechia	99	78	Bhutan
Austria	99	82	Bolivia
Slovenia	99	89	Bosnia, Herzegovina
Denmark	99	77	Botswana
Hungary	98	84	Brazil
Iceland	98	88	Brunei
France	98	93	Bulgaria
United States	98	70	Burkina Faso
Slovakia	98	72	Burundi
Ireland	98	73	Cabo Verde
Poland	97	87	Cambodia
Latvia	97	64	Cameroon
Norway	97	101	Canada
Croatia	97	82	Cayman Islands
Russia	97	64	Central African Rep.
Spain	97	66	Chad
Luxembourg	97	89	Chile
Italy	96	106	China

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Lithuania	96	83	Colombia
Belarus	96	75	Comoros
Andorra	95	66	Congo, Dem. Rep.
Portugal	94	72	Congo, Rep.
Israel	94	88	Cook Islands
Malta	94	87	Costa Rica
Vietnam	94	70	Cote d'Ivoire
Ukraine	94	97	Croatia
Cyprus	93	84	Cuba
Bulgaria	93	93	Cyprus
Greece	93	99	Czechia
Serbia	91	99	Denmark
Mongolia	91	72	Djibouti
Greenland	91	66	Dominica
Armenia	91	80	Dominican Republic
Malaysia	91	83	Ecuador
Moldova	91	78	Egypt
Romania	90	78	El Salvador
Bermuda	90	69	Equatorial Guinea
Turkey	89	74	Eritrea
Thailand	89	101	Estonia
United Arab Emirates	89	69	Ethiopia
Suriname	89	84	Fiji
Chile	89	101	Finland
Uruguay	89	98	France
Bosnia, Herzegovina	89	69	Gabon
Cook Islands	88	62	Gambia
Brunei	88	83	Gaza Strip
Mauritius	88	100	Germany
Trinidad and Tobago	88	87	Georgia
Argentina	87	64	Ghana
Mexico	87	93	Greece
Samoa	87	91	Greenland
Costa Rica	87	74	Grenada
Cambodia	87	79	Guatemala
Kazakhstan	87	65	Guinea
Bahrain	87	68	Guinea-Bissau
Georgia	87	79	Guyana
Jordan	87	67	Haiti

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Montenegro	86	80	Honduras
Iraq	86	105	Hong Kong
Netherlands Antilles	86	98	Hungary
Iran	86	98	Iceland
North Macedonia	85	77	India
Myanmar	85	83	Indonesia
New Caledonia	85	86	Iran
Azerbaijan	85	86	Iraq
Tonga	85	98	Ireland
Turks and Caicos Isl.	84	94	Israel
Cuba	84	96	Italy
Fiji	84	75	Jamaica
Brazil	84	104	Japan
Bahamas	84	87	Jordan
Marshall Islands	84	87	Kazakhstan
Micronesia	84	76	Kenya
Turkmenistan	84	83	Kiribati
Seychelles	83	104	Korea, North
Indonesia	83	105	Korea, South
Oman	83	79	Kosovo
Venezuela	83	80	Kuwait
Laos	83	76	Kyrgyzstan
Pakistan	83	83	Laos
Colombia	83	97	Latvia
St Helena, Asc., Tristan	83	83	Lebanon
Kiribati	83	70	Lesotho
Gaza Strip	83	65	Liberia
Lebanon	83	83	Libya
Libya	83	101	Liechtenstein
Ecuador	83	96	Lithuania
Vanuatu	82	97	Luxembourg
Uzbekistan	82	100	Macau
Tajikistan	82	77	Madagascar
Paraguay	82	65	Malawi
Albania	82	91	Malaysia
Cayman Islands	82	81	Maldives
Syria	82	67	Mali
Timor-Leste	82	94	Malta
Puerto Rico	82	81	Mariana Islands

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Bolivia	82	84	Marshall Islands
Peru	82	69	Mauritania
Solomon Islands	81	88	Mauritius
Nicaragua	81	87	Mexico
Tunisia	81	84	Micronesia
Mariana Islands	81	91	Moldova
Qatar	81	91	Mongolia
Maldives	81	86	Montenegro
Kuwait	80	75	Могоссо
Philippines	80	72	Mozambique
Honduras	80	85	Myanmar
Barbados	80	68	Namibia
Panama	80	73	Nepal
Saudi Arabia	80	101	Netherlands
Dominican Republic	80	86	Netherlands Antilles
Algeria	80	85	New Caledonia
Kosovo	79	100	New Zealand
Guyana	79	81	Nicaragua
Guatemala	79	66	Niger
Sri Lanka	79	69	Nigeria
Papua New Guinea	79	85	North Macedonia
Egypt	78	97	Norway
Tuvalu	78	83	Oman
Bhutan	78	83	Pakistan
El Salvador	78	80	Panama
Madagascar	77	79	Papua New Guinea
Botswana	77	82	Paraguay
Virgin Islands	77	82	Peru
India	77	80	Philippines
Kyrgyzstan	76	97	Poland
Sudan	76	94	Portugal
Kenya	76	82	Puerto Rico
Swaziland	76	81	Qatar
Могоссо	75	90	Romania
Comoros	75	97	Russia
Jamaica	75	70	Rwanda
Tanzania	75	83	St Helena, Asc., Tristan
Bangladesh	74	71	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Eritrea	74	62	Saint Lucia

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Zimbabwe	74	70	Saint Vincent, Grenad.
Grenada	74	87	Samoa
Nepal	73	65	Sao Tome and Principe
Cabo Verde	73	80	Saudi Arabia
Mozambique	72	70	Senegal
Burundi	72	91	Serbia
Uganda	72	83	Seychelles
Belize	72	62	Sierra Leone
Congo, Rep.	72	107	Singapore
South Africa	72	98	Slovakia
Afghanistan	72	99	Slovenia
Djibouti	72	81	Solomon Islands
Benin	71	68	Somalia
Antigua and Barbuda	71	72	South Africa
Saint Kitts and Nevis	71	62	South Sudan
Rwanda	70	97	Spain
Burkina Faso	70	79	Sri Lanka
Senegal	70	76	Sudan
Lesotho	70	89	Suriname
Angola	70	76	Swaziland
Cote d'Ivoire	70	100	Sweden
Saint Vincent, Grenad.	70	100	Switzerland
Equatorial Guinea	69	82	Syria
Gabon	69	105	Taiwan
Zambia	69	82	Tajikistan
Mauritania	69	75	Tanzania
Nigeria	69	89	Thailand
Ethiopia	69	82	Timor-Leste
Somalia	68	68	Тодо
Namibia	68	85	Tonga
Yemen	68	88	Trinidad and Tobago
Guinea-Bissau	68	81	Tunisia
Тодо	68	89	Turkey
Mali	67	84	Turkmenistan
Haiti	67	84	Turks and Caicos Isl.
Congo, Dem. Rep.	66	78	Tuvalu
Niger	66	72	Uganda
Dominica	66	94	Ukraine
Chad	66	89	United Arab Emirates

Nation	IQ	IQ	Nation
Sao Tome and Principe	65	100	United Kingdom
Guinea	65	98	United States
Liberia	65	89	Uruguay
Malawi	65	82	Uzbekistan
Ghana	64	82	Vanuatu
Cameroon	64	83	Venezuela
Central African Rep.	64	94	Vietnam
Sierra Leone	62	77	Virgin Islands
South Sudan	62	68	Yemen
Gambia	62	69	Zambia
Saint Lucia	62	74	Zimbabwe

Table 5. National IQs.

Table 5 shows a picture that has long been known, and it has two central points. First, the differences in cognitive performance among nations can be gigantic. Second, a clear pattern emerges that is easily understood geographically, genetically, culturally, and historically.

The range extends from 62 to 107 IQ points. The difference of 45 points corresponds to three standard deviation units. Differences of this magnitude are extremely rare to find in the social sciences, and some believe that in terms of the cognitive abilities of nations, such a difference is outright impossible. We shall show in the discussion that these values are quite realistic and that the objections are based on fundamental ignorance in several respects.

Figure 3 visualizes the maximum difference according to our nIQs. It shows two normally distributed populations of the same size with means 62 and 107 and a standard deviation of 15 points each.



Figure 3. Normal distributions. M = 62 vs. M = 107, sds = 15.

The differences are indeed gigantic. The overlap of the two distributions is 13.4 percent. That is, 13.4 percent each have an intelligence twin in the other population with exactly the same IQ. This group has a mean IQ of 84.5. The remaining 86.6 percent are worlds apart. The upper group has an average IQ of 110.5, the lower of 58.5.

Figure 3 and the values given refer to the extreme case. Figure 4 presents a more differentiated picture. This shows the frequency distribution of the nIQs.



Figure 4. Frequency distribution of national IQs.

The median is 82.9. The middle 50 percent range from 74.4 to 91.0; and 10 percent each are below and above 68.3 and 99.1, respectively.

In purely statistical terms, the picture looks quite balanced, but in terms of geographic location, genetics, and cultural development, it is extremely uneven.

At the top are East Asian countries with a national IQ of around 105, including Singapore, which owes its top position to its large population of Chinese origin. Not far behind follow the countries of Northern, Western and Central Europe and their offshoots the USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Within Europe, there is a clear northwest-southeast gradient. The only countries located in other regions that score at least 90 are Israel (94), Vietnam (94), Mongolia (91), Armenia (91), Malaysia (91) and Bermuda (90). Malaysia is the only Islamic country to surpass the 90 mark, but it owes much of that to its Chinese-origin citizens, who make up 23.7 percent of the population. The only European countries below the 90 mark are Bosnia and Herzegovina (89), Montenegro (86), North Macedonia (85), Albania (82) and Kosovo (79). All of these suffered for centuries under the Islamic rule of the Ottomans. Albania and Kosovo, which come last, are still Islamic today, and Bosnia and Herzegovina is divided by an Islamic-Christian cleavage.

The 51 nations in the bottom quarter have national IQs of 62 to 74. This group is made up almost exclusively of sub-Saharan African countries and Caribbean islands with populations of sub-Saharan African descent. The only exceptions are Nepal, Belize, Afghanistan and Yemen, which occupy ranks 158, 163, 166 and 186, respectively. All of these are ethnically and culturally fragmented, and Afghanistan and Yemen have long been rocked by wars and civil strife.

The basic pattern was described half a century ago by Richard Lynn, and it is well known that the vast differences in intelligence have far-reaching consequences for the well-being of nations.

Correlates of National IQs

The paramount relevance of national IQs stems from the fact that the average cognitive abilities of the population play a role in most diverse areas of life, often an extraordinarily important one. We will illustrate this by a number of examples. This is not a comprehensive in-depth analysis, but merely a cursory demonstration of the diversity of correlates of national IQs and the strength of the relationship. We will only add a few remarks and leave the readers to draw their own conclusions.

In this section, we consider the linear relationship as measured by the product-moment correlation. As an aside, note that the issue of statistical significance is of no concern. For the large samples of nations, correlations around 20 are significant at the 1 percent level with one-tailed tests. For the vast majority of variables, p <.00001.

Table 6 shows the results on the Human Development Index 2022.

r	Human Development Index 2022	SDG
.85	Human Development Index (HDI)	
.81	Life expectancy at birth	SDG3
.71	Expected years of schooling	SDG4.3
.78	Mean years of schooling	SDG4.4
.71	Gross national income (GNI) per capita	SDG8.5
.91	Inequality-adjusted HDI (IHDI)	
86	Coefficient of human inequality	
.83	Inequality-adjusted life expectancy index	
.84	Inequality-adjusted education index	
.88	Inequality-adjusted income index	
.49	Income shares held by Poorest 40 percent	
53	Income shares held by Richest 10 percent	
36	Income shares held by Richest 1 percent	
51	Gini coefficient 2010-2021	
.52	Gender Development Index	
.87	Human Development Index Female	
.87	Human Development Index Male	
.83	Life expectancy at birth Female	SDG3
.79	Life expectancy at birth Male	
.72	Expected years of schooling Female	SDG4.3
.72	Expected years of schooling Male	
.79	Mean years of schooling Female	SDG4.4
.78	Mean years of schooling Male	
.77	Estimated GNI per capita Female	SDG8.5
.74	Estimated GNI per capita Male	
87	Gender Inequality Index	
70	Maternal mortality ratio	SDG3.1
75	Adolescent birth rate (ages 15-19)	SDG3.7
.26	Female share of seats in parliament	SDG5.5
.76	At least some second. education ages 25+ Female	SDG4.4
.74	At least some second. education ages 25+ Male	
02	Labour force participation ages 15+ Female	
15	Labour force participation ages 15+ Male	
.76	Planetary pressures-adjusted HDI	
59	Adjustment factor for planetary pressures	
.44	Carbon dioxide emissions per capita	
44	Carbon dioxide emissions index	
.59	Material footprint per capita	

r	Human Development Index 2022	SDG
59	Material footprint index	

 ${\bf Table \ 6.}\ Correlation \ with \ national \ IQs. \ Human \ Development \ Index \ 2022.$

The components of the Human Development Index are strongly related to national intelligence, and except for Planetary Pressures, the relationship is positive. This also applies to the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations contained therein. The correlations are predominantly high to very high. Only Labor force participation age 15+ shows no connection with national IQs. We just want to touch briefly on two aspects, income inequality and the ecological footprint.

Inequality is much lower in intelligent countries than in unintelligent ones. This relationship also holds for income inequality. Contrary to popular belief, income is more evenly distributed in modern industrialized nations – which are the more intelligent ones – than in unintelligent nations.

For Planetary Pressures, the general picture is reversed. In this respect, the more intelligent nations perform worse. However, it would be a gross mistake to infer from this that people in pre-industrial cultures would have been more thoughtful and protective of their environment. We will come back to this in the discussion.

Table 7 shows the results for the Social Progress Index 2022.

r	Social Progress Index 2022			Variable				
.86	Social Progress Index							
.82		Basic Human Needs						
.80		Personal Safety						
.76		Nutrition & Basic Medical Care						
.72		Water & Sanitation						
.68		Shelter						
75			Child mortality rate					
73			Money Stolen	Bx				
72			Maternal mortality rate	Bx				
.69			Satisfaction with water quality	Bx				
68			Infectious diseases	Bx				
67			Intimate Partner Violence	Bx				
.66			Usage of clean fuels and technology for cooking	Bx				
66			Unsafe water, sanitation and hygiene	Bx				
.65			Access to improved sanitation	Bx				
64			Child stunting	Bx				
.64			Access to electricity					
58			Household air pollution					
.58			Access to improved water source					
56			Undernourishment					
56			Diet low in fruits and vegetables					
53			Transportation related injuries					
.37			Political killings and torture					
33			Interpersonal violence					
26		Dissatisfaction with housing affordability						
.85			Foundations of Wellbeing	F				
.77			Health & Wellness					
.75			Access to Basic Knowledge	F1				
.67		Access to Information & Communications		F2				
.56		Environmental Quality						
.83			Access to essential health services					
.76		Secondary school attainment						
.74			Internet users					
.69		Access to online governance						
.69		Life expectancy at 60						
.67			Equal access to quality healthcare					
65			Gender parity in secondary attainment					
64	Population with no schooling							

r		Social Progress Index 2022			Variable			
.64	Equal access to quality education							
.57	Satisfaction with availability of quality healthcare							
47	Lead exposure							
.46		Primary school enrollment						
45		Particulate matter pollution						
.39				Mobile telephone subscriptions				
39				Premature deaths from non-communicable diseases	Fx			
33				Outdoor air pollution	Fx			
.22				Species protection	Fx			
.17				Alternative sources of information index	Fx			
.71				Opportunity	0			
.84				Access to Advanced Education	04			
.78				Personal Freedom & Choice	02			
.61				Inclusiveness	03			
.38				Personal Rights	01			
.78				Women with advanced education	Ox			
72				Vulnerable employment	Ox			
.69				Expected years of tertiary schooling	Ox			
.68				Citable documents				
.67				Access to public services distributed by social group				
62				Early marriage				
.62			Acceptance of gays and lesbians					
62			Perception of corruption					
55		Young people not in education, employment or training		Ox				
.52				Satisfied demand for contraception	Ox			
.48				Power distributed by sexual orientation	Ox			
.47				Property rights for women	Ox			
.42				Access to justice	Ox			
.42				Equal protection index	Ox			
.41				Equal access index	Ox			
.38				Political rights	Ox			
.37				Quality weighted universities	Ox			
34				Discrimination and violence against minorities	Ox			
.27				Freedom of peaceful assembly	Ox			
.26				Freedom of domestic movement	Ox			
.25				Freedom of discussion	Ox			
.24				Academic freedom	Ox			
.12					Ox			

Again, correlations are high to very high and they all show that higher national intelligence is associated with better social outcomes. The consistent picture suggests the idea that the various components are all inter-correlated and that a general factor can be identified that explains a large part of the commonality among the components. This has been shown, for example, by Kirkegaard (2014). Using factor analysis, he has extracted a strong general factor, which he calls the S-factor by analogy with the *g*-factor of general intelligence. As might be expected, the S-factor correlates very strongly with national IQs according to Lynn and Vanhanen (2012) and a student assessment measure according to Altinok, Diebolt, and Demeulemeester (2014), namely.86 and.87.³⁰

Table 8 and Table 9 show the results on the Global Innovation Index 2022 and the Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2022, respectively.³¹

r	Global Innovation Index 2022				
.88	Overall GII				
.86	Human capital and research				
.85	Infrastructure				
.84	Knowledge and technology outputs				
.82	Business sophistication				
.79	Creative outputs				
.74	Market sophistication				
.66	Institutions				

 Table 8. Correlation with national IQs. Global Innovation Index 2022.

r	Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2022			
.88	GTCI			
.88	Grow			
.88	Global Knowledge Skills			
.86	Retain			
.83	Vocational and Technical Skills			
.80	Enable			
.69	Attract			

Table 9. Correlation with national IQs. Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2022.

As one would expect, the correlations are very high. It should be noted here that only 132 or 133 countries were considered and the lower intelligence range is poorly represented. Due to the range restriction, the relationship is possibly underestimated, although it is already very high.

Table 10 shows the results on the Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom.

r	Index of Economic Freedom 2023			
.63	2022 Score			
.61	2023 Score			
.76	Business Freedom			
.68	Property Rights			
.68	Trade Freedom			
.66	Government Integrity			
.58	Judical Effectiveness			
.55	Financial Freedom			
50	Government Spending			
.45	Labor Freedom			
.41	Investment Freedom			
23	Tax Burden			
.18	Monetary Freedom			
.03	Fiscal Health			

 ${\bf Table \ 10.}\ Correlation \ with \ national \ IQs. \ Index \ of \ Economic \ Freedom \ 2023.$

Economic Freedom indicators show a medium-high to high correlation with national Intelligence. Tax Burden and Monetary Freedom correlate weakly, and Fiscal Health shows no correlation at all. The zero correlation between intelligence and Fiscal Health seems surprising, but this may partly be due to poor data.³² The low correlation with Monetary Freedom is due to a handful of absurd outliers. We will shed a different light on the picture of Tax Burden in the next section.

Table 11 shows the results on the Democracy Index 2022.

r	Democracy Index 2022			
.57	Overall Score			
.62	Functioning of Government			
.54	Civil Liberties			
.49	Political Participation			
.48	Electoral Process and Pluralism			
.42	Political Culture			

Table 11. Correlation with national IQs. Democracy Index 2022.

As one would expect, people that are more intelligent create more democratic structures, even if the relationship is not very strong. Due mainly to socialist and communist ideology, a number of nations lag far behind their potential. In particular, China is a negative outlier on all six variables and Russia on five. As a reminder, North Korea was generally excluded from all analyses, and for the six variables of the Democracy Index, all standardized residuals were greater than 4.9!

Table 12 shows the importance of intelligence in the Global Health Security Index 2022.

r	Global Health Security Index 2021				
.76	Overall				
.76	Prevention of the emergence or release of pathogens				
.74	Overall risk environment and country vulnerability to biological threats				
.71	Sufficient and robust health sector to treat the sick and protect health workers				
.60	Rapid response to and mitigation of the spread of an epidemic				
.57	Early detection and reporting for epidemics of potential international concern				
.44	Commitments to improving national capacity, financing and adherence to norms				

 Table 12. Correlation with national IQs. Global Health Security Index 2021.

Again, the picture is unequivocal: countries with more intelligent populations are much better equipped to deal with health threats. This, however, did not prevent the more intelligent countries from being much more affected by the Covid 19 pandemic than the less intelligent ones (Becker, Kiel and Rindermann, 2023).

Table 13 and Table 14 show the results on the Global Peace Index 2022 and the more differentiated Positive Peace Index 2022.

r	Global Peace Index 2022			
.52	Overall GPI 2022			
.63	Safety and Security			
.42	Ongoing Conflict			
.11	Militarisation			

Table 13. Correlation with national IQs. Global Peace Index 2022. Poling by desirability.

r	Positive Peace Index 2022
.79	Acceptance of the Rights of Others ARO
.86	Equitable Distribution of Resources EDR
.66	Free Flow of Information FFI
.76	Good Relations with Neighbours GRN
.86	High Levels of Human Capital HLHC
.70	Low Levels of Corruption LLC
.83	Sound Business Environment SBE
.73	Well-Functioning Government WFG
.71	ARO: Exclusion by socio-economic group
.89	ARO: Gender Inequality
.37	ARO: Group grievance
.88	EDR: Inequality-adjusted life expectancy
.75	EDR: Access to public Services
.67	EDR: Equality of opportunity
.79	FFI: Individuals using the Internet
.38	FFI: Quality of information
.29	FFI: Freedom of the Press
.67	GRN: External Intervention
.66	GRN: International tourism
.52	GRN: Law to support equal treatment of population segments
.85	HLHC: Healthy life expectancy
.79	HLHC: Researchers in R&D
-59	HLHC: Share of youth not in employment, education or training
.69	LLC: Control of corruption
.68	LLC: Public sector theft
.60	LLC: Factionalised elites
.80	SBE: Financial Institutions Index
.77	SBE: GDP per capita
.75	SBE: Regulatory Quality
.79	WFG: Government effectiveness
.72	WFG: Rule of law
.53	WFG: Government openness and transparency

Table 14. Correlation with national IQs. Positive Peace Index 2022. Poling by desirability.

Both indices make it clear that the conditions of life in more intelligent countries are much more conducive to peace than in unintelligent countries. In the Positive Peace Index, the correlations are almost all high to very high. Only Militarisation shows no correlation with intelligence. Figure 10 at the end of the

following section shows that the importance of intelligence has been underestimated for some Positive Peace variables because the linear model is not optimal.

Finally, in Table 15 we look at the World Happiness Report 2023.

r	World Happiness Report 2023				
.74	Life Ladder				
.88	Log GDP per capita				
.87	Healthy life expectancy at birth				
.76	Social support				
63	Negative affect				
46	Perceptions of corruption				
.39	Freedom to make life choices				
.21	Positive affect				
.01	Generosity				

Table 15. Correlation with national IQs. World Happiness Report 2023.

The core variable Life Ladder shows that people in more intelligent nations are more satisfied with their current life situation than people in unintelligent nations. Who would be surprised? Two comments seem worthwhile. First, negative affect shows a much stronger correlation with intelligence than positive affect (-.63 vs..21). The low correlation for positive affect is primarily due to the well-known idiosyncrasy of Latin America. "The affective state – in particular positive affect – is outstandingly high in Latin America; as a matter of fact, Latin American countries usually show up in the top positions when rankings are elaborated on the basis of the experience of positive affect" (Rojas, 2018, p. 118). In the 2023 report, Latin American countries scored a mean of.77 and a minimum of.68 on the 0-1 scale, while the rest of the countries averaged.63. Second, the Generosity³³ variable is one of the very few that shows no relationship with intelligence. It also shows no relationship with the Life Ladder (.08) and is out-of-place in the concept of the World Happiness Report.

Non-linear Relationships

In the previous section, we considered linear correlations. However, for some variables, the relationship is obviously non-linear and the linear model underestimates the true commonalities, in some cases considerably. In the following, we consider the special case described by the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. A few examples are illustrated by Figure 5 to Figure 9. In each case, intelligence is plotted on the X-axis. Figure 5 depicts the relationship between intelligence and the Citable Documents variable from the Social Progress Index 2022, which refers to scientific

publications and is defined as Articles, Reviews and Conference Papers per 1,000 Population (Harmacek, Krylova, and Htitich, 2022).





Citable Documents is a textbook example of our Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. To be able to produce scientific publications, a minimum level of formal-operative intelligence is required. Below a certain threshold – in this case below a national IQ of 85 – scientific work is hardly possible. Above the threshold, the output of scientific publications increases linearly as the intelligence of the population increases.

Disregarding non-linearity, the product-moment correlation in this example is.71 and this corresponds to a variance explained of 51.8 percent. This is already quite a lot, but our threshold model boosts the correlation to.81 and the variance explained to 66.3 percent. This example demonstrates impressively that the customary application of the linear model can massively underestimate the true association.

Figure 6 shows the Maternal Mortality Rate according to the Human Development Index 2022.





The Maternal Mortality Rate shows almost the same picture as the Citable Documents, except that the plateau is now in the upper intelligence range and the slope indicates a negative correlation with intelligence. That is, as intelligence increases, mortality decreases and above a certain threshold (81 in this case) it plays almost no role at all. Compared to the linear model, the correlation in the threshold model jumps from -.70 to -.79 and the variance explained from 49.3 percent to 62.7 percent.

Figure 7 depicts the relationship between intelligence and Gross National Income per capita (GNIc; 2017 PPP \$) according to the 2022 Human Development Index.



Figure 7. Intelligence and gross national income per capita.

Gross National Income per capita shows almost the same picture as the Citable Documents in Figure 5. We will come back to this important variable in the discussion. Here we merely note that compared to the linear model, the threshold model raises the correlation from.71 to.75 and the variance explained from 50.2 to 55.9 percent. The threshold is 83.





Figure 8. Intelligence and government integrity.

Again, the familiar picture emerges. By accounting for non-linearity, the correlation increases from .66 to.72 and the variance explained from 43.4 to 52.0 percent. The threshold is 83.

Figure 9 highlights another variable from the Index of Economic Freedom 2023, namely Tax Burden.



Figure 9. Intelligence and tax burden.

The relationship is much less strong than in the previous examples. We choose this variable for the following reason: in the linear model, the correlation is -.23 and the common variance is 5.3 percent. Presumably, some would discard the relationship as negligible. However, in the threshold model, the correlation climbs to -.45 and a shared variance of 20.0 percent cannot be dismissed as unimportant.

Table 16 summarizes statistical parameters of the examples illustrated in Figures 1 and Figure 5 through Figure 9 as well as the Total Fertility Rate, which we will consider at the end of this article (Figure 12). In Table 16, Corr denotes correlation, and Var% denotes the percentage of variance explained. In both cases, l denotes the linear relationship and nl the nonlinear one. T denotes the threshold and N the number of nations.

	Corr		Var%			
Variable	1	nl	1	nl	т	N
Freedom from Corruption (CPI 2022)	.63	.68	39.6	46.9	83	180
Citable Documents (SPI 2022)	.72	.81	51.8	66.3	85	169
Maternal Mortality Ratio (HDI 2022)	70	.79	49.3	62.7	81	182
GNIc (2017 PPP \$) (HDI 2022)	.71	75	50.2	55.9	83	189
Government Integrity (IEF 2023)	.66	.72	43.4	52.0	83	182
Tax Burden (IEF 2023)	23	45	5.3	20.0	88	175
Total Fertility Rate (Our World in Data)	74	78	54.8	60.4	89	189

Table 16. Correlation with national IQs. Linear versus Threshold Model.

The examples we illustrated are just the tip of the iceberg. In fact, the scope of the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence is much broader. Figure 10 presents additional examples in a bar chart. The left subbar shows the percentage of variance explained by the linear model, and the right subbar shows the percentage gain in the threshold model. This list is, of course, not exhaustive. First, it covers only variables that we selected for this article. Second, not all variables are included, although the threshold model also yields a gain there, mostly small.



Figure 10. Variance explained. Linear and nonlinear surplus.

The attentive reader may miss some variables from the Global Talent Competitiveness Index and the Global Innovation Index. It is just in these areas that one would expect a crucial impact of formal-operative intelligence. Here, however, it must be recalled that the samples include only 132 or 133 countries and that many from the lower intelligence range are not represented at all. In a certain sense, the basic idea of the threshold model was applied here and the lower intelligence range was thinned out.

Overall, our consideration of the Threshold Model of formal-operative intelligence should have made it clear that the importance of intelligence is much greater than many believe.

Discussion

If one had to summarize our empirical findings in three words, it would be: *Almost nothing new*. The only exception is the non-linear effects of intelligence. These are probably known to few people, at least not from the theoretical perspective of our Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. The fact that there is nothing new about almost all other aspects is by no means boring. Quite to the contrary, it is of extraordinary importance. It demonstrates that the central findings of psychometric intelligence research hold unchanged.

The phenotypic intelligence of nations can be measured with very high *reliability* and national IQs have exceptionally high *validity*. Although IQ scores have risen massively since the last century, the relative order of nations shows high temporal *stability*. Perhaps the most important point is the very high *variability* among nations, which underlies a rather straightforward *pattern* that has evolved historically. Since the cognitive abilities of the population affect almost all areas of life, the extreme variability leads to extreme inequalities in a wide variety of sub-areas of life. Because of this inescapable fact, the topic of intelligence is unfortunately continually drawn into *ideological disputes*.

Reliability

The first important result is the well-known fact that, despite all claims to the contrary, the average cognitive abilities of nations can be estimated with high *reliability*. The five datasets that are the starting point of our analyses correlate strongly with each other, so that a highly reliable measure of national intelligence can be derived.

Of particular importance is the fact, also well-known, that the estimates from psychometric intelligence research and international student assessment studies are in excellent agreement. This is not due solely to the fact that the datasets partially overlap. The central reason is that student performance depends to a very large extent on intelligence. Other factors play a role in student assessment tests, of course, but at the highly aggregated level of nations, intelligence is ultimately the decisive factor.

The paramount importance of intelligence is impressively demonstrated, for example, by the PISA studies. The tests in Reading, Mathematics and Sciences refer to completely different subject areas, but nevertheless they yield almost identical gradations at the country level. Education researchers think they are measuring three quite different abilities, but PISA delivers the same result three times over, and this correlates extraordinarily highly with our national IQs. What matters is not what educational researchers and their political principals intend. What matters is what tests actually measure. PISA, TIMSS & Co. measure student performance on cognitively demanding tasks, and this is crucially dependent on intelligence. Thus, PISA is a pretty good example of Spearman's (1927) principle of the indifference of the indicator in measuring general intelligence.

Volkmar Weiss has noted with amusement in this context: "Who among the educational economists would ever have thought it possible [that the tests essentially measure intelligence]? One can only hope that their ignorance will be preserved for them and the governments that pay them, because as soon as they would comprehend what they are actually measuring, it is to be feared that such assessments as PISA would no longer be funded" (Weiss, 2012, p. 201-203).

Our positive assessment of reliability does not mean that the situation is perfect. Although the database has improved continuously, there are still large gaps in the middle and especially in the lower intelligence range, and enormous research efforts are still warranted here. However, one can assume with great confidence that the errors are not very large. How else could it be possible that national IQs correlate so closely in a systematic way with so many variables from a wide variety of life domains? The outlier analyses we have conducted in this context do not indicate that serious errors are due to a lack of data. Apart from the exotic North Korea, which we generally excluded, large outliers are found here and there for China, Russia, Afghanistan, Syria, Yemen, or oil-producing countries or tax havens or small tourist islands that are dependent on external intelligence, Western technology, and capital. This easily understandable pattern has already been noted by Lynn and Vanhanen (2012).

Temporal Stability of National IQs

The issue of *stability* of national intelligence has two aspects, an absolute and a relative one. In terms of absolute cognitive achievement levels, the 20th century witnessed a phenomenal, unprecedented revolution. The triumphant advance of formal-operative intelligence, which is behind the Flynn effect, has elevated humanity to a qualitatively higher level of development. In terms of the relative position of nations, things look quite different.

When Lynn and Vanhanen published their groundbreaking book "*IQ* and the Wealth of Nations" in 2002, empirical data were available for only 81 countries. Based on geographic neighborhood, they estimated the national IQ of additional countries, so that all 185 nations with populations greater than 50,000 were included. The correlation between our estimates and Lynn and Vanhanen's estimates is.95 for the 81 countries with actual empirical data. This is highly remarkable because of the range restriction in the lower intelligence segment. The comparison for the entire set of nations is even more impressive. Although empirical data were not available for more than half, the correlation between Lynn and Vanhanen's and our national IQs is.94.³⁴ This shows, on the one hand, that the relative position of the countries is extraordinarily stable and, on the other hand, that the estimates based on geographic neighborhood are surprisingly good in this respect, despite all their shortcomings.

Despite the Flynn effect, what Richard Lynn already noted in the 1980s still holds true: "European nations… had an average IQ of 100, Northeast Asian nations had an average IQ of 106, South Asians and North African nations had an average of 84, and the sub-Saharan African nations had an average IQ of 70" (Lynn, 2018, p. 256). With the Flynn effect, cognitive levels have risen, but the relationship between nations has largely remained the same. Nonetheless, there were also a few spectacular shifts in the 20th century. China and Korea lagged far behind the leading Western nations in 1900; today they are five points ahead. Some other countries also made impressive improvements. For example, Finland, Russia, Spain and Portugal are among the big winners of the 20th century.

Variability among national IQs

An extraordinarily important point is the enormous variability in cognitive capabilities among nations.

In Figure 3, we have illustrated the extremes, ranging from 62 to 107, three standard deviations apart. While in the countries at the top, a considerable proportion of the population is capable of high-level formal-operative thinking, in the countries at the bottom, the lion's share is in the lower range of concrete-operational thinking or even at the proportional stage.

The interquartile range extends from 74.4 to 91.0, meaning that the top-quartile countries are separated from the bottom-quartile countries by more than 16 IQ points. In the bottom quarter, a high proportion of the population does not reach the developmental height of 12-year-old children in the Western world.

Very large differences between nations are found for many characteristics and often they are hardly worth mentioning. With regard to intelligence, things look different. Intelligence is by far the most important human trait of all, and it has an impact on countless other areas of life, in some cases quite decisively. In addition, the distribution of cognitive abilities across nations is not random, but has a distinctive pattern that is closely related to eyepopping ethnic differences.

Geographic, Historical/Cultural, and Genetic Dimension of National IQs

We do not have IQ scores from previous centuries and millennia, but today's national IQs have a strong tie to our past.³⁵ To shed light on the global pattern of intelligence, in Figure 11we look at the frequency distribution of national IQs, broken down by four major groups that can be clearly differentiated based on geography, history/culture, and genetics. The yellow bars include Chinese, Japanese, and Koreans. The green bars include Europe and its Western offshoots USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The gray bars include sub-Saharan Africa and Caribbean islands with a large Black majority. The blue bars mark the remaining nations.



Figure 11. Frequency distribution of national IQs; four main groups

The figure shows a clear pattern with only a few overlaps. Anyone who has read more than twenty (good) books on world history, who has understood the basic principles of evolutionary theory and who has taken note of the groundbreaking findings of genetic research³⁶ would say: So what? What else would one have expected?

The civilizational advancement of mankind has never been evenly distributed. On the contrary, almost everything that has contributed to the progress of mankind originated in a few regions of the earth and the carriers were radically different in genetic terms from the lagging populations. What we see in Figure 11 is the footprint of human development in historical times and the differential ascent of peoples on Piaget's stages of cognitive development.

The first fundamental leap was the ascent from the preoperational to the concrete-operational stage during the Achsenzeit. The term Achsenzeit was coined by Karl Jaspers (1960).³⁷ The Achsenzeit covers the period from 800 to 200 BC. During this epoch, the decisive foundations of humanity's cognitive development were laid. Five cultures were involved, namely the Greek-Hellenistic, Judaism, Persia, India and China. In these cultures, the leap from the pre-operational to the concrete-operational stage took place. In the case of the Greeks, especially the Hellenists, it even reached the formal-operative stage in some narrowly defined areas. The second fundamental leap, which changed the world to a much greater extent, was the ascendance to the formal-operative stage. This had its origin solely in Europe, and by far the greater part occurred in the tiny northwestern region, which Nial Ferguson, following Charles Murray (2004), describes as follows: "The home of an astonishingly high proportion of the outstanding minds – about 80 percent – was a geographic hexagon with the vertices Glasgow, Copenhagen, Cracow, Naples, Marseilles, and Plymouth, and almost all the other key figures of the

Scientific Revolution were born within 150 kilometers of this core area" (Ferguson, 2013, p. 117). Gradually the whole world was drawn in, and Figure 11 essentially shows the extent to which present-day nations have advanced to the formal-operative stage.

In this respect, we find a straightforward four-way split.³⁸ At the top are the East Asians, Chinese, Japanese and Koreans. In second place comes Europe with its Western offshoots. At the bottom are sub-Saharan Africa and Caribbean nations with Black majorities; and in third place are the remaining nations of this world. This broad division is geographically clear-cut, but it is genetically and culturally shaped to an even greater extent. Singapore, the Western European offshoots and the Blacks of the Caribbean show that it is the people and their developmental history that matter more than the geographical coordinates.³⁹

East Asians are about five IQ points ahead of the leading European nations. This difference is quite substantial. However, the picture is distorted by uncontrolled mass immigration to Western European countries. An instructive example is Germany in PISA 2018. In the focus domain of Reading, immigrants reduced the score by 3.1 IQ points. In the overall sample, Germany is behind Macau, South Korea, Japan, and Taiwan (99.7 vs. 103.8, 102.1, 100.6, 100.4). In the subset of "non-immigrants", however, Germany is ahead of South Korea, Macau, Taiwan and Japan (102.8 vs. 102.3, 101.8, 100.7; no data on Japan). In fact, the effect is even stronger, as the share of immigrants is underestimated by the OECD's whitewashing definition. The differences between native Germans and East Asians are small, but millions of immigrants from Balkan states, Islamic countries and sub-Saharan Africa press down the overall level, and this trend will inevitably intensify. The situation is similar in most Western European countries. Through dysgenic migration policies, Western Europe is promoting its own decline.

As already noted following Table 5, there is a strong northwest-southeast gradient in Europe. In the Balkans, which first languished under the Byzantine Empire and then suffered under the Ottoman yoke for centuries, some countries are ten, fifteen or even twenty points below the leading Western countries. These are the green bars that extend into the blue middle range and dilute the otherwise clear division.

The few countries from the blue middle group that achieve a national IQ of at least 90 and thus join the lower European range are, as mentioned, Israel, Vietnam, Mongolia, Armenia, Malaysia, Bermuda. Israel is strongly shaped by Europe,⁴⁰ Armenia is Christian Orthodox, and Bermuda is strongly U.S. shaped. Vietnam and Mongolia are bordering on China, and Malaysia owes its good performance to its large population of Chinese origin.

The lower intelligence range is almost entirely occupied by Blacks. Only Bangladesh, Nepal, Belize, Afghanistan and Yemen mix in with a national IQ below 75. The gray bars that make it into the middle, blue range with a score of at least 80 belong to Trinidad and Tobago, Netherlands Antilles, Turks and Caicos, Bahamas, Seychelles and Barbados. With the exception of the tourist islands group Seychelles, these are Caribbean islands under strong Western influence. Of the blue group from the middle intelligence range, we want to touch on only two regions. One is the Near and Middle East and eastern North Africa. In the Fertile Crescent lies the cradle of agriculture and husbandry, in Mesopotamia around the Euphrates and Tigris rivers and on the banks of the Nile the first civilizations emerged, in the Near East the Jewish, Christian and Islamic religions arose, and in Persia Zoroastrianism. Under the all-encompassing reign of Islam, this region has not yet accomplished the leap to the formal-operative stage. The situation is similar in India. During the Achsenzeit, the northern part with the great river valleys of the Indus and Ganges was among the first great civilizations, but today the ethnically and culturally extremely heterogeneous subcontinent is lagging far behind.

Compared to the Achsenzeit, the following picture emerges. In the West, the center of gravity shifted to northwestern Europe and from there elevated the entire world to a higher level, in particular spawning four offshoots that stand on top today. In the East, China has expanded and radiated to Japan and Korea in particular, but it was only via its encounter with Western civilization that the region was awakened from centuries of lethargy and now stands at the pinnacle of world intelligence. Most of the erstwhile axis of civilization is merely in the lower range of formal-operative intelligence. This is also true for almost all other non-Black nations. Only Black Africa, which had the misfortune of being touched very late by Western civilization, and most of its involuntary Caribbean offshoots are way behind in their cognitive development.

The enormous shifts in world structure have been accompanied by enormous changes in gene pools, about which we are beginning to get a picture thanks to the amazing advances in genome-wide research. We cannot go into detail and confine ourselves to a few brief remarks. The present population of Greece has only partial overlaps with the ancient Greeks, who made the step to the concrete-operational stage and laid the indispensable foundation for the intellectual development of Europe. Europe, which opened the way to the formal-operative stage, emerged only in the course of millennia of migrations, extinctions and intermixtures; it was not until the 2nd millennium AD that today's populations became established. Today's inhabitants of Turkey have not a trace to do with the early Anatolian farmers and the flourishing sites of the East Aegean culture; they arrived in this region only a thousand years ago. The ancient North Indian civilizations were the work of Aryan peoples who no longer exist today. The entire American continent has genetically hardly anything to do with the pre-Columbian world. The same is true, for example, of Australia and New Zealand. Sub-Saharan Africa has experienced tremendous genetic shifts until recent times, but essentially only among Black peoples.

The gigantic cognitive differences between racial or ethnic groups are not the work of intelligence researchers. They are empirical realities that have emerged in human evolution due to extremely diverse environmental conditions and differential selection that has perpetrated its work over a few, dozens, hundreds and thousands of generations.

In earlier times, the bearer of bad news was killed. Such brutal methods have gone out of fashion in formal-operative societies. But not a few cry out for censorship and interdiction. We will come back to this shortly.

Slavery and Colonialism

Due to the political explosiveness, which blocks some areas of intelligence research, even makes it almost impossible, we have to make a brief detour to slavery and colonialism.⁴¹

Almost all earlier societies practiced slavery. Because of their low cognitive and moral development, slavery appears to people at the preformal stage as a most natural course of action.⁴² Sub–Saharan Africa is no exception. For millennia, Blacks enslaved other Blacks. Centuries before the first Europeans set eyes on the shores of sub–Saharan Africa, the slave trade was the preferred business model of Blacks in their contacts with the Islamic world. It is estimated that up to 15 million Blacks were trafficked to the Arab world and India. In later contact with Europeans, Black Africans continued to expand their lucrative business model. Due to the biological barrier, Europeans could not penetrate into the interior of sub–Saharan Africa for centuries. Slave hunting and the slave trade remained solely in the hands of Blacks until the human commodity was transferred to the ships. Over the business model of the Black Africans lies a thick mantle of silence. As people in the Western world gradually ascended to the formal–operative stage, resistance to slavery rose. It was the Western world – and the Western world alone – that fought and eventually abolished slavery in the face of fierce opposition from Blacks and also from the Islamic world.

• The abolition of slavery is one of the greatest humanitarian achievements in human history.

Although many more Blacks were deported to the Islamic world and India than to the Americas, one does not see any Blacks there today. The Black slaves were not led peacefully back to their continent of origin, no, they were systematically prevented from procreating, which ultimately amounts to genocide.⁴³ Over the suffering of the millions of Black slaves in North Africa and Asia and over the millions never-born Blacks lies a thick mantle of silence. On the American continent, the Blacks intermixed with other ethnic groups or form independent countries to this day. It is estimated that 600,000 Blacks were deported to the USA.⁴⁴ Their descendants number 47 million today, which is about 14 percent of the U.S. population. Due to genetic admixture with Whites and centuries of living in the more advanced Western culture, the IQ of US Blacks today is 85. This puts them far above the descendants of slave hunters, slave traders, slave owners and those internally enslaved in sub-Saharan Africa. It is fair to say that U.S. Blacks are among the great winners of the West's ascent to the formal-operative stage, or, in the words of Gilley (2020, p. 4): "To be black in America is, historically speaking, to have hit the jackpot."

Lest it be forgotten: Europeans were also enslaved for centuries and more than a million were deported to Islamic countries.⁴⁵ Over this, too, lies a thick mantle of silence.

Because of the almost insurmountable barrier of the Sahara Desert and because of the permanent threat of deadly pathogens to immunologically unadapted foreigners, sub-Saharan Africa had the disadvantage of being largely cut off from the cultural development of the rest of the world until recently. This is especially true with regard to colonization by Europeans. Until the development of modern medicine, sub-Saharan Africa was the White Man's Tomb, and through loose contacts in the slave trade alone, Blacks could hardly experience cultural progress. It was not until the great wave of colonization that began in the late 19th century that a steep upswing took place in infrastructure, the economy, health care, and also in schooling, which had not existed before. In the 1960s, sub-Saharan Africa had a higher per capita income than Asia. With independence, Blacks self-destructed their good prospects and today they lag far behind.⁴⁶

Colonization was brutal in many aspects and unacceptable by our nowadays standards. But all those who judge the world from their own moral point of view should follow Darlington's thought experiment: "Let us first imagine what would have happened without this ruthless advance [of the European colonizers; R.H.]. The condition of those continents could hardly have changed in the past 500 years. China would still be kowtowing to the Son of Heaven. Japan would still be in the cage of the Schogune. India would still be the victim of war-mongering Rajahs. And over the roads to Tripoli, Khartoum, and Zanzibar, Arabs would still drive their caravans of castrated slaves at considerable profit. Flotillas of cannibals would block the rapids of the Congo. And on their distant islands, Maoris and Melanesians still lived as man-eaters" (Darlington, 1980, p. 370). Not to leave out the New World, Darlington could have also mentioned the repugnant bloodthirsty human sacrifices of the Aztecs. And he could also have mentioned the countless primitive peoples who had not

even reached the developmental level of modern 7-year-olds. – All of these worlds no longer exist. It is very unlikely that any critic of colonialism would want to live in such worlds.

We are not glorifying colonization. Colonization is a historical fact that marks a certain period of human development and was found in all parts of the world. The people who shaped this epoch were at a completely different stage of anthropological development and they never had the possibility to see the world as we do today. We cannot undo history, for better or for worse; we can only strive to understand history and learn something from it.

A key lesson on this topic is: Slavery, colonization, war, violence, ruthlessness were not unique characteristics of Western Europeans. These were universal characteristics of earlier times, and this applies to the colonized peoples far more than to the Western colonizers. The indisputable fact is:

• Western colonization elevated the entire human race to a higher level of anthropological development.

Although intelligence levels all over the world are much higher today than they were a short time ago, gigantic differences still exist between peoples and nations. And these differences have tremendous impact in a wide variety of areas of life.

Validity, Correlates of Intelligence

Our examination of correlates of intelligence has shown unmistakably that national IQs have extraordinary *validity*. National IQs correlate with a wide range of variables from different domains of life.

According to a rough rule of thumb by Cohen (1988), a product-moment-correlation of 10 is considered a weak effect, 30 a medium effect, and 50 a strong effect. Measured against the usual relationships in the social sciences, the effect sizes of national IQs are mostly high, often very high, and occasionally extremely high. Weak effects are hard to find, and it is not easy to find relevant variables that do not correlate with the average cognitive abilities of nations.

The direction of the relationship is exactly as one would expect from a theoretical perspective. What we value positively correlates positively with intelligence. There are very few exceptions, and these are easy to understand. In general, we can say:

• National IQs are an excellent predictor of the well-being of nations.

The exceptionally high validity of national IQs has long been recognized. Already Lynn and Vanhanen (2006, 2012) have compiled a wealth of evidence, which has been extended by Lynn and Becker (2019). In addition, there is a large body of further empirical evidence. By accounting for nonlinear relationships, we have shown that the importance of national intelligence in some domains is greater than has been known.

We want to reemphasize that we are not looking at cause and effect issues. Correlations, in and of themselves, do not allow for causal inferences. Often the effect is likely to go both ways, and usually national intelligence is embedded in a more or less complex network of variables. Analyzing such networks is beyond the scope of this paper. A couple of such analyses can be found, for example, in Lynn and Vanhanen (2006, 2012), Lynn and Becker (2019), and Rindermann (2018).

A Dark Spot of Intelligence

Among all the different areas we have considered so far, there is only a single one worth mentioning that is negatively related to intelligence (we will consider another case at the end of this article). The dark spot is the ecological footprint, which is one of the greatest menaces of all. There is no doubt that the enormous expansion of possibilities that have been unlocked by the rise of formal-operative thinking has resulted in environmental burdens that exceed anything ever seen before.

However, it would be a gross mistake to believe that humans were any better in earlier times. Around the globe, they have radically transformed the flora, turning fertile regions into barren stretches of land and vast deserts. Wherever humans have come, they have wiped out entire animal populations. With their actions, they also made an impact on the climate. Humans have deluded themselves into thinking that they were preserving nature, but one after another society has collapsed because they destroyed their very foundations of life. If in some respects the ecological harms were less than they are today, it is because both the populations and the technological capabilities were several orders of magnitude smaller.

These brief remarks should not be misunderstood as an indictment of man, nor as a romanticizing of nature, nor as a doomsday prophecy. Life inevitably means modification of nature. Nature is not there to make life pleasant for man or any other living beings. Life requires at the same time the utilization of natural conditions and struggle against their resistances.

Intelligence and the Wealth of Nations

Another variable that deserves special attention is Gross National Income per capita (GNIc). Outside of intelligence research, many would probably argue that this, or the closely related Gross Domestic Product per capita (GDPc), is the most important variable of all.⁴⁷

As we illustrated in Figure 7, GNIc is a typical threshold variable. By accounting for nonlinearity, the variance explained increases from 50.2 to 55.9 percent. This in itself is quite remarkable, but, as with other variables, there are some outliers that weaken the correlation. The largest positive standardized residuals are for Liechtenstein (6.5), Qatar (5.4), Kuwait (3.1), Luxembourg (3.0), Brunei (2.9), United Arab Emirates (2.7), and Saudi Arabia (2.6). At the opposite end is China with a residual of -3.2.

The positive outliers have very small populations⁴⁸ and/or owe their extraordinary wealth to fossil fuels that have rested in the earth for many millions of years. The dwarf state of Liechtenstein and the small state of Luxembourg have very high intelligence by international standards, but they owe their wealth, which goes far beyond that, to sophisticated financial schemes that not a few would consider dubious. China, at the other end, has enjoyed fantastic economic growth in recent decades, but it will be a while before its per capita income matches the level of its extraordinarily intelligent population.

If we remove the outliers, the nonlinear correlation soars to.88, which means that the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence explains 77.5 percent of the variance in GNIc, or more than three quarters. This is extraordinarily high and the conclusion is:

• Anyone talking about GNIc, GDPc, or similar variables is implicitly talking about the cognitive abilities of the population.

What we measure as economic performance is not solely the result of material resources, physical labor and capital – a very large part derives from the cognitive abilities of people capable of formal thinking. Very high economic development is not possible at all without formal intelligence; or, to put it another way, formal-operative intelligence is a necessary condition for very high economic development. This necessary condition can be fulfilled in two ways, firstly by high intelligence of the population and secondly by external intelligence.

Thanks to their abundance of natural resources, the economic performance of some countries is much higher than the intelligence of their populations would predict. Their immense wealth does not grow by itself from their natural treasures. Left entirely to themselves, those countries would not even be able to prospect for the raw materials, let alone extract and process them, and they would have no idea what to do with them. The resources would not be treasures, but simply irrelevant dreck. Only the demand from more developed countries, only their knowledge and the superior technological and organizational abilities, which can spring only from formal thinking, make treasures out of the dreck.

To tackle outliers, many researchers use the logarithm of GNIc. For the full sample, the logarithmic model is much better than the linear model and also better than the threshold model (.80 vs..71 and.75). However, when outliers are removed, the threshold model is clearly better than the logarithmic (.88 vs..82).

Nonlinear Relationships

A distinct feature of our work is the focus on nonlinear relationships. Demonstrating that the relationship is nonlinear for a number of variables is not a shortcoming. On the contrary, this shows that the validity of national IQs is higher than has been thought in some areas. It is to be expected that some achievements can only be attained when a minimum of formal-operative thinking is present and that performance increases with increasing formal-operative intelligence. This is just what is modelled by the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. Unlike purely statistical attempts to get a handle on nonlinearity, the threshold model rests on a well-grounded theoretical foundation.

A threshold relationship has an important implication that should be pointed out. A number of countries could increase their national IQ by 10, 15, or 20 points and would still remain at the preformal level. Thus, even a substantial increase in cognitive ability would have no impact on the second variable. In these cases, the problem of weak data for nations in the lower intelligence range is of no significance. Increasing the score from 60 to 80 would not change anything.

"Racism! Racism!"-Screaming and Censorship

As mentioned in the introduction, research on national IQs has been accompanied by cries of "Racism! Racism!" from the very beginning, and demands for censorship and interdiction have been raised again and again. That this is still the case today is shown by the following two examples.

The first is by Ebbesen (2020). The article is part of a veritable shitstorm and scandalous politically motivated censorship against an article by Clark et al. (2020).

The author starts with "IQ scores are a poor measure of cognitive ability" – what on earth would be a useful measure of cognitive ability if not psychometric IQ scores? Right in the second sentence, he swings the racism club: "Biased and incorrect estimates of average IQ in non-European populations historically was – and still is – a core component of scientific racism within psychology."

His fury is ignited by the very low scores of sub-Saharan Africans. Referring to the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), he states "Within a comparable population, as a rough indicator, extremely low IQ scores can be a sign of clinically significant cognitive impairments, such as intellectual disability ... In DSM-IV (APA, 1998), intellectual disability was partly diagnosed by an IQ score of below 70 (i.e. two standard deviations below the mean of 100 in the population)". He then points to some very low national IQs and concludes "These estimates would seem to suggest that a majority of the population in these countries are moderately, severely or profoundly cognitively impaired ... This notion is incompatible with psychological science and there is no doubt that these estimates are wrong ... the national IQ of African countries ... is 24.4 points lower than the national IQ of European countries ... This is a huge mean difference (~1.6 standard deviations), similar in magnitude to the the DSM-IV diagnostic criterion for intellectual disability (2 standard deviation). There is no doubt that these estimates of national IQ for African nations are incorrect."

One might think that Ebbesen never heard of the Flynn effect. Otherwise, he would know that the national IQ in Western industrialized nations at the beginning of the 20th century was about 70. No, the average citizen in Western countries in 1900 was not a case for the psychiatrist.

One might also think Ebbesen never heard of the Global Learning Crisis. Student assessment studies have powerfully demonstrated that in many countries a high proportion are not capable of formal-operative thinking at all, or are only rudimentarily capable. We recall the quote "hundreds of millions of children finish schooling lacking even the basic literacy and numeracy skills of the nineteenth century" (Pritchett, 2013, p. 14). In many countries, cognitive levels are considerably lower than, for example, in the United States, England, and Germany in 1900. National IQs below 70 are far from unusual and, by themselves, are not indicative of mental retardation.

To all appearances, Ebbesen also did not understand the criteria of the DSM. The crucial point was elaborated by Warne (2022, p. 17) as follows: "According to the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013, p. 37), a person cannot be diagnosed with an intellectual disability without meeting three criteria: (1) a deficit in general mental ability, (2) impairment in functioning compared to one's peers, and (3) onset during childhood. A low IQ score is only relevant for criterion (1), and so a person — or an entire group of people — cannot be judged to have an intellectual disability on the sole basis of a low IQ score. All three criteria must be met … Even if the average IQ for a nation really were extremely low by Western standards, it is not logically possible for a substantial portion of a nation's citizens to have an intellectual disability. This is because the second criterion requires a person's functioning to be impaired compared to that of their peers. By definition, a nation's population of people is a set of peers, and the people within that population cannot logically have an impairment compared to their own functioning."⁴⁹ The essence of the matter expressed differently: The least developed countries are orders of magnitude less complex than modern industrial societies. In such simple societies, people can do without formal-operative thinking, and an IQ of 60, 50 or even less is quite sufficient. As recently as 200 or 150 years ago, no country in the world – measured by our standards – would have reached an IQ of 70. None of these societies was cognitively impaired.

Another knowledge gap is evident from the statement "It is also impossible that the average IQ of African nations is ~1.6 standard deviations below the average IQ of European nations ... presenting such low IQ estimates is equivalent to claiming that African adults have the cognitive ability of an average 11year-old European child. This notion is incompatible with psychological science." No, this is not incompatible with psychological science; on the contrary, it is in full agreement with cross-cultural Piagetian developmental psychology. Ebbesen has apparently never heard of it and he obviously has not the slightest idea of the difference between developmental height and developmental breadth.⁵⁰

Based on his fundamentally defective reasoning, Ebbesen concludes his article with the words "The estimates of cognitive ability presented by Clark et al. (2020) are so flawed that it is impossible to draw any conclusions from their analysis. The paper should be retracted". He thus contributed his part to the witch-hunt and the scandalous censorship.

Our second example is the article by Rebecca Sear (2022), which is intended as a fatal blow to Becker's NIQ database.⁵¹ Her starting point is the statement "IQs below ~70-75 are considered to indicate intellectual disability (American Psychological Association, 2013) and it is wholly implausible that an entire world region should, on average, be on the verge of intellectual impairment." What was said about Ebbesen is also true of Sear. That is, she seems to have no proper understanding of the Flynn effect, of international student assessment studies, of the DSM, and of cross-cultural Piagetian developmental psychology.

An interesting aspect is in the statement "most countries appear to have IQs estimated only from children: almost two third of samples only include individuals under 18 years... This is problematic not only because these samples will be unrepresentative of the national population but also because psychometric test scores are affected by age". Warne (2022, footnote 14) suspects that Sear has not understood that IQ scores are normed within age cohorts. He may well be correct. Nevertheless, age may be a problem. According to Life History Theory, populations differ in the pace of maturation and the level eventually reached (Rushton, 2000, 2020). On quite different variables, Rushton revealed systematic differences between Blacks, Whites, and East Asians. Blacks mature rapidly, but development stagnates early. Whites mature more slowly, but development lasts longer and reaches a higher final level. In East Asians, the slow-high pattern is even slightly more pronounced. Differential life-history strategies can be seen at all levels, not just between major racial groups, and they can have both genetic and environmental causes. When nations differ in their life-history strategies, looking at children can lead to false expectations about the level eventually achieved.⁵² Ironically, the effect runs exactly counter to Sear's political ideology, and on Life History Theory she would probably just scream "Racism! Racism!".

In typical cherry-picking fashion, Sear lists some cases in Becker's database where the empirical data are indeed weak, and some are definitively not representative of national IQ. That the available data are unsatisfactory in some respects is a fact that Lynn, Becker and other researchers on national IQs have repeatedly pointed out. However, the less than perfect state of affairs does not justify Sear's conclusions "The nature of the biases in this particular dataset mean its use may lead to racist conclusions and legitimise eugenic arguments, even where that is not the intention of the authors. No future research should use this dataset, and published papers which have used the dataset should be corrected or retracted."

Empirical data are not to be judged by what conclusions anyone might draw from them.⁵³ It doesn't matter at all what Sear deems "racist" or whether she approves of "eugenic arguments". Sear is not the Supreme Censor of Science. She has no authority over what is allowed to be published, and demanding that published work be retracted is nothing other than a call for the burning of books.

At this point, it has to be emphasized one more time that the estimates of psychometric intelligence research and student assessment studies are in excellent agreement. Would she like to throw the latter on the pyre as well?

Putting Ideologically Based Criticism into Perspective

It is true that the database for the countries in the middle and lower intelligence ranges is sparse and that there are gaps in the lower range in particular. However, one should lean back and look at the state of affairs in other areas. For all the other psychological traits, the situation looks worse. For some traits, there may be no data at all for developing regions, and even for countries in the middle or even upper intelligence range, the database is meager. For many variables, cross-cultural research is non-existent or so sparse that data are not even available for a few dozen nations.

In addition, for most variables, both reliability and validity are much lower than for intelligence. Consider, for example, the World Happiness Report. Life Satisfaction or Happiness or Well-Being is measured by the Life Ladder, as described above, and this is nothing more than the answer to a single question measured by an 11-point rating scale. The Corruption measure is the average of the two yes/no (= 1/0) questions "Is corruption widespread throughout the government or not?" and "Is corruption widespread within businesses or not?"⁵⁴ Positive Affect is the average of the three questions "Did you smile or laugh a lot yesterday?", "Did you experience the following feelings during A LOT OF THE DAY yesterday? How about Enjoyment?", "Did you learn or do something interesting yesterday?" An entire research tradition is based on such weak instruments. Moreover, data are only available for 108 nations. Examples of this kind could be listed endlessly. Even for sophisticated measurement instruments with a long research tradition, the situation does not look much better. For example, Meisenberg (2015) gives the Big Five the following report card: "This article summarizes cross-country research with measures of the Big Five personality dimensions. The conclusion is that these measures have limited reliability and validity when used at the level of country averages" (p. 360) and he ends his article with the words "extreme caution has to be exercised when using the existing compilations of country-level personality traits" (p. 377).

To avoid misunderstandings: This is not a criticism of the areas mentioned, but just a reminder of the current state of affairs beyond intelligence research. The overly harsh criticism of psychometric intelligence research is completely out of place and merely testimony to ideologically based double standards. The critics impose requirements that they would never do in other areas. Otherwise, they would have to demand that almost all psychological research, or even almost all social science research, be scrapped.

Conclusion

The phenotypic intelligence of nations can be measured very reliably by both psychometric intelligence tests and international student assessment tests and our national IQs have extraordinarily high validity. It is no exaggeration to state

• Intelligence is by far the most important human trait. No one will be able to dig up a variable that is independent of intelligence and has greater explanatory power than that.

Moreover, accounting for non-linearity in the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence has shown that intelligence is much more important than many believe.

The Future of Intelligence - A Look into the Crystal Ball

Finally, we go beyond the scope of this article and take a look into the future of intelligence. We know the current state very well and we also know a lot about the past. About the future, we can only speculate, but it is, after all, a central task of the sciences to make predictions.

For example, the question of the future development of intelligence has been explored by Dutton and Charleton (2015), Dutton and Woodley of Menie (2018), Francis (2022), Lynn (2011a), Meisenberg (2007, 2008), Meisenberg and Lynn (2023), Nyborg (2013), Rindermann (2018), Rindermann and Becker (2023), Rindermann, Becker, and Coyle (2017), Weiss (2000, 2012, 2020). Most expect a stagnation or even a negative Flynn effect for the intelligent countries⁵⁵ and they assume that the intelligence gains in the other regions will be much lower than the Flynn effect in the 20th century. In particular, they expect only weak gains in sub-Saharan Africa.

Here, we would like to add just one aspect that, oddly enough, is rarely addressed, although it is of paramount importance for the development of humanity in the 21st century. To this end, in Figure 12 we look at the relationship between intelligence and the Total Fertility Rate, i.e. the average number of children a woman can expect to have over the course of her lifetime. The solid line shows the regression line according to the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. The dotted line shows the number of offspring that would be required to sustain the population in advanced nations.



Figure 12. Intelligence and total fertility rate.

The Total Fertility Rate provides another textbook example of a threshold variable, but this is noted here only in passing.⁵⁶ The all-important point is: virtually all countries from the upper and top intelligence range are below the minimum that is required for maintaining the stock. Of the 53 nations with an IQ of at least 90, only Israel and Mongolia are above this threshold; the others are mostly far below it. If the trend continues – and everything points to this –, the populations of these nations will shrink dramatically.⁵⁷ On the other hand, the populations of countries with very low intelligence will explode. To illustrate what lies ahead for humanity by the end of the 21st century, we divide the countries into three groups: a) the Intelligent (East Asia and Europe with its Western offshoots), b) Sub–Saharan Africa, and c) the Rest. Figure 13 illustrates the population trends according to the United Nations World Population Prospects 2019. Here, we consider the Zero Migration variant. This is based on the completely illusory assumption that no further migration takes place, but it has the advantage that in this perspective the inevitable distortion caused by migration is eliminated.⁵⁸



Figure 13. UN World population prospects zero-migration.

The number of sub-Saharan Africans will skyrocket from 1.18 billion today (2023) to 3.82 billion in 2100. This will increase their share of the world population from 14.7 to 35.2 percent in 2100. Over the same period, the number of people from the intelligent countries will drop from 2.84 billion to 2.14 billion, and their share of the world's population will plummet from 35.3 to 19.7 percent. The rest of the world will grow from 4.01 to 4.90 billion, but its share will drop from 49.9 to 45.1 percent.

The addition of 2.64 billion sub-Saharan Africans and the loss of 700 million from the intelligent nations will flip the ratios upside down. Today, there are 2.4 people from the Intelligent group for every sub-Saharan African. By 2100, however, there will be only 0.56. That means the ratio will worsen by a factor of 4.3. Compared to the Rest group, the ratio of the Intelligent will worsen by a factor of 0.62.

All these figures refer to population development. What this implies for human development in the 21st century depends decisively on the development of intelligence. If national IQs were frozen at today's level, the world IQ would plummet from 86.7 to 81.0, and that would be an unimaginable catastrophe. To maintain the current world IQ despite the dramatic population decline in the intelligent countries, the others will have to make a great leap forward. But merely maintaining the world IQ would be an insufficient goal. It is not the mediocrity that matters, of crucial importance is the upper range of formal-operative thinking. A world that grows by 2 billion while the absolute number of very intelligent people stagnates or even declines does not have rosy prospects.

But intelligence is not carved in stone, and so the fate of humanity depends crucially on the Flynn Effect of the 21st century. As we have mentioned, most intelligence researchers are anything but optimistic in this regard. If their expectations were to materialize, the extremely unfavorable Total Fertility Rate could lead to serious consequences in many areas of life.

Gerhard Meisenberg has sketched the predicament as follows: "From a biological perspective, intelligence is a form of social pathology that leads to the extinction of affected populations. Ideally, we should be intelligent enough to survive but too stupid to control our fertility. This is the state towards which the evolution of human intelligence is heading" (Meisenberg, 2008, p. 240).

We started this article with quotes from antiquity, and we leave the last word to the Greek historian Polybius (c. 200 BC to c. 120 BC). In his book *The Histories*, which essentially deals with the rise of the Roman Empire, he also mentions an essential reason for the decline of the Greek Civilization.

"In our own time the whole of Greece has been subject to a low birth rate and a general decrease of the population, owing to which cities have become deserted and the land has ceased to yield fruit, although there have neither been continuous wars nor epidemics... For as men had fallen into such a state of pretentiousness, avarice, and indolence that they did not wish to marry, or if they married to rear the children born to them, or at most as a rule but one or two of them, so as to leave these in affluence and bring them up to waste their substance, the evil rapidly and insensibly grew."

Conclusion

All three research traditions – psychometric intelligence research, large-scale international student assessment studies and Piagetian developmental psychology – must be considered together. The intelligence level of nations has grown historically and the average intelligence of a country's inhabitants has a profound influence on most areas of life. In many important areas of life, there can be no variable that is independent of intelligence and has greater explanatory power than that.

The final version of this work will be entitled "The Intelligence of Nations. Historical Roots and Current Correlates". The extensive chapter on the historical development of intelligence is still in progress. The current preliminary version constitutes a stand-alone paper. All data were taken from freely accessible databases.

Footnotes

¹ Quotations from German sources have been translated by me, R.H. This applies in particular also to originally English-language texts which I have available in German version; these have been back-translated and probably only rarely agree with the original. All emphases as in the original. Where books are cited, the year of the edition available to me is given.

² We thank Alireza Falakdin who posted this quote at <u>https://www.researchgate.net/post/Old_Quotes_On_Ethnic_Intelligence</u>. We would be happy to see more such quotes on this thread.

³ New data have been added, but "the inclusion criteria for this study has been stricter than that of its predecessors and the number of sources is, therefore, lower than is found in the works by Lynn and Vanhanen" (Lynn and Becker, 2019, p. 12).

⁴ International student assessment studies were already conducted in the 1960s (Lee and Barro, 1997), but the large-scale systematic research programs are more recent. TIMSS started in 1995, PISA, arguably the most important of these, was launched in 2000, and PIRLS in 2001.

⁵ The brief characterization of the stages follows the description by Oesterdiekhoff and Rindermann (2008). "All major theories described four levels of intellectual development with transitions at 1½- 2, 6-7, and 11-12 years ... associated with increasingly abstract representations and some kind of recycling within levels ... Obviously, there must be something powerful in these transitions to have been recognized by every theorist" (Demetriou, Spanoudis and Shayer, 2015).

⁶ Luria, 1976, pp. 108-109; as cited by Flynn, 2009, p. 25.

⁷ Based on Oesterdiekhoff and Rindermann, (2008, pp. 7-8); slightly modified.

⁸ Since Richard Lynn had already drawn attention to the phenomenon before James Flynn and has himself amassed an enormous mountain of data that provided the empirical foundation for the discussion, some researchers refer to it as the Lynn–Flynn effect or the FLynn effect. However, these terms have not been adopted, nor has the common practice of naming a phenomenon after its actual discoverer. Edward A. Rundquist (1936) is considered to be the discoverer. However, he refers to the unpublished master thesis of Fred P. Roesell (1935; a summary was published under the same title in 1937). Roesell compared performance on the Miller Mental Ability Test for three Minnesota cities in 1920 and 1934 and found an increase in intelligence for all grades from 7th to 12th. Overall, IQ increased from 118 to 122; and this represents an increase of 0.29 points per year. For the history of the discovery of the Flynn effect, see Lynn (2013).

⁹ Pietschnig and Voracek (2015), Trahan, Stuebing, Hiscock and Fletcher (2014), Wongupparaj et al. (2023).

¹⁰ Bratsberg and Rogeberg, (2018), Colom et al. (2023), Dutton and Lynn (2015), Dutton, van der Linden and Lynn (2016), Dutton and Woodley of Menie (2018), Gonthier, Grégoire and Besançon, M. (2021). Shayer and Ginsburg (2009), Shayer, Ginsburg and Coe (2007), Woodley and Dunkel (2015), Woodley and Meisenberg (2013).

¹¹Wongupparaj et al. (2023) report much smaller increases in Raven's.

 $^{\rm 12}$ The results refer to the period 1950 to 2004; Flynn, 2012, pp. 21–23.

¹³ What is meant, of course, is not post-scientific, whatever that may be, but scientific.

¹⁴ On differential descendants of social classes see Clark (2007, 2014, 2023), Clark and Hamilton (2006), Weiss (1993, 2000). On the Northwestern European Marriage Pattern see for example Carmichael, de Pleijt and van Zanden (2016), Hajnal (1965, 1982), Henrich (2020), Oesterdiekhoff (2002, 2024), Szołtysek, Poniat, Klüsener and Gruber (2019), Todd (2018), Van Zanden, de Moor and Carmichael (2019). On the differential utilization of contraceptive methods in Europe, China, and the Islamic world see Meisenberg (2007, 2014).

¹⁵ A special form of genetic sieving was the execution of criminals. In the 11th century, the state and the Catholic Church reached a consensus that "the wicked should be punished so that the good may live in peace. Courts imposed the death penalty more and more often and, by the late Middle Ages, were condemning to death between 0.5 and 1.0% of all men of each generation, with perhaps just as many offenders dying at the scene of the crime or in prison while awaiting trial. Meanwhile, the homicide rate plummeted from the 14th century to the 20th" (Frost and Harpending, 2015, p. 230). Since crime is

associated with low intelligence (Ellis and Walsh, 2003; Wilson and Herrnstein, 1985), the gene pool has been shifted in this way toward higher intelligence.

¹⁶ The terms eugenic and dysgenic refer exclusively to effects that lead to higher or lower intelligence, respectively. They do not contain any value judgment.

¹⁷ Cattell (1950; 1983), Egeland (2022), Woodley of Menie, Fernandes, Figueredo and Meisenberg (2015).

¹⁸ For a more detailed analysis of the relationship between intelligence and corruption, see Henss (2021).

¹⁹ On the debunking of the nonsensical radical environmental determinist position, cf. Warne (2021), Fuerst, Shibaev and Kirkegaard (2023).

 20 We consider the years 2022 and 2023. More recent data is available for some indices. As the values generally change only extremely little – for consecutive years the correlations are usually above .95, often .99 – this has no importance at all.

²¹ Lim et al. (2018) also provided a comprehensive analysis based on student assessment studies and some psychometric intelligence tests for 195 nations. However, they completely ignore the invaluable trove of evidence from the Lynn and Vanhanen tradition. Therefore, their learning measure is essentially based on student assessment studies. The product-moment correlation with the national IQs that we derive below is .86 (N = 192). The same applies to Altinok and Diebolt (2023). For a compilation of the various data sets, see the database of Emil Kierkegaard (2023).

²² In Becker's database, our R corresponds to R, our LV12 corresponds to the index L&V12+GEO; our NIQB corresponds to QNW+SAS+GEO. GEO indicates that for a few countries for which no data are available, national IQ has been estimated on the basis of neighboring countries. This is common practice and the error is likely to be quite small.

²³ I would like to thank Przemysław Lewandowski for his valuable help in formulating the model and writing an Excel program. The program can be downloaded at <u>https://thresholdmodel.wordpress.com/2021/05/25/downloads/</u> (Lewandowski and Henss, 2019).

²⁴ The near-perfect correlation is no surprise, as Rindermann's R is essentially an update and extension of Lynn and Vanhanen's estimates.

²⁵ Alternatively, one can determine the first unrotated factor through principal component analysis. The values obtained correlate with our measure at .99, so it does not matter which one is used. We prefer the median because it is easy for anyone to calculate.

²⁶ To some extent, the differences are due to different sample sizes.

²⁷ For Bangladesh, Guyana, Iraq, Niger, Solomon Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu, intelligence research yields notably higher estimates; only for Gabon are the student assessment studies estimates notably higher.

²⁸ The results of PISA 2022 have also been published in the meantime (OECD, 2023). As a result of the Covid-19 pandemic, many countries have suffered a slump, which distorts the picture. As China also did not participate, we use the data from PISA 2018.

²⁹ On intelligence differenes between Chinese provinces, see Jensen (2023a,b), Kirkegaard (2015), Lynn and Cheng (2013), Patrinos and Angrist (2018, Annex 4). Lim et al. (2018) give a value of 100 for China and Altinok and Diebolt (2023) a staggering 89. Both contain a mixture with non-IQ data; see the Kirkegaard (2023) database.

³⁰ Kirkegaard considers, on the one hand, the Social Progress Index, which deliberately does not include economic variables, and, on the other hand, the Democracy Ranking, which includes some economic variables. He calls the S-factor from the joint dataset general socioeconomic factor. We refer to the Sfactor based on the Social Progress Index only.

³¹ The data are available as rankings and have been reversed.

³² "For several countries, particularly developing countries, statistics related to budget balance as a percentage of GDP are subject to frequent revisions by such data sources as the IMF" (Kim, 2023, p. 407).

³³ "Generosity is the residual of regressing the national average of GWP [Gallup World Poll; R.H.] responses to the donation question "Have you donated money to a charity in the past month?" on log GDP per capita" (Helliwell et al. 2023a, p. 39).

³⁴ The variable L&V02+GEO in Becker's NIQ dataset contains estimates for only 183 countries.

³⁵ For another view on the long breath of history, see Comin, Easterly and Gong (2009), Lynn (2012), Olsson and Paik (2020).

³⁶ For seminal discoveries in genetic research, see Manco (2018), Plomin (2018), Reich (2018).

³⁷ "The area of culture emergence extends ... as a narrow strip from the Atlantic to the Pacific, from Europe over North Africa, Near East, to India and China ... in its length about one fourth, in its width less than one twelfth of the earth's circumference ... This axis of the world history seems to lie around 500 before Christ, in the spiritual processes that took place between 800 and 200. There lies the deepest breakthrough of history. There arose the human being, with whom we live up to today. For the sake of brevity, this period shall be called the »Achsenzeit«" (Jaspers, 1960, p. 43; pp. 19–20).

³⁸ The median of the four groups is 104.6, 97.3, 82.9, and 70.0, respectively.

³⁹ On the greater importance of origin over present geographic location, see Ertan, Fiszbein and Putterman (2016), Lynn (2012), Putterman and Weil (2010).

⁴⁰ The Jews, especially the Ashkenazim, have developed their extraordinarily high intelligence over centuries in Europe. The Jews who remained in the Middle East and the Arab population of Israel are far less intelligent. For the extraordinary Jewish intelligence, see Frost, 2022; Lynn, 2011b; MacDonald, 2002; Murray, 2007.

⁴¹ Literature for this section: On slavery, see Darlington (1971, 1980), Davis (2003, 2009), Flaig (2018), Frost (2020), Gilley (2020), N'Diaye (2010), Sowell (1994). On colonialism, Black (2019), Chua (2007), Edels (2023a,b), Ferguson (2004), Gilley (2018a,b, 2022a,b, 2023), Sharman (2019). On White Man's Tomb, see Curtin (1989). On trends in per capita income of sub-Saharan Africa and the rest of the world, see Maddison (2006, 2007). Gilley (2023) includes a comprehensive bibliography on Western colonization, tellingly titled "Contributions of Western colonialism to human flourishing: A research bibliography." On the decline of violence, see Pinker (2011).

⁴² "For people of pre-modern cultures, slavery is not only God-given, but the most natural thing in the world ... Neither the philosophers nor the slaves themselves find slavery reprehensible in principle" (Oesterdiekhoff, 2013, p. 397).

⁴³ "Whether we try to look at the number of offspring or the genetic influence, it can be said that Islamic slavery, through castration, infanticide, and perversion, almost wiped out the offspring of African slaves in Arab countries" (Darlington, 1971, p. 731).

⁴⁴ "About 600,000 slaves were transported to the United States … About 310,000 of these persons were imported into the Thirteen Colonies before 1776 … They constituted less than 5% of the 12 million enslaved people brought from Africa to the Americas" (Wikipedia <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slavery in the United States</u>, 2023/06/30).

45 Davis (2003, 2009), Flaig (2018), Frost (2020).

⁴⁶ "Within weeks or months after colonial rule ended, African societies unraveled into primordial structures, and the state collapsed along with civic life. The examples are too numerous and tragic to recount" (Gilley, 2018b, p. 9).

⁴⁷ "Gross National Income (GNI) is the total amount of money earned by a nation's people and businesses. It … includes the nation's gross domestic product (GDP) plus the income it receives from overseas sources" (<u>https://www.investopedia.com/terms/g/gross-national-income-gni.asp;</u> accessed 2023/06/12). As a rule, the two measures are very similar, but in exceptional cases, they can diverge sharply.

⁴⁸ Population size in thousands: Liechtenstein 39, Brunei 445, Luxembourg 639, Qatar 2,688, United Arab Emirates 9,365, Saudi Arabia 35,950, China 1,425,893 (United Nations, 2022b; World Population Prospects 2022, estimates as of 1 July 2021).

⁴⁹ Elsewhere, Warne (2023a) comments on the fundamental flaw in Ebbesen's reasoning thus: "Ironically, the logic of interpreting low average IQ scores as meaning that the majority of a country's citizens have an intellectual disability is exactly the simplistic interpretation of IQ scores that no intelligence expert would ever make. Anyone making this inference from low average national IQ scores is only demonstrating their own ignorance about intellectual disability and the interpretation of IQ scores".

⁵⁰ On the distinction between developmental height and developmental breadth and the capabilities of primitive peoples and pre-modern societies, see for example Hallpike (1990, 2008, 2011, 2018), Oesterdiekhoff (2012, 2013).

⁵¹ For a comprehensive, informed, and balanced discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of Becker's NIQ database, we refer to Warne (2022, 223a, 223b).

⁵² Bakhiet, Dutton et al. (2018), Bakhiet, Lynn und Meisenberg (2015); Becker et al. (2023), Figueredo, Hertler and Penaherrera-Aguirre (2020), Hu (2022, 2023), Ziada et al. (2017).

⁵³ For a drastic demolition of this fallacious way of thinking, see Edels (2023c).

⁵⁴ Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index, which we looked at in Figure 1, is based on 13 different indices and measures the extent of perceived corruption on a scale of 0 to 100.

⁵⁵ However, some respondents in Rindermann, Becker, and Coyle's survey expect intelligence gains for China.

⁵⁶ For statistical parameters, see Table 16.

⁵⁷ For example, Meisenberg calculates, "At a fertility rate of 1.3, as now prevails in Germany, the number of people of reproductive age will drop to 3.5% of the original population within 200 years (in seven generations) ... 2.8 million people of the original 80 would then remain in Germany in 2208" (Meisenberg, 2008, p. 239). Currently, the TFR is 1.4, but that is due to mass immigration of people from countries with much lower intelligence, which have higher birth rates.

⁵⁸ In the Western world, the situation is actually even more unfavorable because the large number of immigrants already present from less intelligent countries have a higher birth rate than the autochthonous population.

References

- Altinok, N. & Diebolt, C. (2023). Cliometrics of learning-adjusted years of schooling: evidence from a new dataset. Cliometrica. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11698-023-00276-x
- Altinok, N., Diebolt, C. & Demeulemeester, J.-L. (2014). A new international database on education quality: 1965-2010. Applied Economics, 46, 1212-1247.
- American Psychiatric Association (1998). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-IV* (4th ed.). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5 (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Association,
 Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Angrist, N., Djankov, S., Goldberg, P. K. & Patrinos, H.A. (2021). Measuring human capital using global learning data. *Nature*, https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03323-7 http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/540801550153933986/Measuring-Human-Capital
- Bakhiet, S. F. A., Dutton, E., Ashaer, K., Essa, Y., Blahmar, T., Hakami, S. & Madison, G. (2018). Understanding the Simber Effect: Why is the agedependent increase in children's cognitive ability smaller in Arab countries than in Britain? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 122, 38-42.
- Bakhiet, S. F. A., Lynn, R. & Meisenberg, G. (2015). Non-Verbal Ability of Zulu Children across 56 years. Mankind Quarterly, 55, 340-351
- Bakhiet, S. F. A., Sles, N., Lynn, R. & Meisenberg, G. (2018). A study of the Standard Progressive Matrices Plus in Cambodia. <u>The Mankind Quarterly</u>, 58, 580–587. <u>https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2018.58.4.3</u>
- Bal-Sezerel, B., Ateşgöz, N. N., & Kirişçi, N. (2023). Intelligence differences across years: A trend analysis. Kuramsal Eğitimbilim Dergisi [Journal of Theoretical Educational Science], 16, 107-126.
- Barnett, S. M. & Williams, W. (2004). National intelligence and the emperor's new clothes. Contemporary Psychology, 49, 389-396.
- Becker, D. (2019). The NIQ-dataset (V1.3.2). Chemnitz, Germany. <u>https://viewoniq.org/</u>
- <u>Becker</u>, D., <u>Kiel</u>, C. & <u>Rindermann</u>, H. (2023). <u>Cross-national associations of IQ and infectious diseases: Is the prevalence of Corona an exceptional case?</u> *Qeios*. <u>https://doi.org/10.32388/VAEGXV</u>
- Becker, D., Meisenberg, G., Dutton, E., Bakhiet, S. F. A., Alfayez, F. A. & Essa, Y. A. S. (2023). International differences in the speed of cognitive development: A systematic examination of the existence of the Simber Effect. *Acta Psychologica*, 240, 104015.
- Bell, J. A. & Nuzzo, J. B. (2021). Global Health Security Index: Advancing collective action and accountability amid global crisis, 2021. Available: https://ghsindex.org/
- Black, J. (2019). Imperial legacies. The British Empire around the world. New York: Encounter Books.
- Bold, T., Filmer, D., Martin, G., Molina, E., Rockmore, C., Stacy, B., Svensson, J. & Wane, W. (2017a). What do teachers know and do? Does it matter? Evidence from primary schools in Africa. World Bank Group. Education Global Practice Group. Development Research Group. Africa Region. Policy Research Working Paper 7956.
- Bold, T., Filmer, D., Martin, G., Molina, E., Stacy, B., Rockmore, C., Svensson, J. & Wane, W. (2017b). Enrollment without learning: Teacher effort, knowledge, and skill in primary schools in Africa. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31, 185–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.4.185</u>
- Bratsberg, B. & Rogeberg, O. (2018). Flynn effect and its reversal are both environmentally caused. PNAS, 115 (26) 6674-6678 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718793115
- Brown, M., Wai, J. & Chabris, C. (2020). Can you ever be too smart for your own good? Linear and nonlinear effects of cognitive ability. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16 (6), 1337–1359. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620964122
- Carmichael, S. G., de Pleijt, A. & van Zanden, J. L. (2016). The European Marriage Pattern and its measurement. The Journal of Economic History, 76, 196– 204.
- Cattell, R.B. (1950). The fate of national intelligence: Test of a thirteen-year prediction. Eugenics Review, 62, 136-148.

- Cattell, R.B. (1983). Intelligence and national achievement. Washington, DC: The Institute for the Study of Man.
- Chua, A. (2007). Day of empire. How hyperpowers rise to global dominance and why they fall. New York: Anchor Books.
- Clark, C. J., Winegard, B. M., Beardslee, J., Baumeister, R. F. & Shariff, A. F. (2020). Declines in religiosity predict increases in violent crime but not among countries with relatively high average IQ. *Psychological Science*, 3(2):170–183.
- Clark, G. (2007). A farewell to alms. A brief economic history of the world. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Clark, G. (2014). The son also rises. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Clark, G. (2023). The inheritance of social status: England, 1600 to 2022. PNAS, 120 (27) e2300926120 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.230092612
- Clark, G. & Hamilton, G. (2006). Survival of the richest: The Malthusian mechanism in pre-industrial England. The Journal of Economic History, 66, 1-30.
- Cohen, F. (2010). Die zweite Erschaffung der Welt. Wie die moderne Naturwissenschaft entstand. Frankfurt/Main: Campus Verlag. English edition: Cohen, F. (2011). How modern science came into the world: Four civilizations, one 17th-century breakthrough. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for behavioral sciences. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Colom, R, García, L. F., Shih, P. C. & Abad, F. J. (2023). Generational intelligence tests score changes in Spain: Are we asking the right question? Intelligence, 99 (2023) 101772.
- Comin, D., Easterly, W. & Gong, E. (2010). Was the wealth of nations determined in 1000 BC? American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 2, 65-97.
- Coyle, T. R., Rindermann, H., Hancock, D. & Freeman, J. (2018). Non-linear effects of cognitive ability on economic productivity. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 39, 39-47.
- Curtin, P. D. (1989). Death by migration: Europe's encounter with the tropical world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Darlington, C. D. (1971). Die Entwicklung des Menschen und der Gesellschaft. Eine Kulturgeschichte. Düsseldorf: Econ Verlag. [English edition: Darlington, C. D. (1969). The evolution of Man and society. London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd.]
- Darlington, C. D. (1980). Die Wiederentdeckung der Ungleichheit. Frankfurt/Main: Umschau Verlag. [English edition: Darlington, C. D. (1978). The little universe of Man. London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd.]
- Davis, R. C. (2003). Christian slaves, muslim masters: White slavery in the Mediterranean, The Barbary Coast, and Italy, 1500-1800. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Davis, R. C. (2009). Holy war and human bondage: Tales of christian-muslim slavery in the early-modern Mediterranean. Santa Barbara: Praeger, ABC-CLIO.
- Deary, I. J., Strand, S., Smith, P. & Fernandes, C. (2006). Intelligence and educational achievement. Intelligence, 35, 13-21.
- Demetriou, A., Spanoudis, G. & Shayer, M. (2015). Inference, reconceptualization, insight, and efficiency along Intellectual growth: A general theory.
 <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262374763_Demetriou_A_Spanoudis_G_Shayer_M_in_press_Inference_Reconceptualization_Insight_a</u>
- Democracy Ranking (2014). Democracy ranking, 2014. <u>http://democracyranking.org/</u>
- Dutton, E. & Charlton, B. G. (2015). The genius famine. Why we need geniuses. Why they're dying out. Why we must rescue them. Buckingham: The University of Buckingham Press.
- Dutton, E., van der Linden, D. & Lynn, R. (2016). The negative Flynn effect: A systematic literature review. Intelligence, 59, 163-169.
- Dutton, E., & Lynn, R. (2015). A negative Flynn Effect in France, 1999 to 2008-9. Intelligence, 51, 67-70.
- Dutton, E. & Woodley of Menie, M. (2018). At our wit's end. Why we're becoming less intelligent and what it means for the future. Exeter: Imprint Academic.
- Ebbesen, C. L. (2020, June 17). Flawed estimates of cognitive ability in Clark et al. Psychological Science, 2020. PsyArXiv, 17 June 2020. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/tzr8c
- Economist Intelligence Unit (2023). Democracy Index 2022. Frontline democracy and the battle for Ukraine. London: The Economist Intelligence Unit Limited.
- Edels (2023a). Glad to be westernized. Cultures come in different grades; civilizations are not all the same. *VIRIDITAS*. https://pineypulee.substack.com/p/glad-to-be-westernized
- Edels (2023b). If I were a White person. I would be proud of what my ancestors did to the world. VIRIDITAS. <u>https://pineypulee.substack.com/p/if-i-were-a-white-person</u>
- Edels (2023c). Race science; original sin? A vulgar put-down of the manichean reaction to the phenomenon. *VIRIDITAS*.
 <u>https://pineypulee.substack.com/p/race-science-original-sin</u>
- Egeland, J. (2022). The ups and downs of intelligence: The co-occurrence model and its associated research program. Intelligence, 92 (2022) 101643.
- Ellis, L. & Walsh, A. (2003). Crime, delinquency and intelligence. In Nyborg, H. (Ed.). The scientific study of general intelligence. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Ertan, A, Fiszbein, M. & Putterman, L. (2016). Who was colonized and when? Across-country analysis of determinants. *European Economic Review*, 83, 165-184.

- Ferguson, N. (2004). Empire. How Britain made the modern world. Penguin Random House UK.
- Ferguson, N. (2013). Der Westen und der Rest der Welt. Berlin: Ullstein. [Ferguson, N. (2011). The West and the Rest. London: Allen Lane.]
- Flaig, E. (2018). Weltgeschichte der Sklaverei. München: C. H. Beck.
- Flynn, J. R. (1984). The mean IQ of Americans: Massive gains 1932 to 1978. Psychological Bulletin, 95, 29-51.
- Flynn, J. R. (1987). Massive IQ gains in 14 nations: What IQ tests really measure. Psychological Bulletin, 101, 171-191.
- Flynn, J. R. (2009). What is intelligence? Beyond the Flynn Effect. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flynn, J. R. (2012). Are we getting smarter? Rising IQ in the twenty-first-century. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Figueredo, A. J., Hertler, S. C. & Peñaherrera-Aguirre, M. (2021). The biogeography of human diversity in cognitive ability. *Evolutionary Psychological* Science, 7, 106-123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-020-00267-5</u>
- Francis, G. (2022). Dysgenics by the numbers. Quantifying the fall of civilization.
- <u>https://georgefrancis.substack.com/p/dysgenics-by-the-numbers</u>
- Frost, P. (2020). White skin privilege: Modern myth, forgotten past. Evolutionary Studies in Imaginative Culture, 4, 63-81.
- Frost, P. (2022). Ashkenazi Jews and recent cognitive evolution. <u>https://peterfrost.substack.com/p/ashkenazi-jews-and-recent-cognitive</u>
- Frost, P. & Harpending, H. C. (2015). Western Europe, state formation, and genetic pacification. *Evolutionary Psychology* www.epjournal.net 13 (1), 230-243.
- Fuerst, J., Shibaev, V. & Kirkegaard, E. O. W. (2023). <u>A genetic hypothesis for American race/ethnic differences in mean *g*: A reply to Warne (2021) with fifteen new empirical tests using the ABCD dataset. Mankind Quarterly, 63 (4), 527–600.</u>
- Galton, F. (1869). Hereditary genius. An inquiry into its laws and consequences. London: Macmillan. (Reproduction at Forgotten Books, www.forgottenbooks.org).
- Galton, F. (1883). Inquiry into human faculty and its development. London: Macmillan. (Reproduction at Elibron Classics. www.elibron.com).
- Gilley, B. (2018a). The case for colonialism. Academic Questions, 31, 167-185. https://www.nas.org/academic-questions/31/2/the case for colonialism
- Gilley, B. (2018b). <u>African civilization and the premature termination of colonialism</u>.
 <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323401144</u>, <u>African Civilization and the Premature Termination of Colonialism</u>
- Gilley, B. (2020). Was it good fortune to be enslaved by the British Empire? https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338964.617 Was It Good Fortune to be Enslaved by the British Empire
- Gilley, B. (2022a). In defense of German Colonialism: And how its critics empowered Nazis, Communists, and the enemies of the West. Washington, DC: Regnery Gateway.
- Gilley, B. (2022b). The case for colonialism: A response to my critics. *Academic Questions*. <u>https://www.nas.org/academic-questions/35/1/the-case-for-</u>colonialism-a-response-to-my-critics. <u>https://doi.org/10.51845/35.1.14</u>
- Gilley, B. (2023). Contributions of Western colonialism to human flourishing: A research bibliography.
 <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346401531</u> Contributions of Western Colonialism to Human Flourishing A Research Bibliography
- Gonthier, C., Grégoire, J. & Besançon, M. (2021). No negative Flynn effect in France: Why variations of intelligence should not be assessed using tests based on cultural knowledge. Intelligence, <u>84</u>, January – February 2021, 101512.
- Grinin, L. & Korotayev, A. (2015). Great Divergence and Great Convergence. A global perspective. Cham: Springer International Publishing Switzerland.
- Gust, S., Hanushek, E. A. & Woessmann, L. (2022). Global universal basic skills: Current deficits and implications for world development. Working Paper 30566. Massachusetts, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. <u>http://www.nber.org/papers/w30566</u>
- Hajnal, J. (1965). European marriage patterns in perspective. In Glass, D. V. & Eversley, D. E. C. (Eds.). Population in history. Essays in historical demography. 101-143.
- Hajnal, J. (1982). Two kinds of preindustrial household formation system. Population and Development Review, 8, 449-494.
- Hallpike, C. R. (1990/1979). Die Grundlagen primitiven Denkens. München: dtv. [English edition: Foundations of primitive thought. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1978]
- Hallpike, C. R. (2008). How we got here. From bows and arrows to the space age. Central Milton Keynes: Author House.
- Hallpike, C. R. (2011). On primitive society and other forbidden topics. Bloomington, IN: Author House.
- Hallpike, C. R. (2018). Ship of fools. An anthology of learned nonsense about primitive society. Kouvola, Finland: Castalia House.
- Hanushek, E. A. & Woessman, L. (2015). The knowledge capital of nations: Education and the economics of growth. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Haque, M. E. & Kneller, R. (2005). Corruption and development: A test for non-linearities. University of Nottingham Research Paper 2005/25.

- Harmacek, J., Krylova, P. & Htitich, M. (2022). 2022 Social Progress Index Data. Washington, DC: Social Progress Imperative. Available at www.socialprogress.org
- Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J. D., Aknin, L. B., De Neve, J.-E. & Wang, S. (Eds.). (2023a). World Happiness Report 2023 (11th ed.). Sustainable Development Solutions Network.
- Helliwell, J. F., Huang, H., Norton, M., Wang, S. & Goff, L. (2023b). Statistical Appendix for "World happiness, trust and social connections in times of crisis," Chapter 2 of World Happiness Report 2023.
- Henrich, J. (2020). The WEIRDest people in the world. How the West became psychologically peculiar and particularly prosperous. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Henss, R. (2021). Non-linear effects of intelligence and the Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. *Mankind Quarterly*, 61, 854-871.
 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352043834, Non-Linearity of Intelligence Effects and the Threshold Model of Formal Operative Intelligence Effects and the Threshold Model of Formal
- Henss, R. (2023). Die Global Learning Crisis. Schulbesuch ist nicht gleich Lernen.
 <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368544658_Die_Global_Learning_Crisis_Schulbesuch_ist_nicht_gleich_Lernen</u>
- Herrnstein, R.J. und Murray, C. (1994). The Bell Curve. Intelligence and class structure in American life. New York: The Free Press.
- Hu, M. (2022). Schooling enhances IQ, not intelligence. Human Varieties. <u>https://humanvarieties.org/2022/12/22/schooling-enhances-iq-not-intelligence/</u>
- Hu, M. (2023). The untold group interaction in the Black-White IQ gap. Human Varieties. <u>https://humanvarieties.org/2023/04/28/the-untold-group-interaction-in-the-black-white-iq-gap/</u>
- Hunt, E. (2011). Human intelligence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hunt, E. & Sternberg, R. J. (2006). Sorry, wrong numbers: An analysis of a study of a correlation between skin color and IQ. Intelligence, 34, 131-137.
- INSEAD (2022). The Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2022: The tectonics of talent: Is the world drifting towards increased talent inequalities? Fontainebleau, France.
- Institute for Economics & Peace (2022a). Positive Peace Report 2022: Analysing the factors that build, predict and sustain peace. Sydney, January 2022. http://visionofhumanity.org/resources (accessed 20/05/2023).
- Institute for Economics & Peace (2022b). Global Peace Index 2022: Measuring peace in a complex world. Sydney, June 2022.
 <u>http://visionofhumanity.org/resources</u> (accessed 20/05/2023).
- Jaspers, K. (1960). Vom Ursprung und Ziel der Geschichte. München: Piper. [Jaspers, K. (1953). The origin and goal of history. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.]
- Jencks, C. (1972). Inequality: A reassessment of the effects of family and schooling in America. New York: Basic Books.
- Jensen, A. R. (1998) The g Factor: The science of mental ability. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Jensen, S. (2023a). IQ of China. <u>https://www.sebjenseb.net/p/iq-of-china</u>
- Jensen, S. (2023b). IQ of China: 2nd look. https://www.sebjenseb.net/p/iq-of-china-2nd-look
- Kim, A. B. (2023). 2023 Index of Economic Freedom. Washington, DC: The Heritage Foundation.
- Kirkegaard, E. O. W. (2014). The international general socioeconomic factor: Factor analyzing international rankings. Open Differential Psychology, 8th of September. <u>https://openpsych.net/paper/28/</u>
- Kirkegaard, E.O. (2015). The S factor in China. The Winnower.
- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275338927 The S factor in China
- Kirkegaard, E. O. W. (2023). IQ datasets. <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1cReoeIZLlbxOrN4_wnj52Q6UWFepXSJGi3Gj5m6ZAZg/edit</u>
- Kirkegaard, E. O. W. & Carl, N. (2022). Smart Fraction Theory. A comprehensive re-evaluation. Comparative Sociology, 21, 677-699.
- Kohlberg, L. (1984). Psychology of moral development: The nature and validity of moral stages: Essays on moral development. New York: Harper & Row.
- La Griffe du Lion (2002). The smart fraction theory of IQ and the wealth of nations. La Griffe Du Lion. www.lagriffedulion.f2s.com/sft.htm.
- Lee, J.-W. & Barro, R. J. (1997). Schooling quality in a cross-section of countries. NBER Working Paper No. w6198
 <u>https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=225956</u>
- Lewandowski, P. & Henss, R. (2019). TMfoI. Threshold Model of formal-operative Intelligence. Excel-Program. <u>https://thresholdmodel.wordpress.com/2021/05/25/downloads/</u>
- Lim, S. S., Updike, R. L., Kaldjian, A. S., Barber, R. M., Cowling, K., York, H., Friedman, J., Xu, R., Whisnant, J. L., Taylor, H. J., Leever, A. T., Roman, Y., Bryant, M. F., Dieleman, J., Gakidou, E. & Murray, C. J. L. (2018). Measuring human capital: a systematic analysis of 195 countries and territories, 1990–

2016. The Lancet, 392 (10154), 1217-1234. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31941-X

- Luria, A. R. (1976). Cognitive development: Its cultural and social foundations. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lv, Z. (2017). Intelligence and corruption: An empirical investigation in a non-linear framework. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 69, 83-91.
- Lynn, R. (1991). Race differences in intelligence: A global perspective. The Mankind Quarterly, 31, 255-297.
- Lynn, R. (2011a). Dysgenics. Genetic deterioration in modern populations. London: Ulster Institute for Social Research.
- Lynn, R. (2011b). The chosen people: A study in Jewish intelligence and achievement. Washington Summit Publishers.
- Lynn, R. (2012). IQs predict differences in the technological development of nations from 1000 BC through 2000 AD. Intelligence, 40, 439-444.
- Lynn, R. (2013). Who discovered the Flynn effect? A review of early studies of the secular increase of intelligence. Intelligence, 41, 765-769.
- Lynn, R. (2015). Race differences in intelligence. An evolutionary analysis. Second revised edition. Arlington, VA: Washington Summit Publishers.
- Lynn R. (2018). The intelligence of nations. In Sternberg, R. J. (Ed.). The nature of human intelligence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 256-269.
- Lynn, R. (2020). Memoirs of a dissident psychologist. London: Ulster Institute for Social Research.
- Lynn, R. & Becker, D. (2019). The intelligence of nations. London: Ulster Institute for Social Research.
- Lynn, R. & Cheng, H. (2013). Differences in intelligence across thirty-one regions of China and their economic and demographic correlates. *Intelligence*, 41, 553-559.
- Lynn, R. & Meisenberg, G. (2010). The average IQ of sub-Saharan Africans: Comments on Wicherts, Dolan, and van der Maas. Intelligence, 38, 21-29.
- Lynn, R., Meisenberg, G., Mikk. J. & Williams, A. (2007). National IQs predict differences in scholastic achievement in 67 countries. Journal of Biosocial Science, 39, 861–874.
- Lynn, R. & Mikk, J. (2007). National differences in intelligence and educational attainment. Intelligence, 35, 115-121.
- · Lynn, R. & Vanhanen, T. (2002). IQ and the wealth of nations. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger.
- Lynn, R. & Vanhanen, T. (2006). IQ and global inequality. Augusta, Georgia: Washington Summit Publishers.
- Lynn, R. & Vanhanen, T. (2012). Intelligence. A unifying construct for the social sciences. London: Ulster Institute for Social Research.
- MacDonald, K. B. (2002). A people that shall dwell alone. Judaism as a group evolution strategy, with diaspora peoples. Lincoln, NE: Writers Club Press.
- Mackintosh, N. J. (1998). IQ and human intelligence. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Maddison, A. (2006). The world economy. Volume 1: A millennial perspective. Volume 2: Historical statistics. Paris: OECD. Development Center Studies.
- Maddison, A. (2007). Contours of the world economy, 1-2030 AD. Essays in macro-economic history. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Manco, J. (2018). Ancestral journeys. The peopling of Europe from the first ventures to the Vikings. London: Thames & Hudson.
- Meisenberg, G. (2007). In God's image. The natural history of intelligence and ethics. Brighton: Book Guild.
- Meisenberg, G. (2008). Intelligenz- und Wertentwicklung in Gesellschaften an der Schwelle zur Moderne. In Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. & Rindermann, H. (Eds.). Kultur und Kognition. Die Beiträge von Psychometrie und Piaget-Psychologie zum Verständnis kultureller Unterschiede. Münster: LIT Verlag, 209-242.
- Meisenberg, G. (2014). What are the causes of cognitive evolution? A critique and extension of psychogenetic theory. *The Mankind Quarterly*, 54, 326-338.
- Meisenberg, G. (2015). Do we have valid country-level measures of personality? Mankind Quarterly, 55, 360-382.
- Meisenberg, G. & Lynn, R. (2011). Intelligence: A study of human capital in nations. The Journal of Social, Political and Economic Studies, 36, 421-454.
- Meisenberg, G. & Lynn, R. (2023). Ongoing trends of human intelligence. Intelligence, 96 (2023) 101708.
- Murray, C. (2004). Human accomplishment. The pursuit of excellence in the arts and sciences, 800 B.C. to 1950. New York: Perennial.
- Murray, C. (2007). Jewish Genius. Jews are extravagantly overrepresented in every field of intellectual accomplishment. Why?
 https://www.commentarymagazine.com/articles/jewish-genius/
- N'Diaye, T. (2010). Der verschleierte Völkermord: Die Geschichte des muslimischen Sklavenhandels in Afrika. Hamburg: Rowohlt.
- Nyborg, H. (2013). The decay of Western civilization. Double relaxed Darwinian selection. In Nyborg, H. (Eds.). Race and sex difference in intelligence and personality. A tribute to Richard Lynn at 80. London: Ulster Institute for Social Research, 187–211.
- OECD (2018). PISA for Development. Assessment and analytical framework: Reading, Mathematics and Science. Paris: OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264305274-en
- OECD (2019a). PISA 2018 Results (Volume 1): What students know and can do. Paris: PISA, OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/5f07c754-en
- OECD (2019b). PISA 2018 Results (Volume II): Where all students can succeed. Paris: PISA, OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/b5fd1b8f-en
- OECD (2023). PISA 2022 Results (Volume II): Learning during and from disruption. Paris: PISA, OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/a97db61c-en

- Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. (2002). Familie, Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft in Europa. Die historische Entwicklung von Familie und Ehe im Kulturvergleich. Sieferle, R.
 P. & Breuninger, H. (Eds.). Der Europäische Sonderweg. Ein Projekt der Breuninger Stiftung. Band 6. Stuttgart: Breuninger Stiftung.
- Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. (2012). Was pre-modern man a child? The quintessence of the psychometric and developmental approaches. *Intelligence*, 40, 470478. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2012.05.005</u>
- Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. (2013). Die Entwicklung der Menschheit von der Kindheitsphase zur Erwachsenenreife. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. (2021). World history and societal evolution: Historical periods and psychological stages. *Mankind Quarterly*, 61. 10.46469/mg.2021.61.4.3
- Oesterdiekhoff, G. W. (2024). Family patterns in the Western and the Eastern world. *Qeios*. <u>https://www.qeios.com/read/9E8XH1</u>
- Olsson, O. und Paik, C. (2020). A Western reversal since the Neolithic? The long-run impact of early agriculture. *The Journal of Economic History*, 8, 80, 100-135.
- Patrinos H. A. & Angrist, N. (2018). Global dataset on education quality. A review and update (2000–2017). World Bank Group Education Global Practice, Policy Research. Working Paper 8592, <u>https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/39032153807674.7773/pdf/WPS8592.pdf</u>
- Piaget, J. (1948). The moral judgment of the child. New York: The Free Press.
- Piaget, J. & Garcia, R. (1989). Psychogenesis and the history of science. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Pietschnig, J. & Voracek, M. (2015). One century of global IQ gains: A formal meta-analysis of the Flynn Effect (1909-2013). Perspectives on Psychological Science, 10, 282-306.
- Pinker, S. (2011). The Better Angels of our nature. Why violence has declined. New York: The Viking Press.
- Plomin, R. (2018). Blueprint. How DNA makes us who we are. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Polybius: <u>The Histories, Vol 6: Bks.XXVIII-XXXIX</u>. <u>https://www.goodreads.com/guotes/444513-in-our-own-time-the-whole-of-greece-has-been</u>
- Pritchett, L. (2013). The rebirth of education. Schooling ain't learning. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Putterman, L. & Weil, D. N. (2010). Post-1500 population flows and the long run determinants of economic growth and inequality. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 125, 1627-1682.
- Reich, D. (2018). Who we are and how we got there. Ancient DNA and the new science of the human past. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rindermann, H. (2006). Was messen internationale Schulleistungsstudien? Schulleistungen, Schülerfähigkeiten, kognitive Fähigkeiten, Wissen oder allgemeine Intelligenz? *Psychologische Rundschau*, 57 (2), 69–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1026/0033-3042.57.2.69</u>
- Rindermann, H. (2007). The g-factor of international cognitive ability comparisons: the homogeneity of results in PISA, TIMSS, PIRLS and IQ-tests across nations. European Journal of Personality, 21, 667–706. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/per.634</u>.
- Rindermann, H. (2018). Cognitive Capitalism. Human capital and the wellbeing of nations. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rindermann, H. (2023). The advantages of having a minority viewpoint in politicized psychology: A case study of intelligence research. In Frisby, C. L., Redding, R., O'Donohue, W. T. & Lilienfeld, S. O. (Eds.). *Ideological and political bias in psychology: Nature, scope, and solutions*. Cham: Springer. 709–741.
- Rindermann, H. & Ackermann, L. (2020). Piagetian tasks and psychometric intelligence: different of similar constructs? *Psychological Reports*, 0(0), 1-27.
- Rindermann, H. & Becker, D. (2023). The future of intelligence: A prediction of the FLynn effect based on past student assessment studies until the year 2100. Personality and Individual Differences, 206 (2023), 112110.
- Rindermann, H., Becker, D. & Coyle, T. R. (2017). Survey of expert opinion on intelligence: The FLynn effect and the future of intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 106, 242–247.
- Rindermann, H., Sailer, S. & Thompson, J. (2009). The impact of smart fractions, cognitive ability of politicians and average competence of peoples on social development. *Talent Development and Excellence*, 1, 3–25.
- Roesell, F. P. (1935). A comparative study of the mental level in grades seven through twelve in three Minnesota towns in 1920 and 1934. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Minnesota Library.
- Roesell, F. P. (1937). Comparative mental ability of high school pupils in three Minnesota towns in 1920 and 1934. Minnesota Studies in Articulation.
- Rojas, (2018). Happiness in Latin America has social foundations. In Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R. Sachs, J. D. (Eds.). World Happiness Report 2018. New York: Sustainable Development Solutions Network. 114–146. <u>https://s3.amazonaws.com/happiness-report/2018/CH6-WHR-lr.pdf</u>
- Roser, M. (2014). Fertility Rate. Published online at OurWorldInData.org. Retrieved from: <u>https://ourworldindata.org/fertility-rate</u> [2023-05-08].
- Rundquist, E. A. (1936). Intelligence test scores and school marks of high school seniors in 1929 and 1933. School and Society, 43, 301-304. https://emilkirkegaard.dk/en/wp-content/uploads/Runquist-1936.pdf
- Rushton, J. P. (2000). Race, evolution, and behavior: A life history perspective (3rd ed.). Port Huron, MI: Charles Darwin Research Institute.

- Rushton, J. P. (2020). Odyssey. My life as a controversial evolutionary psychologist. Milton Keynes UK: Lightning Source UK Ltd.
- Russo, L. (2004). The forgotten revolution: How science was born in 300 BC and why it had to be reborn. Berlin: Springer.
- Sear, R. (2022). 'National IQ' datasets do not provide accurate, unbiased or comparable measures of cognitive ability worldwide. *PsyArXiv*. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/26vfb
- Sharman, J. C. (2019). Empires of the weak. The real story of the European expansion and the creation of the new world order. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Shayer, M., & Ginsburg, D. (2009). Thirty years on a large anti-Flynn effect/(II): 13-and 14-year-olds. Piagetian tests of formal operations norms 1976–2006/7. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 79, 409–418.
- Shayer, M., Ginsburg, D. & Coe, R. (2007). Thirty years on a large anti-Flynn effect? The Piagetian test Volume & Heaviness norms 1975-2003. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 77, 25-41.
- Social Progress Imperative (2022). 2022 Social Progress Index. Executive Summary. <u>https://www.socialprogress.org/global-index-2022overview/</u>
- Sowell, T. (1994). Race and culture. A world view. New York: Basic Books.
- Spearman, C. (1927). The abilities of man. New York: Macmillan.
- Szołtysek, M., Poniat, R., Klüsener, S. & Gruber, S. (2019). Family organisation and human capital inequalities in historical Europe: Testing the association anew. In Dieboldt, C. et al. (Eds.). Cliometrics of the family, studies in economic history. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 83-120.
- Todd, E. (2018). Traurige Moderne. Eine Geschichte der Menschheit von der Steinzeit bis zum Homo Americanus. München: C.H. Beck.
- Trahan, L., Stuebing, K. K., Hiscock, M. K. & Fletcher, J. M. (2014). The Flynn effect: A Meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 140, 1332-1360.
- Transparency International: Corruption Perceptions Index 2022. <u>https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2022</u>
- UNDP (2023). Human Development Report 2021/2022. Uncertain times, unsettled lives. Shaping our future in a transforming world. New York: United Nations Development Programme.
- UNECSO (2013). The global learning crisis. Why every child deserves a quality education. Paris: UNESCO. ED.2013/WS/28. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000223826
- United Nations (n.d.). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. A/RES/70/1. sustainabledevelopment.un.org https://sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/publications/21252030%20Agenda%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20web.pdf
- United Nations (2019). World Population Prospects 2019. New York: United Nations. Population Division. Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- United Nations (2022a). The Sustainable Development Goals. Report 2022. New York: United Nations Publications.
- United Nations (2022b). World Population Prospects 2022. New York: United Nations. Population Division. Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- United States Census Bureau (2022). Historical estimates of world population. <u>https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/international-programs/historical-est-worldpop.html</u> [accessed 2023-08-15]
- Van Zanden, J. L. & de Moor, T. & Carmichael, S. (2019). Capital Women: The European Marriage Pattern, female empowerment and economic development in Western Europe 1300–1800. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Vonderach, A. (2014). Völkerpsychologie. Was uns unterscheidet. Schnellroda: Verlag Antaios.
- Wai, J. & Rindermann, H. (2017). What goes into high educational and occupational achievement? Education, brains, hard work, networks, and other factors. High Ability Studies, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13598139.2017.1302874</u>.
- Warne, R. T. (2020). In the know: Debunking 35 myths about human intelligence. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108593298
- Warne, R. T. (2021). Between-group mean differences in intelligence in the United States are >0% genetically caused: five converging lines of evidence. American Journal of Psychology, 134, 480-501.
- Warne, R. T. (2022). National mean IQ estimates: Validity, data quality, and recommendations. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*. 19. Dec. 2022. Databank: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s4.0806-022-00351-y</u>
- Warne, R. T. (2023a). Thoughts on low national IQs, intellectual disability, and data quality. <u>https://russellwarne.com/2020/07/19/thoughts-on-low-national-igs-intellectual-disability-and-data-quality/</u>
- Warne, R. T. (2023b). Stupid? No. Unfamiliar? Yes. The meaning of low mean IQs in developing nations. <u>https://russellwarne.com/2023/04/29/stupid-no-unfamiliar-yes-the-meaning-of-low-mean-iqs-in-developing-nations/</u>
- Weede, E. (2004). Does human capital strongly affect economic growth rates? Yes, but only if assessed properly. Comparative Sociology, 3, 115-134.
- Weede, E. und Kämpf, S. (2002). The Impact of intelligence and institutional improvements on economic growth. Kyklos, 55, 361-380.
- Weiss, V. (1993). Bevölkerung und soziale Mobilität. Sachsen 1550–1880. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Weiss, V. (2000). Die IQ-Falle. Intelligenz, Sozialstruktur und Politik. Graz: Leopold Stocker Verlag.

- Weiss, V. (2002). Zur Vererbung der Intelligenz zu Sozialstruktur und Familienpolitik als eine Nachbetrachtung zum Bericht PISA 20002. Veröffentlichungen der Gesellschaft für Freie Publizistik, 18, 31-59.
- Weiss, V. (2012). Die Intelligenz und ihre Feinde. Aufstieg und Niedergang der Industriegesellschaft. Graz: Ares Verlag.
- Weiss, V. (2020). IQ means inequality. The population cycle that drives human history. Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing.
- Weiss, V. (2022). How it was gradually discovered that PISA tests and IQ tests give almost identical results, evidenced by six reviews from the years 2002 to 2013 and one article published in 2005, translated from German. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358533470 How it was gradually discovered that PISA tests and IQ tests give almost identical
- Wilson, J. Q. & Herrnstein, R. J. (1985). Crime and human nature. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Wongupparaj, P., Wongupparaj, R., Morris, R. G. & Kumari, V. (2023). Seventy years, 1000 samples, and 300,000 SPM scores: A new meta-analysis of Flynn effect patterns. *Intelligence*, 98, 101750.
- Woodley, M. A., & Dunkel, C. (2015). In France, are secular IQ losses biologically caused? A comment on Dutton and Lynn. Intelligence, 53, 81-85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2015.08.009
- Woodley, M., & Meisenberg, G. (2013). In the Netherlands the anti-Flynn effect is a Jensen effect. Personality and Individual Differences, 54, 871–876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.12.022
- Woodley of Menie, M. A., Fernandes, H. B. F., Figueredo, A. J. & Meisenberg, G. (2015). By their words ye shall know them: Evidence of genetic selection
 against general intelligence and concurrent environmental enrichment in vocabulary usage since the mid 19th century. the mid 19th century. Frontiers
 in Psychology, 6:361. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00361</u>
- World Bank (2018). World Development Report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) (2022). Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth? Geneva: WIPO.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.34667/tind.46596</u>
- Ziada, K., Metwaly, H., Bakhiet, S., Cheng, H. & Lynn R. (2017). A new study of intelligence in Egypt. Mankind Quarterly, 58, 307-311.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.