

[Open Peer Review on Qeios](#)

[Commentary] SBRT (Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy) in Recurrent Head Neck Cancer - Boon or Bane?

Trinanjan Basu¹

¹ HCG Cancer Centre

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) has emerged as a potential treatment approach for recurrent head and neck cancers, but its role remains controversial. This concise clinical perspective summarizes the current evidence on SBRT for recurrent head and neck cancers and discusses key considerations regarding appropriate patient selection, treatment planning, toxicity risks, and combination with other therapies. The author concludes that SBRT may provide benefits for select recurrent head and neck cancer patients, but more research is still needed to determine optimal use.

Dr. Trinanjan Basu,

Senior Consultant- Radiation Oncology,

HCG Mumbai.

Over the last decade, there have been significant improvements in radiation (RT) delivery methods in head neck cancers (HNC), leading to improved quality of life and outcomes in select cases. Despite that, locoregional recurrences and second primary cancers remain a major hindrance in 20-40% of patients ^{[1][2]}. Reirradiation goes through a narrow window

between cure and toxicity. Till date, surgical salvage, if feasible, remains the best possible method for cure in a recurrent HNC patient. Over the years, through several retrospective and prospective databases, the same has been documented, and MIRI (Multi-institutional Reirradiation) consortium is the latest evidence [3]. Appropriate patient selection, site, and volume of recurrent HNC, duration from previous RT, and many other factors and nomograms can predict outcomes in these cohorts [4][5]. Among all, the duration from previous RT, and especially 2 years or more, remains a key deciding factor.

SBRT is a form of modern conformal high-precision external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) that can provide various radiobiological benefits by delivering multiple small beams, smaller irradiated volume, hypofractionation, and a possible dose escalation [6][7]. Rwigema et.al. have mentioned a dose of 40 Gy in 5 fractions or above, and smaller recurrent tumor volumes (<25 cc) to be associated with better survival [6]. The similar data came out later in MIRI consortium for RPA category II patients. The International Radiosurgery Society endorsed the dose volume recommendation in lieu with previous publications. There have been sparse data for SBRT in HNC, and a survey by Karam et.al. showed varied practices [8]. The major concerns among the radiation oncology community have been toxicity followed by appropriate case selection and expertise and training. Till date, there are no head-to-head comparisons between surgical salvage and SBRT in rHNC, and surgical salvage remains preferred and effective. There are phase II data for SBRT with Cetuximab demonstrating favourable local control and overall survival [9][10]. The RPA category III patients (unresectable and <2 years from previous RT), if with good performance status, should be considered as a future clinical trial cohort for SBRT.

The technical requirements for performing SBRT in HNC need to be stringent, especially related to contouring, with special mention to skin, carotids, spinal cord, brainstem, and other organs at risk. Published international guidelines exist, and departmental protocols are needed for a seamless workflow for HNC SBRT [11]. Yamazaki et.al. have analyzed the dreaded carotid blowout syndrome in rHNC treated by reirradiation, and it is multifactorial [12]. There is a recent concept of extreme organs at risk (OARextreme) and overlapping volumes while evaluating plans for SBRT [13][14]. Many studies are undergoing for the evaluation of SBRT with immunotherapy, and the optimal combination remains elusive [15]. Exciting data are surfacing among elderly patients for primary SBRT with or without immunotherapy, SBRT in medically unfit surgery-denied patients, for early glottic cancers, and in the postoperative setting, albeit all under trial scenarios. The International Geriatric Radiotherapy Society has published recommendations for the same [16][17]. Like other sites of malignancies, there is some enthusiasm for oligometastatic HNC, especially in nasopharynx and P16+ HNC. In these clinical situations, SBRT does have a role to play [18].

Unfortunately, we do not have any Indian consensus guidelines for SBRT in HNC, although several single-institute retrospective series have been published. The key points are smaller recurrent volumes of tumours, more significant gaps from primary RT, and effective planning and dose constraints [19][20]. Very recently, the American Radium Society published an appropriate usage of reirradiation executive summary, including SBRT in HNC. The committee could not reach any consensus regarding the use of SBRT; however, dosages more than 35-40 Gy in 5 fractions, strict OAR constraints, and RPA category III remain critical for further evaluation [21].

SBRT in rHNC is an important tool that requires adequate training and safe delivery methods for its optimal outcome. A

nationwide prospective database and the formulation of working guidelines will help in determining the most favourable cohort.

References

- [^]Harari PM, Harris J, Kies MS, et al. Postoperative chemoradiotherapy and cetuximab for high-risk squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group RTOG-0234. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32:2486-2495.
- [^]Cooper JS, Pajak TF, Rubin P, et al. Second malignancies in patients who have head and neck cancer: Incidence, effect on survival and implications based on the RTOG experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1989;17:449-456.
- [^]Caudell JJ, Ward MC, Riaz N, Zakem SJ, Awan MJ, Dunlap NE, et al. Volume, dose, and fractionation considerations for IMRT-based reirradiation in head and neck cancer: a multi-institution analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2018;100(3):606-17.
- [^]Tanvetyanon T, Padhya T, McCaffrey J, et al. Prognostic Factors for Survival After Salvage Reirradiation of Head and Neck Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:1983-91.
- [^]Riaz N, Hong JC, Sherman EJ, et al. A nomogram to predict loco-regional control after re-irradiation for head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol*. 2014;111:382-7.
- ^{a, b}Rwigema JC, Heron DE, Ferris RL, Andrade RS, Gibson MK, Yang Y, et al. The impact of tumor volume and radiotherapy dose on outcome in previously irradiated recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck treated with stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2011;34(4):372-9.
- [^]Comet B, Kramar A, Faivre-Pierret M, Dewas S, Coche-Dequeant B, Degardin M, et al. Salvage stereotactic reirradiation with or without cetuximab for locally recurrent head-and-neck cancer: a feasibility study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;84(1):203-9.
- [^]Karam I, Yao M, Heron DE, et al. Survey of current practices from the International Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy Consortium (ISBRTC) for head and neck cancers. *Future Oncol*. 2017;13:603-613.
- [^]Heron DE, Rwigema JC, Gibson MK, Burton SA, Quinn AE, Ferris RL. Concurrent cetuximab with stereotactic body radiotherapy for recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a single institution matched case-control study. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2011;34:165-172.
- [^]Vargo JA, Heron DE, Ferris RL, et al. Prospective evaluation of patient-reported quality-of-life outcomes following SBRT cetuximab for locally-recurrent, previously-irradiated head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol*. 2012;104:91-95.
- [^]Iqbal MS, West N, Richmond N, Kovarik J, Gray I, Willis N, et al. A systematic review and practical considerations of stereotactic body radiotherapy in the treatment of head and neck cancer. *Br J Radiol*. 2020;93:20200332.
- [^]Yamazaki H, Ogita M, Himei K, Nakamura S, Kotsuma T, Yoshida K, et al. Carotid blowout syndrome in pharyngeal cancer patients treated by hypofractionated stereotactic re-irradiation using CyberKnife: A multi-institutional matched-cohort analysis. *Radiother Oncol*. 2015;115:67-71.
- [^]Embring A, Onjukka E, Mercke C, et al. Overlapping volumes in re-irradiation for head and neck cancer – an important factor for patient selection. *Radiat Oncol*. 2020;15:147.
- [^]Gogineni E, Zhang I, Rana Z, Marrero M, Gill G, Sharma A, Riegel AC, Teckie S, Ghaly M. Quality of Life Outcomes

- Following Organ-Sparing SBRT in Previously Irradiated Recurrent Head and Neck Cancer. Front Oncol. 2019;9:836.*
15. [^]Karam SD, Anderson CM, Ma D, Chua MLK, Kimple RJ. Immunotherapy in Head and Neck Cancer-Ready for Prime Time or More Research Needed? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2021;109(3):647-650.
 16. [^]Swain M, Ghosh Laskar S. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for primary non-metastatic head and neck cancer: When less is enough. *Oral Oncology.* 2021;116:105265.
 17. [^]Nguyen NP, Kim L, Thariat J, Baumert BG, Mazibuko T, Gorobets O, Vinh-Hung V, Giap H, Mehmood T, Vincent F, et al. Immunotherapy and Modern Radiotherapy Technique for Older Patients with Locally Advanced Head and Neck Cancer: A Proposed Paradigm by the International Geriatric Radiotherapy Group. *Cancers.* 2022;14:5285.
 18. [^]Florescu C, Thariat J. Local ablative treatments of oligometastases from head and neck carcinomas. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2014.01.004>.
 19. [^]Anand AK, Dua B, Bansal AK, Singh HM, Verma A, Kumar A. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) for recurrent and previously irradiated head and neck cancers. *BJR Open.* 2020;2:20190051.
 20. [^]Basu T, Kataria T, Goyal S, et al. Preliminary Analysis of CyberKnife Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Primary and Recurrent Head and Neck Cancers: A Delicate Balance. *Int J Head Neck Surg.* 2021;12(2):43-47.
 21. [^]Ward MC, Koyfman SA, Bakst RL, Margalit DN, Beadle BM, Beitler JJ, Chang SS, Cooper JS, Galloway TJ, Ridge JA, Robbins JR, Sacco AG, Tsai CJ, Yom SS, Siddiqui F. Retreatment of Recurrent or Second Primary Head and Neck Cancer After Prior Radiation: Executive Summary of the American Radium Society Appropriate Use Criteria. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2022 Jul 15;113(4):759-786.