

Open Peer Review on Qeios

[Commentary] Building a digital republic to reduce health disparities and improve population health in the United States

Peter Muennig¹, Roman Pabayo², Emilie Courtin³

- 1 Columbia University
- 2 University of Alberta
- 3 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Potential competing interests: The author is on the advisory board of Qeios. Peer review and article acceptance procedures are crowdsourced, and therefore independent of journal advising activities under the Qeios model. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Abstract

Income, schooling, and healthcare are key ingredients for optimizing human's ecological niche for survival. But most government programs that are designed to provide a hand up in these domains are difficult to access. While many Americans struggle to pay taxes, few understand the difficulties associated with enrolling in Medicaid, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. A remarkably small percentage of needy families receive the social benefits to which they are entitled, and that percentage is smaller for those most in need (those with physical disabilities, caregiving responsibilities). To address this problem, the Child Tax Credit in the American Rescue Plan provided automatic enrollment, and worked hard to locate more low-income families. But until everyone has a digital footprint that allows automated enrollment, the sickest and most vulnerable citizens will remain in the informal sector. By expanding data systems so that all Americans have a digital identity across multiple datasets, it not only becomes possible for all Americans to simplify their lives but for welfare services to work for the most vulnerable, as they are intended.

Peter Muennig, M.D., M.P.H.

Professor, Health Policy and Management

Mailman School of Public Health

Columbia University

pm124@cumc.columbia.edu

Roman Pabayo, Ph.D, MSc, BSc

Associate Professor, Canada Research Chair Tier II in Social and Health

University of Alberta



Emilie Courtin, Ph.D.

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Only well-off Americans are adroit at navigating the complex bureaucracies of banks, taxes, and licensure requirements required for day-to-day survival in a complex society. But failing to navigate these bureaucracies impacts not only Americans' wallets, but likely also their health.

Social policies have long been hypothesized to improve health by providing key social goods that are needed for survival (housing, health care, education) to socially disadvantaged populations. [1][2][3][4] Recently, randomized controlled trials have shown that social policies that are effective at increasing economic well-being can also improve health among those with a lifetime of exposure to poverty. [5]

For a social policy to improve health, though, it must also improve economic well-being. Unfortunately most social policies simply don't do what they are supposed to do (provide safe housing, provide income support for low-income workers). [6][7] For example, public housing projects provide housing that is less healthy and more dangerous than housing that can be obtained using vouchers that are valid for use in slightly higher income neighborhoods. [8][9] Social benefit programs can also produce harmful side-effects, particularly those with work requirements or time limits. For example, people who are unable to work due to mental illness, caregiving responsibilities, or the lack of a car (precisely those who need the benefit the most) have higher premature mortality on a more generous but time limited program than on a program without time limits. But perhaps the largest reason for the failure of social programs is that they are simply too difficult for the needlest to access; even in experimental trials in which participants are provided assistance in enrolling in benefits, only about half typically succeeded in obtaining tax credits, Medicaid benefits, or housing vouchers. [10][11]

Even benefits that are ostensibly automatic often do not reach their intended recipients. The American Rescue Plan passed under President Biden recognized that the neediest Americans—often those with cognitive deficits or mental illness—were not all receiving economic stimulus checks. [12] This is in part because this group has little by way of a data imprint on government data systems; if one has never worked, is homeless, or works in the informal sector, he or she is unlikely to have a recorded address in which to receive a payment. As a result, the Biden administration charged the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) with the task of finding those with missing information. This was no small task for an underfunded federal agency. The IRS nevertheless managed to find many disadvantaged Americans that had not previously received the credit. [13]

In an ideal world, different social benefits would be targeted to those in need. For instance, a self-employed worker with an income of \$30,000/year may be able to afford most survival needs except for private health insurance, which could consume over a third of pre-tax income. Were public insurance (Obamacare, Medicaid) provided to this individual automatically, there would be no need to research cryptic plans or filling out complex forms. Not only is the recipient able to devote more time to work, but government agencies would have less overhead. The key issues of finding those in need for services, targeting services to those with specific needs based on individual characteristics, and then enrolling them in services could be solved by the creation of a digital republic.



What is a digital republic?

Estonia was the first nation on earth to use a digital identity card to secure linkages between troves of individual-level data in order to bring government services online, thereby building a digital republic around digital identity. [14] All government services are online and securely linked to digital identities such that the nation can be run virtually in the event of an occupation by Russia. [14] While e-Estonia has not had a major data breach since 2007, it remains vulnerable because multiple sources of data have been centralized. [15][16] Sweden, China, and South Korea have also all moved almost exclusively to digital payments and as a result have also been able to automate most of the tasks that we all need to get ahead in society—to obtain a loan, obtain a credit card, apply for university, or open a business. In China, a loan can be obtained in 3 minutes using a much more reliable predictive algorithm than is used by banks in the US. [17]

Another potential health benefit of a digital republic is that it may reduce barriers to voting. The most socially disadvantaged groups confront significant barriers to voting, and political participation is also potentially a powerful determinant of health; elections allow socially disadvantaged populations to elect officials who will fill their survival needs.^[18] One can immediately imagine how digital identities can not only make the lives of wealthier citizens easier, but they can also level the playing field for participating in society. Were everyone able to participate in formal services (banking, licensing) while also receiving social benefits (housing, health insurance), economic and health disparities may begin to fade.

Improving privacy, reducing fraud

Digital identities underpin a digital republic. Typically, when a user logs into an email or bank account, that person's "credentials" are certified by a username and password. This is ideally followed by a second verification, such as a text message. An ultra-secure system might use a state issued card with a chip or a live satellite-linked code to verify that the user is who they claim to be. This secure credential ensures that "Tanya Jones" is the one who is actually accessing Tanya Jones' data in any given dataset.

When the identity is certain, it is possible to create a safe linkage between multiple encrypted datasets in both the public (Social Security, Department of Motor Vehicles) and private (bank, college) sectors. For example, a person applying for a job as a truck driver would provide the employer with permission to verify the applicant's employment history, licensure to drive a truck, and driving violations all while granting the employer permission to deposit paychecks by accessing each database.

An important element of this example is that the private information that the employer receives is limited only to that for which the user provides permission. In the US, if a customer wishes to buy alcohol, the storeowner is provided with the customer's name, driver's license number, and date of birth. In a digital republic, the storeowner only needs to know whether the person is over or under the age of 21, and this can be verified with a tap of the phone or ID card against a console. Age eligibility can even be encoded in the digital payment (credit card, Venmo) so that the purchase and verification happen at the same time. In this way, digital identities can increase privacy by returning control of one's



identity and personal data back to the user. (But it does make getting a free drink on one's 21st birthday a bit more difficult.)

Setting standards

A set of transparent but secure international standards for identities, data formats, and data infrastructure have been developed, such as Trust Over IP.^{[19][20]} Such standards are built on the concept of "self-sovereign identity," which gives a user control over access to their data. Such standards are necessary if the US to implement a system like Estonia's while also increasing transparency. Standards for software work much in the same way that standards for hardware work; if all bicycle cranks have the same threading and size, then any pedal can be used on any crank. Likewise, databases built on the same standards become easily interoperable.

Proper data structures do not centralize data in one spot, but rather allow access to different datasets located in different systems. It is important to decentralize the data where it resides (the Department of Motor Vehicles, Unemployment Insurance) because it is unlikely that a hacker would be able to breach more than one system.

How a digital republic might benefit population health

The effort required to achieve a digital republic in the US would be immense, but so too would be the health and economic benefits that might arise from such an effort. This is in no small part because a digital republic could provide targeted automatic enrollment in social benefits, such as public health insurance or income support, that reduce hardships like living in deteriorating housing, being a victim of crime, or being able to afford healthy food. ^[5] But being able to pay the bills produces health effects that extend beyond overcoming material hardship.

Another way is by reducing psychological stress. Just as a car would be of little use if it could not gear up to climb a hill, humans would not survive without the ability to rev up the stress response to escape a large cat. But constantly revving an engine will cause the car to wear out quickly.^[21] The stress response in humans produces neurohumoral changes like increased levels of adrenaline and steroids.^[21] The result is wear and tear on the body's organs, damaging health.^[22]

Navigating the hassles of daily living takes a health toll on the wealthy and poor alike in the form of psychological stress, but this stress is probably much more acute and severe in socially disadvantaged groups.^{[23][24]} A digital republic potentially revs down the human engine by removing the daily stress associated with navigating modern complex societies; less time is spent on automated phone systems and more time working and playing.

Just as stress takes a toll on the body, it takes a toll on the brain, making it more difficult for socially disadvantaged groups to perform basic tasks, such as opening a bank account and enrolling in bill payment or going to the Department of Motor Vehicles and getting a driver's license. These tasks require "executive function" skills, which are located in the forebrain and atrophy quickly when a person is stressed. [25][26][27][28] If socially disadvantaged people are unable to



engage in the hassles of daily living, they can enter a downward spiral in which poverty leads to poor executive function, which leads to lower earnings, which leads to worse executive function.

In addition to reducing material hardship (bad housing, crime), making it easier to participate in everyday society (paying bills, getting a license), and overcoming executive function deficits that are more common among socially disadvantaged people, a digital republic may also improve health by increasing political participation. As of May 2022, 27 states have enacted over 436 laws that restrict voting rights. [29] These laws disproportionately impact individuals from low-income households, racial minority groups, and younger US residents. As a result, these groups do not have elected representatives who can advocate for their survival needs, such as housing, healthcare, and education. [18] By reducing barriers to receiving social benefits, reducing stress, increasing access to everyday services, and increasing voter participation, a digital republic can potentially reduce health disparities will also potentially improving overall population health.

Precision welfare, precision public health, precision medicine

In the US, some medical providers continue to take notes on pen and paper. Big providers that require the use of electronic medical records systems sometimes find that systems in one clinic do not communicate with systems in another. [30] As a result, patients must re-enter their personal information on pen and paper forms when they are referred from, say, their primary provider to their dermatologist.

When data systems are unified, it saves patients time, reduces redundancy in the health system, and it also becomes possible to better understand how different treatments work in different populations. [30][31] Precision medicine becomes possible because many years of data can be used to link a given treatment that a particular patient received with medical outcomes over time. If a similar patient received a different treatment, outcomes associated with the first treatment can be compared with those of the second. Medical data are becoming sufficiently detailed that patients are not only defined by demographic characteristics, but also genetic characteristics. This might allow for highly customized treatment regimens to be added to clinical trials in the future. Big data-driven precision medicine is particularly important for racial and ethnic minorities, groups that tend to be left out of clinical trials. [32] Precision medicine and precision welfare could also serve as a form of precision public health, easing the social risk factors that drive premature aging and harmful environmental exposures thereby improving the health and well-being of the American public. Frighteningly, the same paper and pen tools used in doctors' offices are sometimes used to record some disease surveillance activities in the US, too often by faxing information from one office to the next. [33] South Korea, which deploys sophisticated centralized public health and real-time tracking data systems, had an operational application for testing and tracking Covid-19 cases down to the street level within a month of the first case. [34] Taiwan, which is a world leader in unifying health data systems, had an operational system within three months of the first case. At the time of writing, three years into the Covid-19 pandemic, there was no way to track the crudest measures of progress such as tests or vaccinations in the US. Data were inaccurate and often hand summed from different sources (primarily thanks to a heroic effort by Johns Hopkins University). Had the US had a system for comprehensive data management at the individual level like South Korea and Taiwan, countless lives



could have been saved, particularly among the most socially disadvantaged. [35][36]

Limitations

There are also drawbacks to digital identity schemes. Foremost, they must be implemented in a very user-friendly way to avoid increasing health and economic disparities rather than reducing them. The elderly, disabled, and homeless are groups that require special attention and will sometimes require personal assistance. Although many elderly, disabled, or homeless people have access to a cellphone and can use one, some people cannot. One solution is to open walk-in centers that provide digital services to those who cannot access them from their phone or laptop. A single point of inperson accommodation can replace a wide array of government and private services. In-person services must be run by government agencies that guarantee access in a secure way with highly trained workers and few geographic barriers to access or wait times. Access by people or institutions that provide custodial care is paramount. When data systems are fully operational, it is easy for the government to know who needs help and where.

Undocumented immigrants would also require special attention. At present, undocumented immigrants in sanctuary cities require a parallel system in which little by way of an identity card is required to enroll in social benefits. This would have to remain a parallel system.

A major limitation it that it is much easier to solve these problems in writing than in practice. From the management of Hurricane Katrina to the rollout of Obamacare on Healthcare.gov, the US has a long history of failing where other governments have succeeded. Fortunately, Estonia's system is designed to be somewhat replicable, and its services can be purchased by other governments. (In fact, anyone can obtain an e-citizenship in Estonia for \$100 Euros, though the benefit applies only to the virtual nation and not the geographic one.)

There are also other reasons to be pessimistic. Currently, the approach to digital identity schemes in both the private and public sectors within the US appears to be stealth implementation. Apple implemented biometrics to conveniently unlock a phone. It then subsequently used the data collected by the users for unlocking the phone to credential third party website logins. This includes logins to banks. While passwords can be easily changed, facial dimensions cannot. A data breach in Shanghai included the first large-scale case of biometric data theft. This is particularly dangerous in a country where facial recognition software is used not only for shopping and banking, but also for catching criminals.

These examples point not only toward reasons why it is important to ensure that self-sovereign identity is deployed, they also point to political risks associated with transparency. Rollout would take years. The longer rollout takes, the longer the process would be subject to the US' Machiavellian politics. However, as was the case in Estonia, the US faces existential threats to its dominance as a superpower, and these threats may create political momentum for change. Finally, while digital identities in theory eliminate the risk of voter fraud, modern-day politicians that wish to keep disadvantaged groups away from the virtual ballot box will find a way of twisting the narrative against a more secure system. Whether the same politicians view increasing efficiency in business affairs as more important than voting restrictions remains to be seen. In a federalist system, the voting guarantee afforded by digital identities may have to ultimately be granted by the



state. Such laws have spillover effects onto non-minority populations as well.^[18] If so, one can imagine a system in which health disparities are determined more by geography than by socio-economic advantage.

Conclusions

The challenges confronting American society in the second half of the 2 ft century are much broader and more complex than those in the second half of the 20th century, including ever growing differences between those with more or less education. But the technology available to address these problems is also exponentially more powerful. A digital republic can put privacy and security back in the hands of the user while greatly simplifying day-to-day tasks, making it a "no-brainer" policy tool. However, there are both business and political forces that resist this idea. Political minorities have a strong incentive to resist technologies that can surmount barriers to voting. Meanwhile, technology companies have a strong incentive to resist technologies that allow users to control their data.

Were automatic enrollment in welfare systems achievable in a Rawlsian world, then the remaining arsenal of welfare programs could, in theory, be targeted to individuals using predictive analytics

Welfare automation likely pays for itself many times over by targeting programs to individuals in need and ferreting out fraud, reducing government personnel, and advancing predictive analytics that can improve precision medicine and precision public health. Baby steps are needed, with automatic enrollment in public health insurance programs (Medicaid, Indian Health Services, Obamacare) a logical first step.^[37]

To make a digital republic equitable for all citizens, transparent standards that are vetted by experts can help secure the identity of disadvantaged and advantaged citizens equally. Doing so might not only reduce health disparities, it might also produce large impacts on population health.

Ethics statement

No institutional review board approval was required.

Competing interests

The author is on the advisory board of Qeios. Peer review and article acceptance procedures are crowdsourced, and therefore independent of journal advising activities under the Qeios model. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- 1. Modell SM. Aristotelian influence in the formation of medical theory. The European Legacy. 2010;15(4):409-24.
- 2. McManus C. Engel, Engels, and the side of the angels. The Lancet. 2005;365(9478):2169-70.
- 3. ^Phelan JC, Link BG. Fundamental cause theory. Medical sociology on the move: Springer; 2013. p. 105-25.



- 4. ^Virchow R. Notes on the typhus epidemic prevailing in Upper Silesia. Arch Pathologische Anatomic Physiologic Klinische Medizin. 1849;2:143-322.
- 5. a, b Courtin E, Kim S, Song S, Yu W, Muennig P. Can Social Policies Improve Health? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 38 Randomized Trials. Milbank Q. 2020;98(2):297-371.
- 6. ^Treasury. Federal sepnding by category and agency. Available online at: https://datalab.usaspending.gov/americas-finance-guide/spending/categories/. Accessed 7/11/2022. 2022.
- 7. ^Haskins R, Margolis G. Show me the evidence: Obama's fight for rigor and results in social policy: Brookings Institution Press; 2014.
- 8. ^Chetty R, Hendren N, Katz LF. The effects of exposure to better neighborhoods on children: New evidence from the moving to opportunity experiment. Am Econ Rev. 2016;106(4):855-902.
- 9. ^Ludwig J, Sanbonmatsu L, Gennetian L, Adam E, Duncan GJ, Katz LF, et al. Neighborhoods, obesity, and diabetes--a randomized social experiment. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(16):1509-19.
- 10. Kling JR, Liebman JB, Katz LF. Experimental analysis of neighborhood effects. Econometrica. 2007;75(1):83-119.
- 11. ^Baicker K, Taubman SL, Allen HL, Bernstein M, Gruber JH, Newhouse JP, et al. The Oregon Experiment Effects of Medicaid on Clinical Outcomes. NEJM. 2013;368(18):1713-22.
- 12. Newman A. Newman, A. No address, no ID, and struggling to get their stimulus checks. New York Times. 5/8/2021.

 Available online at: https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/05/nyregion/homeless-stimulus-check.html Accessed 8/9/2022.
- 13. ^Philbrick, I.P. The Upshot. Why Isn't Biden's Expanded Child Tax Credit More Popular? New York Times. 1/5/2022. Available online at: https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/05/upshot/biden-child-tax-credit.html Accessed 2/7/2022.
- 14. a, b Heller N. Estonia: the digital republic. The New Yorker. Available online at:

 https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/12/18/estonia-the-digital-republic Accessed 2/8/2022. 2017.
- 15. ^Davis, J. Hackers Take Down the Most Wired Country in Europe. Wired. 8/21/2007. Available online at: https://www.wired.com/2007/08/ff-estonia/. Accessed 2/08/2022.
- 16. Past, L., & Brown, K. (2019, March 28). Estonia is winning the cyber war against election meddling. Retrieved May 5, 2019 from https://qz.com/1582916/estonia-is-winning-the-cyber-war-against-election-meddling/.
- 17. ^McMorrow R, N. L. Ant's huge lending business powers \$30bn IPO. Available online at: https://www.ft.com/content/935401f8-a374-4c15-ba8a-12c600ac3443 Accessed 8/2/2022.
- 18. a, b, c Pabayo R, Liu SY, Grinshteyn E, Cook DM, Muennig P. Barriers to Voting and Access to Health Insurance Among US Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. The Lancet Regional Health-Americas. 2021:100026.
- 19. Trust Over IP. Available online at: https://trustoverip.org Accessed 2/5/2022.
- 20. ^ToIP. The Trust Over IP model. Available online at: https://trustoverip.org/toip-model/ Accessed 7/11/2022. 2022.
- 21. a, bMcEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. N Engl J Med. 1998;338(3):171-9.
- 22. ^Sapolsky RM. Stress, health and social behavior. Animal Behavior Elsevier. 2019:163-70.
- 23. ^Cohen S, Doyle WJ, Baum A. Socioeconomic status is associated with stress hormones. Psychosom Med. 2006;68(3):414-20.
- 24. Steptoe A, Kunz-Ebrecht S, Owen N, Feldman PJ, Willemsen G, Kirschbaum C, et al. Socioeconomic status and stress-related biological responses over the working day. Psychosom Med. 2003;65(3):461-70.



- 25. ^Hackman DA, Gallop R, Evans GW, Farah MJ. Socioeconomic status and executive function: Developmental trajectories and mediation. Developmental Science. 2015;18(5):686-702.
- 26. ^Raver CC, Blair C, Willoughby M. Poverty as a predictor of 4-year-olds' executive function: New perspectives on models of differential susceptibility. Dev Psychol. 2013;49(2):292.
- 27. Wolf TJ. Participation in work: The necessity of addressing executive function deficits. Work. 2010;36(4):459-63.
- 28. ^Blair C, Granger D, Peters Razza R. Cortisol reactivity is positively related to executive function in preschool children attending Head Start. Child Dev. 2005;76(3):554-67.
- 29. ^Brenan Center. Voting laws roundup: May, 2022. Available online at: https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/voting-laws-roundup-may-2022. Accessed 8/2/2022.
- 30. ^{a, b}Wang K, Muennig PA. Realizing the promise of big data: how Taiwan can help the world reduce medical errors and advance precision medicine. Applied Computing and Informatics. 2022(ahead-of-print).
- 31. Metspalu A. ePerMed-Rise of scientific excellence and collaboration for implementing personalised medicine in Estonia-H2020. Impact. 2018;2018(7):53-5.
- 32. ^Bartlett C, Doyal L, Ebrahim S, Davey P, Bachmann M, Egger M, et al. The causes and effects of socio-demographic exclusions from clinical trials. Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England). 2005;9(38):iii-152.
- 33. ^Department of Health and Human Services. Public health 3.0: a call to action to create a 21st century public health infrastructure. 2016. https://www.healthypeople.gov/sites/default/files/Public-Health-3.0-White-Paper.pdf. Accessed April 18, 2022.
- 34. ^Park S, Choi GJ, Ko H. Information technology–based tracing strategy in response to COVID-19 in South Korea—privacy controversies. JAMA. 2020;323(21):2129-30.
- 35. ^Pabayo R, Grinshteyn E, Steele B, Cook DM, Muennig P, Liu SY. The relationship between voting restrictions and COVID-19 case and mortality rates between US counties. PLoS One. 2022;17(6):e0267738.
- 36. ^Zhong X, Zhou Z, Li G, Kwizera MH, Muennig P, Chen Q. Neighborhood disparities in COVID-19 outcomes in New York city over the first two waves of the outbreak. Ann Epidemiol. 2022;70:45-52.
- 37. ^McIntyre A, Shepard M. Automatic insurance policies-important tools for preventing coverage loss. The New England journal of medicine. 2022;386(5):408-11.