

# **Ruminal CO2 holdup monitoring, acidosis might be caused by CO2 poisoning**

José A. Laporte-Uribe

DVM, Animal Scientist

Mühlenstrasse 17, Herdecke, Germany

https://doi.org/10.32388/E0Z8L8.2

### **Abstract**

The ruminal buffering system is composed of bicarbonate  $(HCO<sub>3</sub>)$  and dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (dCO<sub>2</sub>). While low pH indicates high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  formation, the pH scale is a ratio between acids and bases in a solution, i.e.  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ , and fail to provide individual component concentrations. For instance, modern feeding practices can reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas fugacity from the ruminal fluid or " $CO<sub>2</sub>$ holdup". Under those conditions, not only  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  can reach critical concentrations, but the buffering system might favour HCO<sub>3</sub> formation resulting in normal ruminal pH values, the quotient, regardless of the harmful  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  accumulation. Consequently, subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA), traditionally associated with low or variable pH, might be triggered by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup. This observational study aimed to continuously monitor ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  and characterised  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup within the ruminal fluid targeting the specific infrared signal of  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  with an attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) spectrometer. Three lactating dairy cattle were longitudinally exposed to diets designed to elevate both ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  and SARA risk. Indwelling pH sensors and ruminal fluid samples served as references for  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  analysis, while a categorical analysis detected CO2 holdup from the output of the ATR-IR sensor. Milk yield, milk components, and feed intake supported the known positive role for high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  in rumen function. However, SARA was associated with ruminal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup, suggesting that prolonged exposure to critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations during extended postprandial periods might trigger SARA. Continuous  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ monitoring with the proposed methodology and analysis may offer a valuable tool for optimising rumen function and prevent SARA risk.

Keywords: Subacute ruminal acidosis, dissolved carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub> holdup, ATR-IR sensor, ruminal buffering system, ruminal pH scale.

#### **Introduction**

The Arrhenius' theory suggests that the pH scale signals water  $(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  ionisation into hydronium  $(H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>)$  and hydroxides (HO) and their equilibrium. Therefore, the ruminal pH scale indirectly measures the effect of bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) buffering and dCO<sub>2</sub> formation on H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> activity (Laporte-Uribe, 2016, 2019). For example, the ruminal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  buffer system works by reducing H<sub>2</sub>O dissociation. Ruminal H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub> are combined to produce dCO<sub>2</sub>, which is the main liquid form of  $CO_2$  in the fluid, i.e., carbonic acid is short-lived in H<sub>2</sub>O, <1% (Laporte-Uribe, 2016). This step is catalysed by carbonic anhydrase (Mau and Südekum, 2011). The ruminal fluid is buffered, and H<sub>2</sub>O dissociation reduced, when  $dCO_2$  dissociates into H<sub>2</sub>O and  $CO_2$  gas, with the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas subsequently evolving into the ruminal gas cap to be released via eructation (Diagram 2).

Traditionally, the ruminal short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) concentrations are blamed for pH variations, however the primary driver of these fluctuations is the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  buffer system (Turner and Hodgetts, 1955). SCFA concentrations are seemingly constant (Dijkstra et al., 1993), are dominated by the bases not the acid forms of SCFA,  $pK_a \sim 4.7$ , even lactic acid that is commonly associated to ruminal acidosis is mainly found as lactate, the base,  $pK_a \sim 3.8$  (Russell and Hino, 1985). As bases, SCFA play only a buffering role when the pH is below 5.4 and HCO<sub>3</sub> is depleted (Turner and Hodgetts, 1955; Hille et al., 2016). Moreover, the threshold for ruminal acidosis, pH 5.5 (Nocek et al., 2002), the rumen fluid equilibrium or pKa' 6.1 (Hille et al., 2016) and the pH scale range, 5 to 7 (De Veth and Kolver, 2001), coincides with the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  species equilibrium described by the Bjerrum equations (Buchholz et al., 2014). In fact, the relationship between SCFA formation and the pH scale decline may simply be a consequence of  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  released during fermentation (Wolin, 1960; Dijkstra et al., 2012). Therefore, increased SCFA production leads to greater  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  formation, with a concomitant ruminal pH decline.

Another aspect explaining the spurious relationship between pH, SCFA, and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  is the capnophilic nature of ruminal bacteria (Gladstone et al., 1935; Dehority, 1971). Ruminal succinate and lactate-producing bacteria thrive in high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  environments (Wright, 1960; Samuelov et al., 1991). Under these conditions, ruminal propionate production also increases, as succinate and lactate are the main precursors (Mizrahi et al., 2021). Consequently, a rumen environment rich in  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  enhances propionate production, manifested as a low acetate to propionate ratio (A/P ratio) and low pH (Lana et al., 1998; Russell, 1998). Moreover, high ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  stimulates lipopolysaccharides (LPS) formation in *Streptococcus bovis* (Dain et al., 1956; Cheng et al., 1976), a major factor described in the pathogenesis of ruminal acidosis (Li et al., 2012). Similarly, elevated lactate during ruminal acidosis may result from bacteria favouring the acrylate pathway, which does not involve decarboxylation reactions that can be limited by high ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ (Wright, 1960; Counotte and Prins, 1981; Blombach and Takors, 2015). Therefore, the common clinical signs of ruminal acidosis may be a consequence of high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations.

The risk of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) is attributed to prolonged exposure to low ruminal pH (Nocek et al., 2002; AlZahal et al., 2007a; Villot et al., 2018), which might indicate high dCO<sub>2</sub> formation (Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, Eq. 2). However, SARA individual risk is associated with variable pH bouts (Penner et al., 2007), suggesting also an increased  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ formation. The ruminal  $HCO_3^-$  and  $dCO_2$  concentrations are influenced by factors such as the diet (SCFA formation), metabolism  $(H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>$  buffering), and physicochemical characteristics of the rumen liquor (Hille et al., 2016; Laporte-Uribe, 2016, 2019). For instance, modern feeding practices may reduce ruminal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  effervescence, because the small particles and highly fermentable materials present in modern diets make the ruminal fluid less ideal (Laporte-Uribe, 2016). Under those conditions,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fugacity deviates from the Henry's law, and ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ 

accumulates beyond the ideal equilibrium with the gas cap, or  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup (Laporte-Uribe, 2016). Therefore, the variable pH bouts observed during SARA onset may be consequential to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ holdup, as it might also increase  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  formation.

Ruminal dCO2 and CO2 blood pools rapidly equate (Whitelaw et al., 1972; Veenhuizen et al., 1988) due to the positive ruminal gradient with the blood,  $\sim 60$  vs. 2.5 mM (Turner and Hodgetts, 1955; Kohn and Dunlap, 1998) and the preferential use of ruminal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for SCFA uptake (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Rackwitz and Gabel, 2018). Consequently, the proposed timescale and risk for SARA onset might involve prolonged exposure of the ruminal epithelium to critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations and SARA signs might be caused by CO<sub>2</sub> poisoning.

Ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations are partially characterised and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup has never been observed *in situ* (Chou and Walker, 1964b, a; Laporte-Uribe, 2019; Wang et al., 2019). This observational study aimed to use a wired attenuated total reflectance infrared spectrometer (ATR-IR) that detected the distinctive IR signal of  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  at 4.27  $\mu$ m within the ruminal liquor (Schädle et al., 2016). The more reliable ATR-IR technique and output evaluation might help us to confirm the following hypotheses (first) to confirm the ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  range, (second) to unveil the relationship between  $CO_2$  species and pH, and (third) to disclose the role of  $CO_2$  holdup on disease (SARA) and rumen function.

#### **Materials and Methods**

### *Ethical and experimental guidelines*

The experimental protocols were approved and licensed by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of Wageningen University and Livestock Research, WUR Dairy Campus, according to the Experiment in Animals Act, WOD, The Netherlands with permit AVD401002015298. The care

of all cattle involved in this experiment adhered to the guidelines of the ethical committee for the use of fistulated cattle in tied stall facilities.

#### *The experimental setup*

The diets and cattle performance were described previously (Laporte-Uribe, 2019). In brief, three fistulated lactating dairy cattle (Bar Diamond Inc., Ida., USA; 10 cm diameter), ~100 days in milk (DIM), were housed in tied stalls. The cattle were milked twice daily with ad libitum access to drinking water. Three total mixed ratio (TMR) diets were prepared daily using an automatic feeding system (Trioliet Feeding Technology, Oldenzaal, The Netherlands) and were served in equal parts, three times per day. The SARA-prone diets were a low physically effective neutral detergent fibre (Low-peNDF), a high ruminally degradable starch (High-RDS) and a combination of both (Combined); please see Laporte-Uribe (2019) for details on the formulation. All cattle were fed the same diet simultaneously for two weeks (run): the second week was for ruminal sampling and sensor deployment. The cattle had a three-days rest period between runs on a standard production TMR diet (Dairy Campus, Wageningen University). Indwelling pH sensors and manual ruminal samples were used as references (Diagram 1).

### The Longitudinal Observational Design



**Diagram 1.** The longitudinal observational design aimed to continuously monitor ruminal dCO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Total inorganic carbon (TIC) from rumen fluid samples and indwelling pH sensors were employed to corroborate the output of the attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) sensor. The diets were the low physically effective neutral detergent fibre (Low-peNDF) in the 1<sup>st</sup> run, the high ruminally degradable starch (High-RDS) in the 2nd run, and a combination of both previous diets (Combined) in the 3rd run.

## *Sensor deployment*

The pH from the ventral ruminal sac was recorded every 15 sec for three days with indwelling pH sensors in all cattle (DASCOR, Inc., CA, USA). For continuously monitoring of the ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations in one random sentinel cow per run, a wired ATR-IR sensor, VS-3000/3000E Sensor System was employed (BevSense LLC, MA, USA, formerly VitalSensors Technologies LLC). The ATR-IR was placed into the ventral ruminal sac, and the  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  was recorded every 10

seconds for three days. The wire was exteriorised through the cannula, sealed to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  losses, and connected to the sensor Management Station, VS-300 (BevSense LLC, MA, USA).

All pH sensors were calibrated before and after placement using a three-point calibration protocol (DASCOR, Inc., CA, USA). The ATR-IR sensor came calibrated for sensing  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ specific IR signal at  $4.27 \mu m$  in liquids ranging from 0 to 273 mM with a resolution of 0.02 mM, a repeatability of 0.36 mM and an accuracy of 0.89 mM; see the product specification for details (BevSense LLC, MA, USA). Nevertheless, validation of the ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  values and range was advised using a three-point alignment protocol developed for steady fermentative processes (BevSense LLC, MA, USA). The following modified protocol was adopted due to the dynamic nature of the ruminal environment.

#### *Ruminal fluid samples and calculations*

The ventral ruminal sac fluid was manually sampled five consecutive times postprandially, feeding started at 07:00h (0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h), during the first three days of the experimental week in all cattle. The pH of the samples was recorded with a temperature-corrected handheld system (Seven2Go ProS8, Mettler-Toledo). Approximately 30 ml of rumen fluid was alkalised by the addition of 1 ml of 5 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution and was frozen for subsequent total inorganic carbon (TIC) analysis (-20 °C). The goal was to retain TIC in  $HCO_3$  form by increasing the pH of the sample (pH  $\sim$ 10), according to the protocols given by the reference laboratory (Buchholz et al., 2014). TIC was determined by gas chromatography at the Institute of Biochemical Engineering, University of Stuttgart.

**Calculations of**  $CO_2$  **species**. The ruminal  $dCO_2$  concentrations were computed from the TIC using the Bjerrum plot equation (Eq. 1) and described as the **observed dCO2**. The **calculated dCO<sub>2</sub>** was derived from the TIC as if only HCO<sub>3</sub> was recovered. The **calculated HCO<sub>3</sub>** was derived from the average pH and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  sensor reading for each minute in a day (1,440 records). Both the **calculated dCO<sub>2</sub>** and **calculated HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were computed using the Henderson-**Hasselbalch equation (Eq. 2).

$$
dCO_2 = \frac{[H_3O^+]^2}{[H_3O^+]^2 + K_{a1}*[H_3O^+] + K_{a1} * K_{a2}} * TIC
$$
 (Eq. 1),

and,

$$
-\log\left[H_3O^+\right] = -\log K_{a1} + \log\left(\frac{HCO_3^-}{dCO_2}\right) \tag{Eq. 2}
$$

where  $dCO_2$  is the dissolved carbon dioxide (mM);  $HCO_3^-$  is bicarbonate (mM); TIC is the total inorganic carbon (mM);  $[H_3O^+]$  is the hydrogen/hydronium activity derived from the pH of the sample (10<sup>-pH</sup>); the 1<sup>st</sup> dissociation constant (K<sub>a1</sub>) 4.45 × 10<sup>-7</sup>; and the 2<sup>nd</sup> dissociation constant (K<sub>a2</sub>) 4.69 × 10<sup>-11</sup> at 25 °C.

Raw values from the ATR-IR sensor were expressed in parts per million per 100 g of  $H_2O$ (ppm/100 g  $H_2O$ ) and the following formulas were used to convert these values to millimole per litre (mM) of ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ .

$$
x\left(\frac{ppm}{100 g H_2 O}\right) = y\left(\frac{mg}{100 g H_2 O}\right) \tag{Eq. 3.1}
$$

and,

$$
z\left(mM\right) = \frac{y}{44.01 \times 10} \tag{Eq. 3.2}
$$

where *x* is the ruminal dCO<sub>2</sub> concentration in parts per million per 100 g of H<sub>2</sub>O (ppm/100 g of H<sub>2</sub>O) *y* is the dCO<sub>2</sub> in milligrams per one hundred grams of water (mg/100 g H<sub>2</sub>O), and *z* is the dCO<sub>2</sub> in millimoles per litre (mM).

#### *Analysis and statistics*

All values from the  $dCO_2$  and  $pH$  sensors were used in the development of the categorical analysis except for the records made one hour after deployment. A histogram method was used to detect outliers in the sensors' output (Gebski and Wong, 2007). The pH sensors yielded no outliers, and ATR-IR yielded only few values. Values for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  from the ruminal manual samples

were compiled together. All descriptive statistical analyses and graphics were conducted in Origin 2020 (Origin Lab Corporation, MA, USA).

#### *Categorical analysis to observe ruminal CO2 holdup.*

The area under the curve for ruminal pH (AUC, pH units per min) emphasises the duration of the acidotic bouts at specific thresholds (Nocek et al., 2002). AlZahal et al. (2007a) employed the cumulative time under the curve to define a cut-off point for half-day exposure. More recently, Villot et al. (2018) normalised ruminal pH recordings and described two optimal thresholds,  $30<sup>th</sup>$ and 50th percentile, for SARA detection. Previously, a "categorical analysis" was proposed to observe ruminal pH in the New Zealand pastoral system (Gibbs and Laporte Uribe, 2009). Our assumption was that changes in sensor location, due to the mixing movements and by the influx and outflow of nutrients led to the recording of distinct pH values. Nevertheless, with sufficient "iterations", the pH category with the highest frequency was consistently identified, such as in several cattle, days, and short recording intervals (<15 seconds). The four categories for ruminal pH values were "Critical," (pH <5.4), "Acidic" (pH between 5.4 and 5.8), "Optimal" (pH between 5.8 and 6.4), and "Suboptimal" (pH> 6.4), reflecting the state of the art on ruminal pH effect. For instance, cattle with pH values lower than 5.4 and 5.8 for 3 to 5 h/d have a high risk of ruminal acidosis and SARA (Dohme et al., 2008; Villot et al., 2018). Bacterial protein synthesis and fibre digestion diminish when the pH falls below 5.8, which is also recognised as a sign of ruminal dysfunction (Russell, 1998; De Veth and Kolver, 2001). Values around 6.4 are in the upper range in cattle given a TMR and are optimal for fermentation in pasture-based diets (Russell, 1998; De Veth and Kolver, 2001).

To my knowledge this is the first time that continuously recordings of ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  have been performed, and thresholds for ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  function remain undefined. However,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ holdup can be identified by assigning a probability value derived from the normal cumulative distribution function (Eq. 4). Accordingly, four categories for ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  were defined: "Low" for values below the  $10<sup>th</sup>$  percentile, "Normal" for values between the  $10<sup>th</sup>$  and  $50<sup>th</sup>$  percentiles, "High" for values between the  $50<sup>th</sup>$  and  $90<sup>th</sup>$  percentiles, and "Critical" for values above the  $90<sup>th</sup>$ percentile.

$$
F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + erf\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}\right) \right]
$$
 (Eq. 4)

where "*x*" is the recorded dCO2 value, "*µ*" is the overall dCO2 mean, and "*σ*" is the overall standard deviation for the experiment.

To comprehend the daily variation in these parameters and monitor  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup, the day was divided into discrete segments of 10-min, e.g., 0:00, 0:10..., 23:50, or 144 segments. The interval was visually chosen, i.e., details were lost with longer intervals, and intervals smaller than 10-min might require shorter sampling frequencies or more iterations. Therefore, the "frequency" for each category was calculated by adding all the recorded values throughout the experiment for the 10-min interval. The AUC (%) for each category was the frequency divided by the total number of observations within that 10-min segment, multiplied by one hundred. The graphical representation, a 100% staked area, provided a succinct overview of the calculated AUC for pH, Fig. 2.1a-c, and  $dCO_2$ , Fig. 2.2a-c.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Repeated acidosis challenges can lead to SARA (Dohme et al., 2008), and the diets were fed in subsequent two-weeks periods. Cattle during the first run on the Low-peNDF diet experienced increased milk yield, they developed SARA when fed the High-RDS diet in the second run and returned to a pre-trial performance when fed the Combine diet, third run (Laporte-Uribe, 2019). Accordingly, this report focuses on ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  monitoring with the ATR-IR spectrometer and does not reiterate on these previously established facts which are again summarised in Table 2.

This is the first time that ATR-IR was used to monitor continuously in situ ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations. It was uncertain whether ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  would exceed  $~60$  mM (Kohn and Dunlap, 1998), whether  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup would develop, or if the dietary treatments would produce signs of SARA. Early work revealed high and varied ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Chou and Walker, 1964b, a), but confirming its presence by manually sampling the rumen was challenging, Table 1 (Hille et al., 2016; Laporte-Uribe, 2019; Wang et al., 2019). The TIC sampling protocols adhered to the laboratory's recommendations (Buchholz et al., 2014), recognizing that freezing and transporting ruminal samples could lead to  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  losses (Hille et al., 2016). However, this report relies instead on the more established and accurate ATR-IR technique targeting the specific IR signal of  $dCO_2$  to confirm the ruminal  $dCO_2$  range and presence (Schädle et al., 2016). Moreover, the widespread use of the ruminal pH scale (Nocek et al., 2002; AlZahal et al., 2007b) has obscured the well-established significance of  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  in rumen function (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Gabel et al., 1991). As you are about to observe, ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  did exist in substantial quantities, and rather than solely attributing changes in rumen function to the diet or feeding sequence, we should also consider the role that these large variations in ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations might elicit on the epithelium and bacterial activity.

**Manual sampling versus continuous ruminal CO2 monitoring.** Table 1 summarises the values for pH, total inorganic carbon, TIC, and the **observed dCO2** obtained by through manual sampling the ventral ruminal sac. Previously, it was stated that manual TIC sampling protocol used in these experiments primarily recovered ruminal HCO<sub>3</sub> (Laporte-Uribe, 2019). For instance, the marked difference between manual (0.5 points higher) and continuous pH monitoring (Duffield et al., 2004; AlZahal et al., 2007b) is attributed to  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  losses during manual sampling (Turner and Hodgetts, 1955; Kohn and Dunlap, 1998). To verify this assumption, calculated HCO<sub>3</sub> was derived by averaging the continuous pH and dCO<sub>2</sub> measurements (Eq. 2). The **calculated HCO**<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> closely resembled the TIC values for all diets, which confirmed that mostly HCO3 was recovered via manual sampling. Subsequently, **calculated**  $dCO_2$  was computed from TIC (now  $HCO_3$ ) and compared to the **continuous dCO2** values derived from the ATR-IR sensor, as presented in Table  $1$  (Eq. 1).

Discrete manual sampling and continuous measurement represent different techniques with distinct outcomes (Duffield et al., 2004; AlZahal et al., 2007b) are not readily comparable due to variations in time scales and sampling locations. Acidification of ruminal fluid samples in the past has yielded substantial TIC recovery (Chou and Walker, 1964b, a); however, alkali addition cannot be recommended for manual TIC sampling (Laporte-Uribe, 2019). Nevertheless, the good agreement between the **calculated HCO**<sub>3</sub> and TIC values, as well as between the **calculated** and **continuous dCO2** values (Table 1), highlights the suitability of the ATR-IR technique and sensor for continuously monitoring  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations.

The results also support, as a discrete sampling alternative, to target ruminal  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  using the protocols described by Hille et al. (2016), in conjunction with *in situ* pH measurements, to indirectly estimate ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  using the equations described here (Eq. 1). However, the manual sampling technique will have limited predictive value on detecting CO2 holdup formation or SARA onset compared with continuous ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  monitoring.

| Parameter                 | Dietsxrun                       | <b>Nxn</b> | Mean | <b>SD</b> | <b>SEM</b> | Percentile        |                   |      | <b>Normality</b>         | <b>Skewness</b> | <b>Kurtosis</b> |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|------|-----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                           |                                 |            |      |           |            | 10                | $\overline{50}$   | 90   |                          |                 |                 |
| pH                        | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 3x45       | 6.08 | 0.219     | 0.033      | 5.75              | 6.07              | 6.41 | 0.47                     | 0.15            | $-0.36$         |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 3x45       | 6.31 | 0.349     | 0.052      | $\overline{5.76}$ | 6.41              | 6.72 | 0.01                     | $-0.54$         | $-0.83$         |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\text{rd}}$ run | 3x45       | 6.22 | 0.193     | 0.029      | 6.01              | 6.24              | 6.46 | 0.25                     | $-0.69$         | 1.60            |
| TIC, mM                   | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 3x43       | 28.9 | 8.19      | 1.25       | 19.1              | 27.3              | 40.6 | 0.04                     | 0.79            | 0.41            |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 3x45       | 33.6 | 12.44     | 1.85       | $\overline{20.8}$ | 31.4              | 54.1 | 0.03                     | 0.47            | $-0.83$         |
|                           | Combined<br>$3rd$ run           | 3x45       | 27.4 | 6.30      | 0.94       | 20.3              | 25.7              | 36.3 | 0.45                     | 0.42            | $-0.18$         |
| observed<br>$dCO2$ , mM   | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 3x43       | 17.9 | 4.33      | 0.66       | 12.8              | 17.2              | 22.4 | 0.01                     | 1.22            | 2.42            |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 3x45       | 15.6 | 3.72      | 0.55       | 11.1              | 15.2              | 19.2 | 0.01                     | 1.02            | 2.44            |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\text{rd}}$ run | 3x45       | 15.3 | 2.93      | 0.44       | 10.6              | 15.8              | 18.9 | 0.54                     | $-0.38$         | $-0.24$         |
| calculated<br>$dCO2$ , mM | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 3x43       | 55.2 | 23.38     | 3.57       | 30.5              | 46.8              | 91.7 | 0.01                     | 0.76            | $-0.44$         |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 3x45       | 40.9 | 31.43     | 4.68       | 18.1              | 31.3              | 62.1 | 0.00                     | 3.04            | 11.76           |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\text{rd}}$ run | 3x45       | 38.7 | 16.50     | 2.46       | 22.0              | 37.4              | 57.2 | 0.00                     | 1.97            | 6.74            |
| continuous<br>pH          | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 3x51,368   | 5.77 | 0.293     | 0.001      | 5.37              | $\overline{5.78}$ | 6.16 | $\blacksquare$           | $-0.17$         | $-0.56$         |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 3x51,212   | 5.91 | 0.433     | 0.002      | 5.36              | 5.86              | 6.53 | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 0.33            | $-0.64$         |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\rm rd}$ run    | 3x51.092   | 5.65 | 0.305     | 0.001      | 5.25              | 5.63              | 6.07 | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 0.16            | $-0.81$         |
| continuous<br>$dCO2$ , mM | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 1x25,511   | 74.7 | 12.38     | 0.08       | 58.1              | 75.7              | 88.7 |                          | $-0.26$         | 1.38            |
|                           | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 1x25,929   | 73.0 | 12.64     | $0.08\,$   | 58.2              | 71.8              | 90.5 | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 0.08            | 0.21            |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\rm rd}$ run    | 1x25,474   | 59.1 | 14.86     | 0.09       | 39.9              | 59.4              | 77.7 | $\blacksquare$           | 0.37            | 1.18            |
| calculated<br>$HCO3$ ,    | Low-peNDF<br>$1st$ run          | 1x1440     | 20.6 | 6.05      | 0.16       | 12.8              | $\overline{20.3}$ | 27.7 |                          | 0.47            | $-0.29$         |
| $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{M}$    | High-RDS<br>$2nd$ run           | 1x1440     | 28.0 | 12.03     | 0.32       | 17.9              | 23.6              | 49.2 | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 1.51            | 1.30            |
|                           | Combined<br>$3^{\rm rd}$ run    | 1x1440     | 12.0 | 2.89      | 0.08       | 8.8               | $\overline{1}1.4$ | 16.4 | $\blacksquare$           | 0.80            | $-0.06$         |

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of ruminal parameters measured by manual and continuous sampling methods\*.

\*The diets were the low physically effective neutral detergent fibre (Low-peNDF) in the 1<sup>st</sup> run, the high ruminally degradable starch (High-RDS) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> run, and a combination of both previous diets (Combined) in the 3<sup>rd</sup> run. Cattle (N) and records/samples (n). Manual sampling of ruminal pH and total inorganic carbon (TIC). Continuous ruminal pH (continuous pH) and dCO<sub>2</sub> concentration (continuous  $dCO_2$ ) measurements. The Observed  $dCO_2$  was calculated with Eq 1. The  $dCO_2$  derived from TIC (calculated  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ ) and the HCO<sub>3</sub> derived from the pH and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  sensor (calculated HCO<sub>3</sub>) were computed using Eq 2. The median (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) and the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively. Normality of discrete manual samples was assessed using the

Shapiro-Wilk test ( $p = 0.05$ ). For continuous measurements, descriptive statistics provide a reliable assessment of normality due to the Central Limit Theorem.

**Continuous ruminal dCO<sub>2</sub> monitoring.** The law of large numbers justified the reliance on descriptive statistics for analysing the continuous sensor data rather than solely statistical comparisons (Table 1). Multiple independent measurements of a physiological phenomenon typically follow a normal distribution, and the central value tends to be closer to the expected mean value, the Central Limit Theorem (Van der Vaart, 2000). The agreement between discrete measurements of pH, **calculated dCO2**, and **TIC** by manual sampling, and continuous measurements of pH, dCO<sub>2</sub>, and **calculated HCO<sub>3</sub>**, respectively (Table 1), suggests a high likelihood that all parameters originated from the same population. The small kurtosis, skewness, and similar central values, both mean and median, for all diets indicated that the continuous  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ and pH recordings conformed to a Gaussian curve (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The normal distribution of these biological parameters justified normalisation for detecting disease, comparing diets, and eliminating drift or calibration errors (Nocek et al., 2002; AlZahal et al., 2007a; Villot et al., 2018). Consequently, the goodness of fit of the output of the sensors employed in this study suggest that they accurately detected ruminal  $pH$  and  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  within the physiological and pathological range (Fig. 1 and Table 1), supporting the first hypothesis that ATR-IR is well-suited for continuous ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  monitoring.

The range of ruminal  $dCO_2$  concentrations detected by ATR-IR was 0 to 130 mM (Table 1). These results were comparable to the values for ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  described for sheep (Chou and Walker, 1964b, a). The average ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  values for the Low-peNDF, High-RDS, and Combined diets were 74.7, 73.0, and 59.1 mM, respectively (Table 1). These values mirrored those described for intact cattle (69.7 mM) and fistulated cattle (43.6 mM) and to the theoretical average ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  of ~60 mM (Kohn and Dunlap, 1998; Wang et al., 2019). The observed peak ruminal dCO2 values for the Low-peNDF (171 mM), Combined (151 mM), and High-RDS (117 mM) diets cannot be dismissed as biologically implausible. These findings challenge the previously proposed static view of the ruminal buffering system, and the saturation of the ruminal fluid at 60 mM of dCO2 (Russell and Chow, 1993; Kohn and Dunlap, 1998).

To provide context, human blood  $dCO_2$  rarely exceeds  $\sim$ 5% of the total  $CO_2$  content, with venous  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  levels at rest and during exercise being  $\sim$ 1.4 mM and  $\sim$ 2.4 mM, respectively (Geers and Gros, 2000). Cattle venous  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  levels, calculated from total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  using Eq. 1, might range from 2.2 to 2.5 mM under SARA (Gianesella et al., 2010). Further, dCO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at rest in the inner lining fluid of the alveolar region are  $\sim$ 1.3 mM, corresponding to a 5% end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> gas content (Shao and Friedman, 2020). Ruminants exposed to over  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> gas in metabolic chambers develop tachypnoea (Blaxter, 1962) and at  $>10\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> gas exposure, alveolar dCO<sub>2</sub> might exceed blood levels reaching over  $\sim$ 2.4 mM which is considered toxic (Abolhassani et al., 2009). In contrast, ruminal dCO2 values above 80 mM were routinely observed in all diets (Table 1, Fig. 2.2abc). These values are 30 times higher than blood and readily available for transepithelial absorption.

The rapid equilibrium between ruminal and blood  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  pools is well established (Whitelaw et al., 1972; Veenhuizen et al., 1988) primarily due to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion (Endeward et al., 2017; Arias-Hidalgo et al., 2018) and the utilization of ruminal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for SCFA absorption (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Rackwitz and Gabel, 2018). These exceptionally high ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations suggest that ruminants are constantly exposed to hypoxemic/hypercapnic conditions, which explains several known unique physiological adaptations, such as the high ruminal epithelial cholesterol content (Steele et al., 2011; Jiang and Loor, 2023) which limits  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion (Arias-Hidalgo et al., 2018); the low oxygen affinity of adult ruminant haemoglobin (Bunn, 1980) which improves peripheral tissues oxygenation, and the enhanced blood HCO<sub>3</sub> carrying capacity due to the chloride shift (Westen and Prange, 2003), blood  $CO_2$  is carried mainly as  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  (Geers and Gros, 2000). Nevertheless, the development of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup might enhance  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  absorption and overwhelm the cellular buffering system, as the capacity to eliminate this  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  excess is impaired by the low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas fugacity from the fluid.



**Figure 1.** Histograms and normal curve fits for continuous measurements of ruminal pH and dissolved CO2 concentrations (mM) are shown for lactating dairy cattle fed three diets in consecutive periods: Low-peNDF (low physically effective neutral detergent fiber, 1.1a and 1.2a), High-RDS (high ruminally degradable starch, 1.1b and 1.2b), and Combined (1.1c and 1.2c).

**Ruminal pH cannot predict dCO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.** In all the diets, High or Critical dCO<sub>2</sub> levels were consistently observed postprandially, which were paralleled by a decline in ruminal pH (Fig. 2.2a-c). This phenomenon was attributed to the interconversion of  $HCO_3$  to  $dCO_2$  during  $H_3O^+$ buffering (Laporte-Uribe, 2016). Nevertheless, pH is a quotient, limiting its ability to directly measure the specific concentrations of individual components; for instance, two  $HCO<sub>3</sub>/dCO<sub>2</sub>$ solutions with the same pH (100/100 mM and 10/10 mM) exhibit distinct concentrations (Eq. 2). Feeding the combined diet resulted in the lowest pH (Fig. 1.1c) and minimum  $dCO_2$  (Fig. 1.2c), whereas the high-RDS diet produced the highest pH (Fig. 1.1b) and maximum  $dCO_2$  (Fig. 1.2b), corroborating the statement. The reduced pH in the Combined diet can be attributable not only to lower  $dCO_2$  but also to decreased  $HCO_3$  levels. Conversely, both  $HCO_3$  and  $dCO_2$  concentrations were high in the High-RDS diet, bringing the pH closer to the equilibrium constant for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (pK<sub>a1</sub>)  $\approx$  6.1). The distinctive feature of CO<sub>2</sub> holdup is that both ruminal dCO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub> concentrations were elevated. Therefore,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup explains why low ruminal pH does not always predict clinical SARA onset (Villot et al., 2018) or that SARA affected cattle present larger variation in ruminal pH than healthy cattle (Nocek et al., 2002; Penner et al., 2007; Dohme et al., 2008). The equilibrium between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  species dictates the pH of the solution, if both molecules are in high concentrations the ruminal pH might seems normal (Eq. 2), even when critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  might be present. Therefore, while high  $dCO_2$  can coexist with low pH, it is only during  $CO_2$  holdup that critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations persist for prolonged postprandial periods, a condition that cannot be accurately predicted by the ruminal pH scale but can be effectively monitored by the ATR-IR technique.

**Table 2.** Summary of longitudinal trial results in fistulated cattle. Mean (±SEM) values for performance, milk components, and ruminal parameters across three runs with three fistulated cattle fed three diets\*. This table consolidates findings described in the previous report of this experiment (Laporte-Uribe, 2019).



\*The diets were low physically effective neutral detergent fibre (Low-peNDF) in the 1<sup>st</sup> run, high ruminally degradable starch (High-RDS) in the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  run and the combination of both previous diets (Combined) in the  $3<sup>rd</sup>$  run. Dry matter intake (DMI), milk yield (MY), short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and the ruminal acetate to propionate ratio (A/P ratio). The energy corrected MY (ECM) = milk NEL output (Mcal/d)/0.7 Mcal of NEL/kg of milk, were milk NEL output (Mcal/d) = milk yield, kg/d  $\times$  (0.0929  $\times$ milk fat % + 0.0563 × milk protein % + 0.0395 × milk lactose %). All comparison were made at the 95% confidence level (P < 0.05) and means that do not share a letter are significantly different (Bonferroni).

**The positive effect of high ruminal**  $dCO_2$ **.** Ruminal  $CO_2$  species play a pivotal role epithelial metabolism. The majority of the intracellular  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>$  available for SCFA and Na<sup>+</sup>

exchange (Penner et al., 2011; Rabbani et al., 2021) are likely derived from ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ (Veenhuizen et al., 1988; Rackwitz and Gabel, 2018), which is most likely absorbed into the epithelial cell with  $H_2O$  through aquaporins (Endeward et al., 2017). Aquaporins are abundantly expressed in the ruminal epithelia (Zhong et al., 2020). Therefore, high ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  increases epithelial  $H_2O$  absorption (Dobson et al., 1970) and carbonic anhydrase bound to the intracellular aquaporin domains (Vilas et al., 2015; Rabbani et al., 2021) may expedite intracellular  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ hydration, leading to the formation of  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>$ , which in turn enhances SCFA uptake (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Gabel et al., 1991; Rackwitz and Gabel, 2018). The rehydration by ruminal carbon anhydrase of the secreted intracellular  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>$  into dCO<sub>2</sub> provides the perfect (re)cycling system for nutrient uptake and explains the widespread expression of carbon anhydrase throughout the gastrointestinal tract (Carter and Parsons, 1971; Mau and Südekum, 2011).

The effect of high ruminal  $dCO_2$  concentrations in this experiment confirm that  $CO_2$ hydration plays a crucial role in nutrient uptake. For instance, cattle fed the Low-peNDF diet produced more milk (ECM, 37.2 vs. 35.6 kg/day) and lactose (1.62 vs. 1.48 kg/day) than cattle fed the Combined diet at a similar feed intake of 24.7 kg/day (Table 2). The diets were specifically formulated to provide similar amounts of energy and protein, and no significant differences in productivity were expected (Laporte-Uribe, 2019). The rumen AUC maps for the Low-peNDF diet revealed a balanced pH (Fig. 2.1a) and consistently high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  levels (Fig. 2.2a) throughout the day. In contrast, cattle fed the Combined diet exhibited lower  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  levels (Figure 2.2c) and a more acidic ruminal pH (Fig. 2.1c). The lower ruminal pH in the Combined diet might indicate greater availability of SCFA, as they are passively absorbed as acids (Dijkstra et al., 1993; Penner et al., 2011). However, feeding the Combined diet did not result in a higher milk yield when compared with the Low-peNDF diet (Table 2). In fact, the reduced ruminal propionate levels with the LowpeNDF diet suggested enhanced SCFA absorption, as supported by a time series of propionate, see Laporte-Uribe (2019). Propionate absorption leads to glucose formation, which boosts lactose production and milk yield from the mammary gland (Aschenbach et al., 2010; Penner et al., 2011). Consequently, the higher milk and lactose yields with the Low-peNDF diet can be attributed to increased ruminal propionate absorption (Table 2), which was likely promoted by the high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ levels observed in the rumen AUC map (Figure 2.2a), confirming the positive effect of high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ on ruminal absorption (Ash and Dobson, 1963; Gabel et al., 1991).



**Figure 2.** Rumen maps depicting the most frequent category (area under the curve, AUC, %) of ruminal pH (2.1ac) and dissolved  $CO_2$  (dCO<sub>2</sub>, 2.2ac) in lactating dairy cattle fed three diets: low

physically effective neutral detergent fiber (Low-peNDF; 2.1a and 2.2a), high ruminally degradable starch (High-RDS; 2.1b and 2.2b), and a combination of both (Combined; 2.1c and 2.2c). The category descriptions are provided within the text.

**CO2 holdup might lead to clinical SARA signs.** The ruminal AUC map for pH (Figure 2.1b) indicated that cattle had the lowest SARA risk when fed the High-RDS diet based on the conventional definition of SARA based on the pH scale (Nocek et al., 2002; Villot et al., 2018). However, cattle consuming the High-RDS diet exhibited typical SARA symptoms: reduced feed intake and milk yield, Table 2 (Nocek et al., 2002; Dohme et al., 2008). The rumen AUC map revealed that cattle fed the High-RDS diet experienced critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations for extended postprandial periods, or  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup (Spikes of critical values in Figure 2.2b). The high ruminal SCFA levels and the lower milk yield suggested impaired activity of the sodium-hydrogen exchanger (NHE) with the High-RDS diet (Penner et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2017). Otherwise, high ruminal SCFA production and undisturbed absorption should increase milk yield, besides low feed intake with High-RDS should reduce SCFA production and not enhance it, Table 2 (Dijkstra et al., 1993).

Under normal conditions, NHE regulates intracellular  $H_3O^+$  exchange with ruminal Na<sup>+</sup> (Penner et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2017). Since the ruminal epithelium is  $H_3O^+$ -impermeable, the intracellular  $H_3O^+$  must originate from either ruminal  $CO_2$  hydration or intracellular SCFA metabolism (Penner et al., 2011; Rackwitz and Gabel, 2018).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup can lead to ruminal hyperosmolarity, which diminishes feed intake,  $H_2O$  absorption, and  $Na^+$  absorption and is linked to the onset of SARA (Dobson et al., 1970; Lodemann and Martens, 2006; Steele et al., 2011). The impaired H2O absorption resulting from hyperosmolarity, could potentially reduce intracellular

 $H_3O^+$  formation and NHE activity, thereby impairing SCFA absorption. This could explain the elevated ruminal SCFA concentrations observed in cattle fed the High-RDS diet and during SARA (Penner et al., 2011).

Notably, the epithelial response to SARA involves increased intracellular SCFA metabolism and enhanced NHE expression (Zhao et al., 2017), which might bolster intracellular  $H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>$  and  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  formation and SCFA absorption. Additionally, intracellular cholesterol synthesis and deposition are intensified (Steele et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2017), likely as a response to high  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  exposure and as a mechanism to reduce  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion (Arias-Hidalgo et al., 2018). Moreover, SARA courses with a strong inflammatory response (Penner et al., 2011) which mirror the inflammation pathways triggered by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  poisoning in the lungs (Liu et al., 2008; Abolhassani et al., 2009). Therefore, clinical SARA symptoms may come from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup development, as shown by the rumen AUC maps. Prolonged exposure to these critical  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions might elevate the risk SARA or  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  poisoning.

**Ruminal CO<sub>2</sub> holdup monitoring.** The "rumen AUC maps" depict the daily ruminal fermentation pattern associated with ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  and influenced by dietary components, daily feed intake, feed allowance and management routines (Gibbs and Laporte Uribe, 2009). Therefore, these maps enable the monitoring of ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  by classifying it into categories with biological significance. The dCO<sub>2</sub> detected by ATR-IR sensor at these selected thresholds aligned with the established biological effect of CO<sub>2</sub>. For instance, ruminal bacterial growth starts at 12 to 20 mM  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  (Dehority, 1971), and the optimal succinate production, the primary ruminal propionate precursor, requires a greater than the ruminal average, > 60 mM (Dehority, 1971; Samuelov et al., 1991). A ruminal  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  threshold over 80 mM might signal an increased risk of hyperosmolarity

(Lodemann and Martens, 2006; Steele et al., 2011), impaired buffering capacity (Turner and Hodgetts, 1955; Hille et al., 2016) and/or an increased risk of epithelial CO<sub>2</sub> poisoning (Liu et al., 2008). Additionally, feeding consistent diets and adhering to stable feeding management routines enhance feed intake, milk yield, and lower the risk of nutritional disorders (Deming et al., 2013; Sova et al., 2013). Consequently, rumen AUC maps provide valuable insight on the health and productivity of dairy cattle subjected to diverse diets and management practices. Furthermore, the (cross) tabulation of frequencies on daily "contingency tables" with the proposed categorical analysis streamlines the statistical comparison of ruminal patterns, i.e., the 144-time segment and 4-category matrix can be analysed utilising the Pearson chi-square  $(X^2)$ , G-test or Bayesian inference (Van der Vaart, 2000). Consequently, rumen AUC maps establish the foundation for "precision ruminal fermentation": the selection of diets and management practices that optimise ruminal fermentation, reduce waste products, and prevent nutritional diseases associated to SARA by continuously measuring  $dCO_2$  concentrations and  $CO_2$  holdup formation.

#### **Further work, and current limitations**

To date, it is not possible to predict the impact of a specific feeding regimen or diet on  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations or CO2 holdup. For example, it was expected that the Combined diet will produce a stronger effect on  $dCO_2$  retention, but the opposite happened (Table 1, Figure 2). Moreover,  $CO_2$ holdup might be transient in pastoral systems, while it could be persistent in concentrate and cornbased diets (Russell, 1998; Laporte-Uribe and Gibbs, 2009). It is this same unpredictability that might explain individual susceptibility to SARA (DeVries et al., 2009). Further work in this area should focus on those challenges based in the knowledge gathered on this trial. The diagram below

(Diagram 2) proposes a schematic overview of the ruminal buffering system's function and dysfunction based in the results of this pilot experiment.



**Diagram 2.** During **optimal ruminal fermentation** (left), the buffering system relies on  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$ formation from bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>) and protons (H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>) to reduce water (H<sub>2</sub>O) ionization and restore system equilibrium. Ruminal carbon anhydrase (CAr) catalyses this process. Impaired  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ gas (gCO2) fugacity from the fluid results in dCO2 accumulation and the development of **ruminal**   $CO<sub>2</sub>$  **holdup** (right). Accumulated  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  might contribute to various clinical signs of ruminal acidosis and subacute ruminal acidosis, including hyperosmolarity of the ruminal fluid, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) formation, decreased acetate/propionate ratio (A/P ratio), lactate (Lac) accumulation at the expense of succinate (Suc), and an overall shift in short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) metabolism away from decarboxylation pathways.

### **Conclusions**

Dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is ubiquitous in the rumen environment, present in substantial and varied amounts. For the first time ruminal  $dCO_2$  presence and dynamics including  $CO_2$  holdup formation were described *in situ*. Optimal rumen function relies heavily on  $dCO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations, as key component of the ruminal buffering system. This crucial contribution has gone unrecognized. Conversely, disruption of the ruminal buffering system, leading to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  holdup, could potentially heighten the risk of CO<sub>2</sub> poisoning and trigger clinical SARA signs. These results warrant further investigation. The novel methodology described here might help us to validate or refute this hypothesis.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This study was supported and financed by GEA Farm Technologies GmbH. I am deeply indebted to Wageningen University, particularly the team at Dairy Campus, Leeuwarden, especially Dr. R. Goselink, Wageningen Livestock Research, for their indispensable contribution to establishing, implementing, and conducting the experimental work and sample analysis. I extend my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. P. Brueckner, Miss A. Angopian, and Mr. M. Weidlich for their invaluable professional support throughout this project.

## **Author contributions**

JLU designed the study, performed the experiment, analysed the data, and wrote this manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

The author is the inventor of the indwelling ruminal sensors. This research provides partial justification for the use of these systems for disease prevention and improved sustainability.

# **Data availability**

Due to ethical and privacy considerations, the data that support this study cannot be publicly shared. However, upon reasonable request to the corresponding author, access to the data may be granted when appropriate.

# **Additional information**

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to joselaporte@hotmail.com

## **References**

- Abolhassani, M., A. Guais, P. Chaumet-Riffaud, A. J. Sasco, and L. Schwartz. 2009. Carbon dioxide inhalation causes pulmonary inflammation. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol. 296(4):L657-L665.
- AlZahal, O., E. Kebreab, J. France, and B. W. McBride. 2007a. A mathematical approach to predicting biological values from ruminal pH measurements. J. Dairy Sci. 90(8):3777- 3785. doi: 10.3168/jds.2006-534
- AlZahal, O., B. Rustomo, N. E. Odongo, T. F. Duffield, and B. W. McBride. 2007b. Technical note: A system for continuous recording of ruminal pH in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 85(1):213- 217. doi: 10.2527/jas.2006-095
- Arias-Hidalgo, M., S. Al-Samir, G. Gros, and V. Endeward. 2018. Cholesterol is the main regulator of the carbon dioxide permeability of biological membranes. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 315(2):C137-C140. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00139.2018
- Aschenbach, J. R., N. B. Kristensen, S. S. Donkin, H. M. Hammon, and G. B. Penner. 2010. Gluconeogenesis in dairy cows: the secret of making sweet milk from sour dough. IUBMB Life 62(12):869-877. doi: 10.1002/iub.400
- Ash, R. W., and A. Dobson. 1963. The effect of absorption on the acidity of rumen contents. Journal of Physiology 169(1):39-61. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1963.sp007240
- Blaxter, K. L. 1962. The Energy metabolism of Ruminants. Hutchison & CO. LTD.
- Blombach, B., and R. Takors. 2015. CO2–Intrinsic Product, Essential Substrate, and Regulatory Trigger of Microbial and Mammalian Production Processes. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 3:108. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2015.00108
- Buchholz, J., M. Graf, B. Blombach, and R. Takors. 2014. Improving the carbon balance of fermentations by total carbon analyses. Biochem. Eng. J. 90:162-169.
- Bunn, H. F. 1980. Regulation of hemoglobin function in mammals. Am. Zool. 20(1):199-211.
- Carter, M. J., and D. S. Parsons. 1971. The isoenzymes of carbonic anhydrase: tissue, subcellular distribution and functional significance, with particular reference to the intestinal tract. Journal of Physiology 215(1):71-94.
- Cheng, K.-J., R. Hironaka, G. A. Jones, T. Nicas, and J. W. Costerton. 1976. Frothy feedlot bloat in cattle: production of extracellular polysaccharides and development of viscosity in cultures of Streptococcus bovis. Can. J. Microbiol. 22(4):450-459. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1139/m76-071>
- Chou, K. C., and D. M. Walker. 1964a. The effect on the rumen composition of feeding sheep diets supplying different starches. I. The variation in rumen composition of sheep fed lucerne or wheat as the sole diet. J. Agric. Sci. 62(01):7-13.
- Chou, K. C., and D. M. Walker. 1964b. The effect on the rumen composition of feeding sheep diets supplying different starches. II. The partition of nitrogen, pH, volatile fatty acids, protozoal numbers, enzymic activity and certain other chemical constituents. J. Agric. Sci.  $62(01):15-25.$
- Counotte, G. H. M., and R. A. Prins. 1981. Regulation of lactate metabolism in the rumen. Vet. Res. Commun. 5(1):101-115.
- Dain, J. A., A. L. Neal, and H. W. Seeley. 1956. The effect of carbon dioxide on polysaccharide production by Streptococcus bovis. J. Bacteriol. 72(2):209-213.
- De Veth, M. J., and E. S. Kolver. 2001. Diurnal variation in pH reduces digestion and synthesis of microbial protein when pasture is fermented in continuous culture. J. Dairy Sci. 84(9):2066-2072.
- Dehority, B. A. 1971. Carbon dioxide requirement of various species of rumen bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 105(1):70-76.
- Deming, J. A., R. Bergeron, K. E. Leslie, and T. J. DeVries. 2013. Associations of housing, management, milking activity, and standing and lying behavior of dairy cows milked in automatic systems. J. Dairy Sci. 96(1):344-351. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5985
- DeVries, T. J., K. A. Beauchemin, F. Dohme, and K. S. Schwartzkopf-Genswein. 2009. Repeated ruminal acidosis challenges in lactating dairy cows at high and low risk for developing acidosis: feeding, ruminating, and lying behavior. J. Dairy Sci. 92(10):5067-5078. (Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't) doi: 10.3168/jds.2009-2102
- Dijkstra, J., H. Boer, J. Van Bruchem, M. Bruining, and S. Tamminga. 1993. Absorption of volatile fatty acids from the rumen of lactating dairy cows as influenced by volatile fatty acid concentration, pH and rumen liquid volume. Br. J. Nutr. 69(02):385-396.
- Dijkstra, J., J. L. Ellis, E. Kebreab, A. B. Strathe, S. López, J. France, and A. Bannink. 2012. Ruminal pH regulation and nutritional consequences of low pH. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 172(1-2):22-33. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.005
- Dobson, A., A. F. Sellers, and G. T. Shaw. 1970. Absorption of water from isolated ventral sac of rumen of the cow. J. Appl. Physiol. 28(1):100-104. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1970.28.1.100
- Dohme, F., T. J. DeVries, and K. A. Beauchemin. 2008. Repeated ruminal acidosis challenges in lactating dairy cows at high and low risk for developing acidosis: ruminal pH. J. Dairy Sci. 91(9):3554-3567. (Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't) doi: 10.3168/jds.2008-1264
- Duffield, T., J. C. Plaizier, A. Fairfield, R. Bagg, G. Vessie, P. Dick, J. Wilson, J. Aramini, and B. W. McBride. 2004. Comparison of techniques for measurement of rumen pH in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87(1):59-66.
- Endeward, V., M. Arias-Hidalgo, S. Al-Samir, and G. Gros. 2017. CO(2) Permeability of Biological Membranes and Role of CO(2) Channels. Membranes (Basel) 7(4)doi: 10.3390/membranes7040061
- Gabel, G., S. Vogler, and H. Martens. 1991. Short-chain fatty acids and CO2 as regulators of Na+ and Cl- absorption in isolated sheep rumen mucosa. J Comp Physiol B 161(4):419-426. doi: 10.1007/bf00260803
- Gebski, M., and R. K. Wong. 2007. An Efficient Histogram Method for Outlier Detection. 4443:176-187. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-71703-4\_17
- Geers, C., and G. Gros. 2000. Carbon dioxide transport and carbonic anhydrase in blood and muscle. Physiol. Rev. 80(2):681-715. doi: 10.1152/physrev.2000.80.2.681
- Gianesella, M., M. Morgante, C. Cannizzo, A. Stefani, P. Dalvit, V. Messina, and E. Giudice. 2010. Subacute ruminal acidosis and evaluation of blood gas analysis in dairy cow. Vet. Med. Int. 2010:1-4. doi: 10.4061/2010/392371
- Gibbs, S. J., and J. Laporte Uribe. 2009. Diurnal patterns of rumen pH and function in dairy cows on high quality temperate pastures of the South Island of New Zealand. J. Dairy Sci. 92(E-Suppl. 1):585.
- Gladstone, G. P., P. Fildes, and G. M. Richardson. 1935. Carbon dioxide as an essential factor in the growth of bacteria. Br. J. Exp. Pathol. 16(3):335.
- Hille, K. T., S. K. Hetz, J. Rosendahl, H. S. Braun, R. Pieper, and F. Stumpff. 2016. Determination of Henry's constant, the dissociation constant, and the buffer capacity of the bicarbonate system in ruminal fluid. J. Dairy Sci. 99(1):369-385. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-9486
- Jiang, Q., and J. J. Loor. 2023. The Lipidome of the Gastrointestinal Tract in Lactating Holstein Cows. Ruminants 3(1):76-91. doi: 10.3390/ruminants3010007
- Kohn, R. A., and T. F. Dunlap. 1998. Calculation of the buffering capacity of bicarbonate in the rumen and in vitro. J. Anim. Sci. 76(6):1702-1709.
- Lana, R. P., J. B. Russell, and M. E. Van Amburgh. 1998. The role of pH in regulating ruminal methane and ammonia production. J. Anim. Sci. 76(8):2190-2196. doi: 10.2527/1998.7682190x
- Laporte-Uribe, J., and J. Gibbs. 2009. Rumen pH and function in dairy cows of the South Island of New Zealand. In: Proceedings of the XIth International Symposium on Ruminant Physiology. Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism and Effects of Nutrition on Reproduction and Welfare., Clermont-Ferrand, France. p 250-251.
- Laporte-Uribe, J. A. 2016. The role of dissolved carbon dioxide in both the decline in rumen pH and nutritional diseases in ruminants. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 219:268-279. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.06.026
- Laporte-Uribe, J. A. 2019. Rumen CO<sub>2</sub> species equilibrium might influence performance and be a factor in the pathogenesis of subacute ruminal acidosis. Translational Animal Science 3(4):1081-1098. doi: 10.1093/tas/txz144
- Li, S., E. Khafipour, D. O. Krause, A. Kroeker, J. C. Rodriguez-Lecompte, G. N. Gozho, and J. C. Plaizier. 2012. Effects of subacute ruminal acidosis challenges on fermentation and endotoxins in the rumen and hindgut of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 95(1):294-303. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4447
- Liu, Y., B. K. Chacko, A. Ricksecker, R. Shingarev, E. Andrews, R. P. Patel, and J. D. Lang Jr. 2008. Modulatory effects of hypercapnia on in vitro and in vivo pulmonary endothelialneutrophil adhesive responses during inflammation. Cytokine 44(1):108-117. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2008.06.016
- Lodemann, U., and H. Martens. 2006. Effects of diet and osmotic pressure on Na+ transport and tissue conductance of sheep isolated rumen epithelium. Exp. Physiol. 91(3):539-550. doi: 10.1113/expphysiol.2005.032078
- Mau, M., and K.-H. Südekum. 2011. Secretory carbonic anhydrase II Finding the evolutionary key to the symbiosis of animal hosts and their cellulose-fermenting bacteria. Hypothesis  $9(1):E1-6.$
- Mizrahi, I., R. J. Wallace, and S. Morais. 2021. The rumen microbiome: balancing food security and environmental impacts. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 19(9):553-566. doi: 10.1038/s41579- 021-00543-6
- Nocek, J. E., J. G. Allman, and W. P. Kautz. 2002. Evaluation of an indwelling ruminal probe methodology and effect of grain level on diurnal pH variation in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 85(2):422-428.
- Penner, G. B., K. A. Beauchemin, and T. Mutsvangwa. 2007. Severity of ruminal acidosis in primiparous holstein cows during the periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 90(1):365-375. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(07)72638-3
- Penner, G. B., M. A. Steele, J. R. Aschenbach, and B. W. McBride. 2011. Ruminant Nutrition Symposium: Molecular adaptation of ruminal epithelia to highly fermentable diets. J. Anim. Sci. 89(4):1108-1119. doi: 10.2527/jas.2010-3378
- Rabbani, I., H. Rehman, H. Martens, K. A. Majeed, M. S. Yousaf, and Z. U. Rehman. 2021. Carbonic anhydrase influences asymmetric sodium and acetate transport across omasum of sheep. Anim Biosci 34(5):880-885. doi: 10.5713/ajas.20.0163
- Rackwitz, R., and G. Gabel. 2018. Effects of dissolved carbon dioxide on the integrity of the rumen epithelium: An agent in the development of ruminal acidosis. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl) 102(1):e345-e352. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12752
- Russell, J. B. 1998. The Importance of pH in the Regulation of Ruminal Acetate to Propionate Ratio and Methane Production In Vitro. J. Dairy Sci. 81(12):3222-3230. doi: [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(98\)75886-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(98)75886-2)
- Russell, J. B., and J. M. Chow. 1993. Another theory for the action of ruminal buffer salts: decreased starch fermentation and propionate production. J. Dairy Sci. 76(3):826-830.
- Russell, J. B., and T. Hino. 1985. Regulation of Lactate Production in Streptococcus bovis: A Spiraling Effect That Contributes to Rumen Acidosis. J. Dairy Sci. 68(7):1712-1721.
- Samuelov, N. S., R. Lamed, S. Lowe, and J. G. Zeikus. 1991. Influence of CO2-HCO3− levels and pH on growth, succinate production, and enzyme activities of Anaerobiospirillum succiniciproducens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57(10):3013-3019.
- Schädle, T., B. Pejcic, and B. Mizaikoff. 2016. Monitoring dissolved carbon dioxide and methane in brine environments at high pressure using IR-ATR spectroscopy. Analytical Methods 8(4):756-762.
- Shao, X. M., and T. C. Friedman. 2020. Last Word on Viewpoint: pH Buffer capacity and pharmacokinetics: two remaining questions. J Appl Physiol (1985) 128(4):1063-1064. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00165.2020
- Sova, A. D., S. J. Leblanc, B. W. McBride, and T. J. Devries. 2013. Associations between herdlevel feeding management practices, feed sorting, and milk production in freestall dairy farms. J. Dairy Sci. 96(7):4759-4770. doi: 10.3168/jds.2013-6679
- Steele, M. A., G. Vandervoort, O. AlZahal, S. E. Hook, J. C. Matthews, and B. W. McBride. 2011. Rumen epithelial adaptation to high-grain diets involves the coordinated regulation of genes involved in cholesterol homeostasis. Physiol. Genomics 43(6):308-316. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00117.2010
- Turner, A. W., and V. E. Hodgetts. 1955. Buffer systems in the rumen of sheep. I. pH and bicarbonate concentration in relationship to pCO2. Crop Pasture Sci. 6(1):115-124.
- Van der Vaart, A. W. 2000. Asymptotic statistics. Cambridge University Press, NY, USA.
- Veenhuizen, J. J., R. W. Russell, and J. W. Young. 1988. Kinetics of metabolism of glucose, propionate and CO2 in steers as affected by injecting phlorizin and feeding propionate. J. Nutr. 118(11):1366-1375.
- Vilas, G., D. Krishnan, S. K. Loganathan, D. Malhotra, L. Liu, M. R. Beggs, P. Gena, G. Calamita, M. Jung, R. Zimmermann, G. Tamma, J. R. Casey, and R. T. Alexander. 2015. Increased water flux induced by an aquaporin-1/carbonic anhydrase II interaction. Mol. Biol. Cell 26(6):1106-1118. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E14-03-0812
- Villot, C., B. Meunier, J. Bodin, C. Martin, and M. Silberberg. 2018. Relative reticulo-rumen pH indicators for subacute ruminal acidosis detection in dairy cows. Animal 12(3):481-490. doi: 10.1017/S1751731117001677
- Wang, R., M. Wang, X. M. Zhang, J. N. Wen, Z. Y. Ma, D. L. Long, J. P. Deng, and Z. L. Tan. 2019. Effects of rumen cannulation on dissolved gases and methanogen community in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 102(3):2275-2282. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-15187
- Westen, E. A., and H. D. Prange. 2003. A reexamination of the mechanisms underlying the arteriovenous chloride shift. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 76(5):603-614.
- Whitelaw, F. G., J. M. Brockway, and R. S. Reid. 1972. Measurement of carbon dioxide production in sheep by isotope dilution. Exp. Physiol. 57(1):37-55.
- Wolin, M. J. 1960. A theoretical rumen fermentation balance. J. Dairy Sci. 43(10):1452-1459.
- Wright, D. E. 1960. The metabolism of carbon dioxide by Streptococcus bovis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 22(3):713-725.
- Zhao, K., Y. H. Chen, G. B. Penner, M. Oba, and L. L. Guan. 2017. Transcriptome analysis of ruminal epithelia revealed potential regulatory mechanisms involved in host adaptation to gradual high fermentable dietary transition in beef cattle. BMC Genomics 18(1):976. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-4317-y
- Zhong, C., A. Farrell, and G. S. Stewart. 2020. Localization of aquaporin-3 proteins in the bovine rumen. J. Dairy Sci. 103(3):2814-2820. doi: 10.3168/jds.2019-17735