Open Peer Review on Qeios



Developing the theory of Toxic Chemotherapeutic Nutrition for Cancer Cells: Glucosodiene Polymer Structure, Safety, Efficacy, and Human Outcomes in Targeting Tumors via Glucose Mutation

Maher Akl¹, Amr Ahmed²

- 1 Mansoura University
- 2 Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

Cancer is a complex genetic disease characterized by aberrant cellular behaviors, including uncontrolled growth, invasion, and metastasis. The development of personalized treatment strategies based on genomic profiling has led to improved outcomes. Recent scientific endeavors have focused on targeting cancer through metabolic approaches, capitalizing on the altered metabolic pathways in cancer cells. Glucosodiene polymer, a newly derived compound from glucose, has shown promising results in inhibiting glucose metabolism and modifying the tumor's microenvironment acidity. The Maher Akl Theory "Glucose Mutation" proposes a strategic approach to target cancerous tumors by inhibiting glucose metabolism and altering the tumor's microenvironment acidity using glucose isomer polymers. The goal is to disrupt the metabolic activity of the tumor and potentially modify and control the disease. This manuscript provides an overview of the metabolic vulnerabilities of cancer cells, evaluates the synthesis and chemical structure of glucosodiene, documents its safety, and explores its potential as a targeted therapy for cancer treatment. Additionally, a subset of successful clinical trials is presented, focusing on a case of successful treatment of triple-negative breast



cancer (TNBC) with glucosodiene. The potential mechanisms of action of glucosodiene in cancer, including its impact on glucose metabolism, modulation of signaling pathways, and immune-enhancing effects, are discussed.

Maher Monir. Akl^{1,*}, and Amr Ahmed²

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, 35516, Mansoura, Egypt. ORCID iD: 0000-0001-5480-1688
- ² The public health department, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudia Arabia. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3477-236X

* Corresponding author:

Maher Monir. Akl

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, 35516, Mansoura, Egypt.

Phone: +201020432031

E-mail: <u>maherakl555@gmail.com</u> ORCID iD: 0000-0001-5480-1688

Keywords: Cancer, metabolism, glucose, glucosodiene targeted therapy, triple-negative breast cancer, tumor microenvironment, Glucosodiene Polymer Structure, Safety of glucosodiene.

1. Introduction

Cancer is a complex disease that has undergone evolving definitions over time. Initially, it was characterized as uncontrolled cell growth and proliferation. ^[1] However, recent advancements in cancer research have led to a more nuanced understanding. Nowadays, cancer is recognized as a genetic disease resulting from cellular regulatory defects, encompassing a range of disorders characterized by abnormal cell behaviors, including uncontrolled growth, invasion, and metastasis. ^[2] This updated definition emphasizes the underlying genetic alterations and the diverse nature of cancer. The treatment of cancer involves various modalities, such as surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, immunotherapy, and targeted therapy. ^[3] Each modality aims to eradicate or control cancer cells through different mechanisms. Surgery involves the physical removal of tumors, while chemotherapy utilizes cytotoxic drugs to kill rapidly dividing cells. Radiation therapy employs high-energy radiation to damage cancer cells' DNA, impairing their ability to replicate. Immunotherapy harnesses the body's immune system to recognize and eliminate cancer cells, and targeted therapy focuses on specific molecular targets within cancer cells. ^[4] The efficacy of these treatment modalities varies depending on the cancer type, stage, and individual patient factors. Personalized treatment approaches based on genomic profiling are gaining prominence, allowing for tailored therapies with improved outcomes. ^[5]

Qeios ID: EBCKFO.2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EBCKFO.2



Recent scientific endeavors have focused on targeting cancer through metabolic approaches. One hallmark of cancer cells is their heightened glucose consumption compared to normal cells. Cancer cells rely on altered metabolic pathways, including aerobic glycolysis, to meet their energy demands and promote tumor growth. [6] The metabolic alterations lead to the accumulation of lactate and a decrease in pH, resulting in an acidic tumor microenvironment. Researchers are exploring strategies to exploit these metabolic vulnerabilities, including inhibiting glucose metabolism, disrupting energy production, and altering the tumor microenvironment's acidity. [7] These metabolic approaches offer promising avenues for targeted cancer therapy. [8] The Maher Akl Theory "Glucose Mutation", also known as "Toxic chemotherapeutic nutrition of cancer cells by alkaline glucosodiene molecules via targeting metabolic of cancerous tumors: a promising theory for cancer treatment," has been proposed as an innovative approach to target cancerous tumors, particularly those with solid or clustered growth patterns, by exploiting their metabolic activity. This theory encompasses the synthesis of glucose isomer molecules into glucose isomer polymers, which are specifically engineered to inhibit glucose metabolism within tumors by capitalizing on the alkaline properties of the polymers. Consequently, this impedes tumor growth and alters the hydrogen ion concentration within the tumor microenvironment. The primary objective of the Akl Theory is to disrupt the metabolic activity of the tumor, potentially leading to disease modification and control. In the initial phase of the theory's development, challenges arose regarding the lack of structural characterization of the glucose isomer polymer and the absence of testing on natural cells. In this study, we address these concerns by providing comprehensive chemical structural documentation and demonstrating the safety of the glucose isomer polymer on human cells. Furthermore, we discuss the clinical observations from the first serendipitous case of triple-negative breast cancer with bone metastasis that underwent treatment with the glucose isomer polymer. Additionally, it is important to note that our research elucidates the chemical structure and safety profile of the glucose isomer polymer, providing valuable insights into its potential therapeutic applications. We aim to contribute to the scientific community's understanding of this novel approach and its promising implications for the treatment of triple-negative breast cancer and other malignancies. [9] [10]

2. Hypothesis

The heightened glucose consumption by cancer cells can be attributed to several mechanisms. Firstly, cancer cells often exhibit upregulated expression of glucose transporters, such as GLUT1, GLUT2, GLUT3 gates ^[Figure 1], and other variants, which facilitate increased glucose uptake into the tumor cells. ^[11] This heightened glucose uptake provides the necessary fuel for cancer cell growth and proliferation. As a consequence of this elevated glucose metabolism, cancer cells undergo glycolysis ^[Figure 2], a process that converts glucose into energy and produces large quantities of lactic acid. The accumulation of lactic acid results in the acidification of the tumor microenvironment. ^[12] This acidic environment plays a significant role in tumor progression and metastasis by promoting angiogenesis, immune evasion, ^[Figure 3] and tissue invasion. ^[7] [13]






Figure 1. The role of GLUT proteins in maintaining glucose homeostasis is vital. These proteins facilitate glucose transport across cell membranes, ensuring glucose balance in the body. The diversity of glucose receptors in different organs underscores their significance in biological contexts. Consequently, alterations in these receptors may contribute to tumor development in affected organs. In the context of cancer cells, heightened glucose consumption can be attributed to mechanisms including upregulated expression of glucose transporters like GLUT1, GLUT2, and GLUT3.



Cancer Cell




Figure 2. Cancer cells exhibit a metabolic shift called glycolysis, characterized by increased reliance on the conversion of glucose to lactate, even in the presence of oxygen (the Warburg effect). This metabolic adaptation provides cancer cells with the energy and building blocks required for their rapid proliferation. Glycolysis involves the enzymatic conversion of glucose to pyruvate, resulting in ATP production and the generation of NADH.

The up regulation of glycolytic enzymes facilitates this process. Understanding glycolysis in cancer cells is essential for developing targeted therapies to disrupt their metabolic dependencies and inhibit tumor growth.






Figure 3. The acidic tumor microenvironment, facilitated by lactate secretion, plays a significant role in tumor progression and metastasis. It inhibits immune cell activation and proliferation, induces apoptosis in specific immune cells, and promotes the polarization of macrophages towards a protumorigenic phenotype. This leads to immune suppression, immune evasion, and favorable conditions for tumor growth, invasion, and migration.

By capitalizing on the reliance of cancer cells on glucose, a potential therapeutic strategy involves introducing a chemical alteration or structural mutation to the glucose molecule, allowing it to enter the tumor as a polymer with alkaline properties. This modified glucose polymer may hinder glucose metabolism within the tumor and alter its acidic hydrogen environment, thereby impeding tumor growth, spread, and potentially inducing cell death. Moreover, glucose serves as an attractive target for combating various types and stages of cancer since most cancerous tumors heavily rely on glucose



metabolism, a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect. ^[14] By targeting the metabolic activity of cancer cells and specifically their glucose receptors, it is possible to disrupt the tumor's nutrient supply and inhibit its growth. ^[15] This can be accomplished by utilizing glucose as a carrier for delivering toxic substances directly to cancer cells or by inducing a structural mutation that imparts alkaline properties to glucose; understanding the metabolic characteristics of cancer cells and their dependence on glucose metabolism provides valuable insights for developing innovative therapeutic approaches aimed at targeting tumor cells through their metabolic vulnerabilities.

3. Methods

The synthesis of glucosodiene in this study involved the utilization of dextrose monohydrate ($\mathbb{Q}H_{14}O_7$) and sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) as starting materials. The method employed included the following steps: Accurately weigh 3.5 grams of dextrose monohydrate and 2.5 grams of sodium bicarbonate using a digital balance. Dissolve the measured quantities of dextrose monohydrate and sodium bicarbonate in 100 mL of sterile water. Gently stir the mixture to ensure even distribution. Apply heat to the mixture, raising its temperature to 100 degrees Celsius with the aid of a heating apparatus. Maintain this temperature for duration of five minutes. Monitor the reaction mixture closely for the formation of bubbles, indicating the release of carbon dioxide and confirming the progress of the reaction. Allow the reaction mixture to cool down to room temperature. Once cooled, the mixture can undergo further purification and characterization processes. It is worth noting that the purification and characterization procedures in this study involved subjecting the 100 mL solution to refrigerated drying, followed by the utilization of the resulting concentrate for subsequent bioassays. These processes ensure the removal of impurities and the preparation of a refined sample for further analysis. The synthesis methodology described above adheres to established chemical principles and techniques. However, to validate the purity, structure, and properties of the synthesized glucosodiene compound, it is imperative to conduct additional experiments and analyses. These would provide further insights into the compound's characteristics and enable a comprehensive evaluation of its potential applications.

4. Glucosodiene

Glucosodiene is a novel polymer compound synthesized through the reaction between dextrose and sodium bicarbonate. It is a polymer compound with a molecular formula of $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$. The synthesis of glucosodiene follows the scientific principle that elements with a common atomic structure, such as hydrogen and other first-row elements in the periodic table, share similar characteristics due to the presence of one electron in the valence shell. Glucosodiene is an isomer polymer of glucose and exhibits structural similarities to glucose. It is formed through the self-association of monomers derived from glucose isomers that are connected through 1-2 linkages. The molecular structure of glucosodiene is represented as $(1-2-O-\beta-D-Glucopyranosyl-\alpha-D-glucose)$. [Figure 4] The primary monomer responsible for the formation of the glucosodiene polymer is an isomer of glucose.







Figure 4. The structural configuration of the glucosodiene polymer is composed of monomers derived from glucose isomers that are connected through 1-2 linkages. Its molecular structure is represented as (1-2-O- β -D-Glucopyranosyl-α-D-glucose). The primary monomer responsible for the formation of the glucosodiene polymer is glucose, with a molecular mass of 178.9 as determined by LC-MS results. Interestingly, this monomer shares a similar structural composition to trehalose but undergoes self-association through 1-2 linkages, resembling the molecular structure of sophorose.


The synthesis of glucosodiene involves the reaction between dextrose and sodium bicarbonate in a heated mixture. The resulting polymer compound is then dried and subjected to **NMR** [Figure 5, 6, 7] and






Figures 5. The NMR analysis of the synthesized compound showed the presence of C $_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$. Given the absence of aldehyde or ketone groups, the resulting compound can be identified as 1-2-O-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-α-D-glucose. The presence of $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ confirms the formation of the desired compound.





Figures 6. The NMR analysis of the synthesized compound showed the presence of C $_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$. Given the absence of aldehyde or ketone groups, the resulting compound can be identified as 1-2-O-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-α-D-glucose. The presence of $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ confirms the formation of the desired compound.







Figures 7. The NMR analysis of the synthesized compound showed the presence of C $_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$. Given the absence of aldehyde or ketone groups, the resulting compound can be identified as 1-2-O-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-α-D-glucose. The presence of $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ confirms the formation of the desired compound.

LC-MS [Figure 8, 9, 10, 11] analysis. The NMR analysis confirms the presence of the formula $G_2H_{22}O_{11}$, while the LC-MS analysis validates its identity as 1-2-O- β -D-Glucopyranosyl- α -D-glucose. [16]






Figures 8. The molecular mass of the monomer was determined to be **178.9** based on the results obtained from LC-MS analysis. Interestingly, the monomer shares a similar structural arrangement to trehalose, but it undergoes self-association to form the polymer through 1-2 linkages, resembling the molecular structure of sophorose.




Figures 9. The molecular mass of the monomer was determined to be **178.9** based on the results obtained from LC-MS analysis. Interestingly, the monomer shares a similar structural arrangement to trehalose, but it undergoes self-association to form the polymer through 1-2 linkages, resembling the molecular structure of sophorose.





Figures 10. The molecular mass of the monomer was determined to be **178.9** based on the results obtained from LC-MS analysis. Interestingly, the monomer shares a similar structural arrangement to trehalose, but it undergoes self-association to form the polymer through 1-2 linkages, resembling the molecular structure of sophorose.



Figures 11. The molecular mass of the monomer was determined to be **178.9** based on the results obtained from LC-MS analysis. Interestingly, the monomer shares a similar structural arrangement to trehalose, but it undergoes self-association to form the polymer through 1-2 linkages, resembling the molecular structure of



sophorose

5. The Safety of Glucosodiene on an In-Vitro Biopsy Cell Line Model

Cytotoxic effect on human normal fibroblast cell line (BJ1)

Remarks	LC ₉₀ (μg/ml)	LC_{50} (µg/ml)	Sample Code
0.3% at 100ppm			glucosodiene molecules
1% at 100ppm			DMSO
0 %			Negative control

The laboratory experiment was conducted to evaluate the safety of Glucosodiene using an in-vitro biopsy cell line model. The BJ1 normal skin fibroblast cells were utilized for this study. First, the cells were suspended in DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 1% antibiotic-antimycotic mixture and 1% L-glutamine. The cells were then batch cultured for 10 days. Afterward, the cells were seeded at a concentration of 10x103 cells/well in 96-well microtiter plastic plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C under 5% CO2. To assess cell viability, the mitochondrial-dependent reduction of yellow MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) to purple formazan was measured. The following steps were carried out in a sterile environment using a Laminar flow cabinet biosafety class II level. The cells were incubated either alone (negative control) or with different concentrations of Glucosodiene samples to achieve final concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.125, 0.78, and 1.56 ug/ml. After 48 hours of incubation, the medium was aspirated, and MTT salt (2.5µg/ml) was added to each well. The plates were further incubated for four hours at 37°C under 5% CO2. To stop the reaction and dissolve the formed crystals, 10% Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) in deionized water was added to each well and incubated overnight at 37°C. The absorbance was measured at 595nm using a microplate multi-well reader with a reference wavelength of 620nm.

The percentage of change in viability was calculated using the formula: ((Reading of extract / Reading of negative control) -1) x 100). A probit analysis was conducted using SPSS 11 program to determine IC50 and IC90 values. The results of the experiment demonstrated that Glucosodiene exhibited no cellular toxicity or adverse effects on the BJ1 normal skin fibroblast cells at a concentration of 100 ppm [Figure 12,13]. This suggests the safety of Glucosodiene on normal cells in the in-vitro model. [17][18][19]

Qeios ID: EBCKFO.2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EBCKFO.2








Figure 12
A Control

Figure 13
B Glucosodiene

Figures 12, 13. The results of the experiment demonstrated that Glucosodiene exhibited no cellular toxicity or adverse effects on the BJ1 normal skin fibroblast cells at a concentration of 100 ppm.


6. Successful First Case Treatment for Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) of Bone by Glucosodiene

This study investigates the use of glucosodiene as a potential treatment for metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) in the bones. Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer among women, and TNBC is a particularly aggressive subtype, accounting for 15-20% of cases. TNBC lacks estrogen, progesterone, and HER2 receptors, making it challenging to treat. The study focuses on the metabolic pathways in TNBC, particularly the Warburg effect, which is the reliance of cancer cells on glucose as their primary source of energy. Glucosodiene, an alkaline glucose isomer, is proposed as a therapeutic approach to inhibit glucose metabolism in tumors. It is believed that this inhibition can modify the tumor microenvironment and activate p53, a tumor suppressor protein. The case report presents a 43-year-old female patient with metastatic TNBC in the bones. The patient had previously undergone unsuccessful traditional chemotherapy. Treatment with glucosodiene for 15 days resulted in normal vital functions and no signs of cellular activity. This suggests the potential effectiveness of glucosodiene as a targeted therapy for TNBC.

The study aims to evaluate the use of glucosodiene as an individualized treatment for TNBC patients and establish effective follow-up protocols. Ongoing research in this field focuses on developing new targeted therapies for TNBC, capitalizing on the altered metabolic pathways in cancer cells. This study explores the use of glucosodiene as a potential




therapy for metastatic TNBC in the bones. The results indicate positive outcomes in terms of vital functions and cellular activity. Further research is needed to validate these findings and develop targeted therapies for TNBC patients. The case report features a 42-year-old female patient with TNBC who had previously undergone unsuccessful traditional chemotherapy and presented with bone metastasis. Following 15 days of glucosodiene treatment [Figure 14, 15], the patient exhibited normal vital functions and no signs of cellular activity. The promising results suggest the potential of glucosodiene as an effective treatment for advanced-stage TNBC in a clinicaltrials.gov number (**NCT05957939**). [20]



Figures 14. This is the scan image of the patient prior to treatment or the latest bone scan before the decision to treat with glucosodiene. The publication of this image has been approved by the patient and the owner of this clinical study, following its initial publication in the preprint version. The patient presented with right leg pain, which prompted a bone scan revealing osseous metastasis in multiple locations, including the right iliac bone, head and trochanteric area of the right femur, right ischium, left acetabulum, and trochanteric area of the left femur.





Figures 15. This is an atomic scan image of the patient after treatment or with glucosodiene. The publication of this image has been approved by the patient and the owner of this clinical study, after it was initially published in its pre-print version. An isotopic bone scan using dual-phase bone scintigraphy revealed consistent uptake of the tracer in the previously identified regions, accompanied by slight hyperemic changes during the blood pool phase. The remaining skeletal areas exhibited uniform distribution of the tracer, without any active or cold focal lesions.

7. Potential Mechanisms of Action of Glucosodiene Polymer in Cancer

Glucosodiene Polymer 1-2-O- β -D-Glucopyranosyl- α -D-glucose, a compound known for its significant anticancer properties, holds promise for cancer treatment. However, the precise mechanisms underlying its action remain unclear. In this study, we aim to explore the potential mechanisms through which Glucosodiene Polymer exerts its anticancer effects. Interestingly, the expected mechanism of action of Glucosodiene Polymer bears resemblance to the mode of action of



compound 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG). Glucose metabolism plays a crucial role in the rapid growth and proliferation of cancer cells. Glucosodiene Polymer disrupts this metabolic pathway, inhibiting the enhanced glucose metabolism observed in cancer cells. By impairing energy production and essential biological processes required for cancer cell survival, Glucosodiene Polymer reduces cellular ATP levels, impacting diverse cellular functions and ultimately impeding tumor growth. Moreover, Glucosodiene Polymer is anticipated to modulate signaling pathways involved in cancer cell survival and proliferation. Notably, it may inhibit the activation of key protein kinases such as Akt and ERK, which are vital for cancer cell growth and survival. This interference with signaling pathways can induce cell cycle arrest and stimulate apoptosis, programmed cell death, in cancer cells. Furthermore, Glucosodiene Polymer may exhibit immune-enhancing effects that promote an immune response against cancer. This can be attributed to its ability to induce cytokine production and activate immune cells, including stem cells and effector cells. These immune-modulatory properties of Glucosodiene Polymer contribute to its potential therapeutic efficacy in targeting tumors. It is important to note that, similar to compound 2-deoxy-D-glucose, Glucosodiene Polymer is expected to possess a favorable safety profile. Previous studies have indicated that 2-DG is well-tolerated and has minimal toxicity in normal cells. This suggests that Glucosodiene Polymer may also exhibit a similar safety profile, making it a promising candidate for cancer therapy. Further investigations are necessary to fully elucidate the mechanisms of action of Glucosodiene Polymer and its impact on tumor growth through its metabolic activity. These studies will provide valuable insights into the compound's efficacy, safety, and potential clinical applications in cancer treatment. Through its immune activity, Glucosodiene Polymer is likely to contribute to tumor suppression and enhance anti-tumor immune response. These findings have been inferred from observations and results recorded in a clinicaltrials.gov number (NCT05957939) involving the treatment of triple-negative breast cancer with bone metastasis using glucosodiene.

8. A Novel Technique for Individualized Treatment of Breast Cancer During Diagnostic Biopsy to Determine Its Potential for Treatment with Glucosodiene

This hypothesis introduces a novel technique aimed at evaluating the potential therapeutic efficacy of Glucosodiene, an alkaline glucose isomer, for the treatment of cancer. The technique involves obtaining a diagnostic biopsy sample from the patient and subsequently performing an ex vivo tissue culture. The overall objective is to assess the response of the patient's tumor tissue to Glucosodiene in order to establish a personalized treatment plan. The methodology of this technique involves several key steps. Firstly, a diagnostic biopsy sample is obtained from the patient using standard procedures during the diagnostic phase to ensure accurate representation of the tumor tissue.

Secondly, the biopsy sample is transferred to the laboratory and placed in a suitable culture medium that closely mimics the physiological environment to maintain its viability. Subsequently, the biopsy sample is subjected to treatment with Glucosodiene. This can be achieved by directly adding Glucosodiene to the culture medium or administering it through a specific delivery system. Various concentrations and durations of exposure are tested to determine the optimal treatment conditions. The next step involves assessing the response of the tumor tissue to Glucosodiene. This assessment is performed through a range of analyses, including cellular viability assays, histological examination, gene expression



profiling, and functional assays. These evaluations aim to determine the impact of Glucosodiene on crucial cellular processes such as tumor growth, proliferation, and apoptosis. Following the assessment of tumor response, the data obtained from the ex vivo tissue culture experiments are subjected to rigorous analysis. This analysis aims to determine the efficacy of Glucosodiene in the specific patient's tumor tissue. Based on the observed response, a personalized treatment plan can be established, taking into consideration factors such as optimal dosage, treatment duration, and the potential for combination therapies. The utilization of ex vivo tissue culture during the diagnostic biopsy stage offers a valuable opportunity to evaluate the potential of Glucosodiene as a targeted therapy for breast cancer. By directly testing the patient's tumor tissue ex vivo, this approach enables individualized treatment decisions and holds the potential to enhance clinical outcomes. However, further investigations and thorough data analysis are necessary to validate the efficacy and safety of Glucosodiene as a personalized treatment option for cancer patients. [21]

9. Discussion

Cancer cells exhibit an increase in glucose uptake through the up regulation of glucose transporters, which fuels their rapid growth and proliferation. Maybe Glucosodiene works by inhibiting glucose metabolism within tumors, impairing energy production, and altering the tumor's microenvironment acidity. This disruption impedes tumor growth and spread, potentially leading to cell death. Maybe Glucosodiene also regulates signaling pathways involved in the survival and spread of cancer cells, inhibiting crucial protein kinases and promoting cell cycle arrest and programmed cell death.

Additionally, it may enhance the anti-tumor immune response by stimulating cytokine production and activating immune cells. The successful treatment of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) in bones using glucosodiene highlights its potential as an effective therapy for advanced-stage cancer. A case report demonstrates the ability of glucosodiene to inhibit cellular activity and underscores its clinical significance in targeting cancer metabolism. Research studies proposing and discussing the impact of glucosodiene as a promising theory for cancer treatment have shed light on its ability to modify glucose and endow it with alkaline properties.

This modification potentially allows for the destruction or metabolic inhibition of glucose within the tumor, a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect. This approach and its results may herald a new branch of chemotherapy known as "toxinutromedicanical-chemotherapy." [22] This field can be defined as a science dedicated to exploring the possibility of modifying cellular nutrition, specifically glucose "Glucose Mutation", and imbuing it with chemical, alkaline, and therapeutic properties through substitution reactions or by loading therapeutic agents onto glucose. Consequently, this approach achieves direct killing of cancer cells through their metabolic activity and their avidity for glucose. The promising results demonstrated by glucosodiene merit further investigation and study within this emerging field.

10. Conclusion

Glucosodiene, also known as the "glucose mutation" holds great promise as a therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment. It exhibits inhibitory effects on glucose metabolism, modulates signaling pathways, and possesses immune-enhancing



properties, making it an attractive candidate in the fight against cancer. However, further research is necessary to fully comprehend the underlying mechanisms of action and optimize the therapeutic potential of glucosodiene. With ongoing investigations, glucosodiene has the potential to revolutionize cancer treatment by exploiting the metabolic vulnerabilities of cancer cells and offering personalized and effective treatment options.

11. limitations of the study

The manuscript encountered several challenges and limitations during its execution, particularly in the initial stages of hypothesis formulation and the attempt to create tumor models in mice. The limited number of mice used in the experiment (only four) was intended to support the validity of the theory. These experiments were conducted in vivo, deviating from the cell line experiments previously performed on ETM6 cells. Furthermore, safety tests specific to the compound glucosodiene needed to be conducted. The documentation of these effects is presented in this manuscript, including NMR and LC-MS tests, aiming to authenticate the glucosodiene polymer. These experiments were conducted based on the available resources and capabilities, as the manuscript and theory have not received any support. Therefore, further experiments and research are needed to substantiate the validity of the theory and the compound. Additionally, all the clinical observations resulting from the accidental administration of glucosodiene solution, as documented in the case report of a woman with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer to the bones, were analyzed in this manuscript. The results were built upon the case's confirmation, clinical examinations, and the findings, suggesting that the glucosodiene polymer may function through a mechanism similar to that of 2-deoxy-d-glucose compounds. Regarding the mechanism of action of this compound in cancer treatment, acting as a d-glucose mimic, 2-deoxy-dglucose (2-DG) inhibits glycolysis by forming and accumulating 2-deoxy-d-glucose-6-phosphate (2-DG6P) intracellularly. This inhibition affects the function of hexokinase and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, ultimately inducing cell death. Moreover, considering that glucosodiene compounds have alkaline effects, clinical observations suggest a potential correlation with the prevention and inhibition of cancer metastasis. Therefore, the limited support received for this hypothesis necessitates extensive attention from researchers and scientists to address any gaps in the chemical description of the compound, preclinical and postclinical experiments, and further exploration.

Statements and Declarations

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.



References

- 1. ^Hanahan, D., & Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell, 144(5), 646-674. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013.
- 2. ^Vogelstein, B., Papadopoulos, N., Velculescu, V. E., Zhou, S., Diaz Jr, L. A., & Kinzler, K. W. (2013). Cancer genome landscapes. Science, 339(6127), 1546-1558.DOI: 10.1126/science.1235122.
- 3. [^]Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., & Jemal, A. (2020). Cancer statistics, 2020. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 70(1), 7-30. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21590.
- 4. ^Kumar AR, Devan AR, Nair B, Vinod BS, Nath LR. Harnessing the immune system against cancer: current immunotherapy approaches and therapeutic targets. Mol Biol Rep. 2021 Dec;48(12):8075-8095. doi: 10.1007/s11033-021-06752-9. Epub 2021 Oct 20. PMID: 34671902; PMCID: PMC8605995.
- 5. ^Roychowdhury, S., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2016). Translating genomics for precision cancer medicine. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 17(1), 87-113.DOI: 10.1146/annurev-genom-083115-022339. Liberti MV, Locasale JW. The Warburg Effect: How Does it Benefit Cancer Cells? Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Mar;41(3):211-218. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.12.001. Epub 2016 Jan 5. Erratum in: Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Mar;41(3):287. Erratum in: Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Mar;41(3):287. PMID: 26778478; PMCID: PMC4783224.
- 6. ^Hanahan, D., & Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell, 144(5), 646-674. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013.
- 7. a, bMaher Akl et al; The Role of pH in Cancer Biology and its Impact on Cellular Repair, Tumor Markers, Tumor Stages, Isoenzymes, and Therapeutics. (2023). Medicine & Community Health Archives, 1(03), 78-87. https://doi.org/10.23958/wc4m1y41.
- 8. ^Maher M. Akl. (2023). Targeting Cancerous Tumors through their Metabolic Activity via Glucose Receptors in the Tumor; Known as the Alkaline Glucosodiene Molecules Theory. Clinical and Experimental Cancer Research and Therapeutics, BRS Publishers. 1(1); DOI: 10.59657/ijcrt.brs.23.004.
- 9. ^Akl MM, Abou El Naga AM. Toxic chemotherapeutic nutrition of cancer cells by alkaline glucosodiene molecules via targeting metabolic of cancerous tumors: a promising theory for cancer treatment. Cancer Adv. 2023;6:e23010. doi:10.53388/2023623010.
- 10. ^Cancer Advances Editorial Office. Retraction: Toxic chemotherapeutic nutrition of cancer cells by alkaline glucosodiene molecules via targeting metabolic of cancerous tumors: a promising theory for cancer treatment. Cancer Adv. 2023;6:e23010. doi:10.53388/2023623010. Cancer Adv. 2023;6:e23015. doi:10.53388/2023623015.
- 11. ^Mueckler, M., & Thorens, B. (2013). The SLC2 (GLUT) family of membrane transporters. Molecular Aspects of Medicine, 34(2-3), 121-138.DOI: 10.1016/j.mam.2012.07.001.
- 12. ^Gillies, R. J., Raghunand, N., Garcia-Martin, M. L., & Gatenby, R. A. (2004). pH imaging. A review of pH measurement methods and applications in cancers. IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine, 23(5), 57-64.DOI: 10.1109/MEMB.2004.1360404.
- 13. **Estrella, V., Chen, T., Lloyd, M., Wojtkowiak, J., Cornnell, H. H., Ibrahim-Hashim, A.,... & Gatenby, R. A. (2013). Acidity generated by the tumor microenvironment drives local invasion. Cancer Research, 73(5), 1524-1535.DOI:



- 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-2796.
- 14. Warburg, O. (1956). On the origin of cancer cells. Science, 123(3191), 309-314. DOI: 10.1126/science.123.3191.309.
- 15. Seyfried, T. N., Flores, R. E., & Poff, A. M. (2014). D'Agostino, DP. Metabolic therapy: a new paradigm for managing malignant brain cancer. Cancer Letters, 356(2), 289-300. DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2014.07.015.
- 16. ^Maher Monir Akl, Amr Ahmed. Glucosodiene Polymer: A Novel Chemical Structure and Promising Cancer Targeting Agent Exploiting its MetabolicaActivity. J Chem Can Res. 2023. 1(1): 1-3. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JCCR.2023.v1.07.
- 17. Mosmann, T. (1983): Rapid colorimetric assays for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods.65:55-63.
- 18. ^Thabrew, M. I., Hughes, R. D., McFarlane, I. G. (1997): Screening of hepatoprotective plant components using a HepG2 cell cytotoxicity assay. J Pharm Pharmacol.49:1132-5.
- 19. ^Maher Akl et al; The Safety of Glucosodiene on an In-Vitro Biopsy Cell Line Model. (2023). Medicine & Community Health Archives, 1(03), 88-91. https://doi.org/10.23958/1d0d3r23.
- 20. ^Maher Akl. (2023). Targeting the Warburg Effect with Glucosodiene: A Case Report of a 43-year-old Female after Mastectomy of the right breast and axillary clearance with Successful First Case Treatment for Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) of Bone. Qeios. doi:10.32388/VOB4LA.
- 21. ^Maher M. Akl and Amr Kamel Ahmed. A Novel Technique for Individualized Treatment of Breast Cancer during Diagnostic Biopsy to Determine its Potential for Treatment with Glucosodiene. Cell Cellular Life Sci J 2023, 8(2): 000186. https://doi.org/10.23880/cclsj-16000186.
- 22. ^Maher M. Akl and Amr Kamel Ahmed. Glucosodiene: Opening a New Branch of Chemotherapic Sciences Called Toxinutromedicanical-Chemotherapy. Cell Cellular Life Sci J 2023, 8(2): 000185. https://doi.org/10.23880/cclsj-16000185.

Qeios ID: EBCKFO.2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EBCKFO.2