

Review of: "Life of Indigenous Communities During the COVID-19 Pandemic"

Joni Manumpak Parulian Gultom

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I suggest that researchers write the research location in the main title so that it appears more clearly.

I have not seen any problem formulation or research objectives to be achieved in the introduction. The results of others' research are not yet visible; it would be better to add them. I also haven't seen the novelty and construction of the discussion in this article. The author should sharpen this introductory part.

In the paragraph "Many prior research results have indicated that Indigenous peoples cannot deal with the pandemic condition caused by COVID-19 and use various adaptive tactics to break free from their limited sources of subsistence," I see the reasons put forward as the impact of COVID-19 not only occurring in the Buru indigenous community but also at all levels of society. This is nothing special. It would be better for the author to present a specific statement that shows the serious impact on the Buru indigenous people because of COVID-19.

I also don't see any correlation between this part of the paragraph and the Buru indigenous people regarding the economic status of the indigenous people: "To gain an understanding of the economic behavior of indigenous peoples ..."

Research approaches already exist, but the research methods are not yet clear, whether they are descriptive or other. The author should also provide steps in writing this article so that it looks clearer, structured, and leads to achieving the research objectives and reaching the conclusion.

In my opinion, discussions are still very abstract and broad. This is because the research objectives are unclear. Discussions become out of sync with one another and tend to be unrelated. The debate is still general in nature; it does not yet show a solution from the results of the interview or observation data used. Because the discussion is still shallow, the resulting conclusions do not provide satisfactory points.