

Review of: "Recent Trends and Techniques in Landslide Hazard Assessment"

Jacobus Kotze

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

A difficult read and not yet fit for publication. It is grammatically very bad, so I suggest putting the paper through a grammatical check to ensure that the sentences are clear and concise. Some sentences do not add value to the paragraph. Example: "Understanding, predicting and controlling the risk associated with landslides is still an empirical task given the current state of knowledge. Geology, structural geology, engineering geology, hydrology, hydrology, geophysics, geotechnology and civil engineering. Risk assessment can be analysed at multiple spatial and temporal scales according to the objective [3]." Not sure what the point is of the second sentence. Throughout the paper, it seems that there are random sentences that serve no purpose. Example: "Detection and monitoring of frequently inaccessible landslides and associated phenomena in the Himalayas owing to the mountainous terrain and inadequate infrastructure development is the first step in achieving this. High-resolution earth monitoring capabilities from orbiting satellites. Identification of landslide-prone regions by landslide hazard zoning, which refers to the partition of land into homogenous units and classifies them in accordance with the likelihood that landslides would occur, is a second step in this direction ^[19]." Again, the second sentence does not fit or serve a purpose.

In general, the paper lacks sufficient depth. Particularly in scientific and technical disciplines, it is crucial to substantiate claims and arguments with comprehensive technical content. There are also in-text references that are not included in the reference list.