

# Review of: "[Mini Review Article] Practicality of Piezo Surgery in Periodontics"

Bestoon Mohammed Faraj<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Sulaimani

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear respected authors, several areas in this paper need significant improvement before a final decision and consideration for publication. I have some concerns regarding the level of grammar used in the selection of the review title.

1. The manuscript in the present format is not proper linguistically and needs improvement. Similarity and plagiarism should be within the accepted level.
2. Materials and Methods Ethics Approval: Is there any formal reference for approval of the ethics methodology?
3. In a systematic review, the research question is important. In this paper, the research question is not designed based on a universal set of criteria.
4. Deficiencies exist in :
  - a) Bias assessment: not demonstrating a bias graph risk.
  - b) The paper didn't explain its data collection methodologies nor establish the validity of the data. (E.g., inclusion/exclusion criteria, selection bias, etc.,)
  - c)The strengths and limitations of this mini-review are not mentioned.
  - d)The paper is ethically not sound and lacks adequate transparency.
5. No adequate justification in the discussion section specific to:
  - a) The results of this mini-review show that the majority of the studies did not mention the sample size calculation method. It must be considered a weakness.
  - b) Is there evidence that searching for non-English papers changes the conclusion of this review?