

# Review of: "Life of Indigenous Communities During the COVID-19 Pandemic"

Dumilah Ayuningtyas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Indonesia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, this article is both intriguing and informative, reflecting the substantial effort put in by the research team. The paper provides valuable insights into the challenges faced by indigenous communities during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in terms of their economic conditions. However, there are a few areas that could benefit from further revision to enhance the clarity and coherence of the content.

Firstly, while the article primarily focuses on the economic impact of the pandemic on indigenous communities, the title does not fully capture this emphasis. Including a reference to the economic challenges in the title would more accurately reflect the core subject matter and improve the paper's focus.

The abstract is generally well-crafted but could benefit from greater clarity. The transition between discussing governmental development schemes and the impact of COVID-19 lacks coherence, making the overall concept somewhat unclear. A more structured approach, perhaps by first establishing the economic and developmental context and then discussing the pandemic's impact, would improve the flow and clarity of the abstract.

The introduction starts strong, but there is some repetition of phrases, particularly regarding the difficulty of defining "indigenous peoples." Streamlining this section to avoid redundancy would make the introduction more concise and impactful.

In the methodology section, the description of the informants could be more specific. It would be helpful to clarify whether the informants are farmers or hold other roles within their communities. This detail is especially important given the detailed discussion of the economic status of farmers in the introduction, and it would provide a clearer connection between the methodology and the study's findings.

The theoretical framework includes an explanation of gender discrimination, which is important, but this section requires further clarification. Expanding on how the theory specifically applies to the indigenous communities studied would enhance the reader's understanding of its relevance to the research.

The discussion section is rich with information, but it could be strengthened by more explicitly explaining the results and comparing them with existing literature and studies. This would provide a stronger foundation for the conclusions drawn and situate the findings within a broader academic context.

Lastly, the conclusion needs revision to better encapsulate the key findings and implications of the study. A more focused summary of the results and their significance would leave a stronger impression on the reader.

In conclusion, while this article is highly informative and well-researched, addressing these areas would further enhance its clarity, coherence, and impact. The team's efforts are commendable, and with these revisions, the article would make



an even more significant contribution to the field.