

Review of: "Outcomes of Rezum Water Vapor Therapy for Benign Prostate Obstruction with One-Year Follow-Up: Largest Real-World Data from Türkiye"

Masashi Morita¹

¹ Showa University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

As the authors mention in the limitations, this study is a short-term follow-up study without a control group. Although there are several similar reports, this study has a relatively large sample size and is very useful in real-world clinical practice as it presents short-term clinical outcomes of the relatively new treatment, WAVE therapy. However, since this paper shows short-term treatment outcomes, I think it would have been better if there had been more detailed observational results for each period. For example, it would be helpful to know if there is a significant improvement in urinary conditions about one month after the procedure (I apologize if this information is included in the Table, which I could not access). Additionally, as a minor point, on page 4, in the inclusion criteria, the $Q_{max} \geq 15$ mL/sec seems to be a mistake; should it be ≤ 15 ? Also, on page 4, where the prostate volume is indicated, there should be 71 subjects, but 52 cases with 30–80 mL and 13 cases with >80 mL are reported, which does not add up.