

Review of: "COVID-19 Crisis: Exploring Challenges, Opportunities, and Cautions"

Ewa Okoń-Horodyńska¹

¹ Jagiellonian University Cracow

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The presented text announces the authors' extensive own research, which arouses the interest of the reader. However, it turns out that this is a short review indicating issues worth investigating in the field of Covid-19. The topic of Covid-19 has been extremely popular since the outbreak of the pandemic, and the term "multidimensional Covid-19 crisis" even appears in the literature (Okoń-Horodyńska 2021). The challenges related to the Covid-19 pandemic, as well as the consequences, are widely considered in various aspects and described in the literature. At first glance, what is valuable in the analyzed article is the announcement of the connection of various challenges, their unification, and the synthesis of conclusions. There are visible attempts at an interdisciplinary approach in the text, which would increase the substantive value of the study if publicly available data were included in the assumptions. So if the suggested - and rightly so - possibilities of innovation, adaptation, and resilience hidden behind the Covid-19 challenges were supported by evidence (e.g., in the form of case studies), the article would have greater impact. Without this, the article only contains an overview of various works and research by other authors, which the reader must read to find out something for sure! And this is the weakness of reasoning without evidence and data, which are available in numerous databases.

Figure 1, although very interesting, requires broader comments; otherwise, its inclusion does not meet the expectations of either researchers who could expand the research or readers.

The authors do not put forward their own thesis but try to prove the hypothesis of other researchers about the 100-year cyclicity of the pandemic. But to prove it, they only use a survey addressed to various social groups (according to age, education, profession, etc.), which in no way allows for universalism in conclusions. The survey results should be prepared for each social group, and then a test of convergence of positions should be carried out, e.g., according to the statistical significance test, but there are many other methods.

The text does not present its own research (no research methodology) but only a review of the literature on various studies on the Covid-19 issue, and on this basis the authors propose generalizing conclusions, which does not seem substantively justified. Therefore, it does not fully meet the requirements for publishing a research text. However, it can be published as an informative review showing the scale, diversity, and research gaps that are worth filling by other researchers.

