Review of: "Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence"

Ahmed Gad[1]

1 Kafr El-Sheikh University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript, "Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence," presents an interesting and potentially valuable exploration of the relationship between fintech and entrepreneurial intentions.

Major Strengths

- The research topic is timely and relevant to the fields of fintech and entrepreneurship.
- The methodology employed, including statistical analysis, is sound and appropriate.
- The paper is well-organized and easy to follow.

Major Weaknesses

- The paper lacks a clear theoretical framework to underpin the study.
- The categorization and comparison of fintech concepts are inconsistent and potentially misleading.
- Hypotheses are not sufficiently specific or clearly articulated.
- The literature review is inadequate and lacks depth.
- The presentation of data, particularly regarding participants and statistical results, needs improvement.

Specific Recommendations

To enhance the quality of the manuscript, the authors are advised to:

- Develop a robust theoretical framework that aligns with the study's constructs.
- Clearly differentiate between technologies (blockchain, AI) and products (cryptocurrency) to avoid flawed comparisons.
- Refine hypotheses to clearly establish causal relationships.
- Conduct a comprehensive literature review, citing relevant studies and providing a clear rationale for participant selection.
- Improve the presentation of data by providing detailed information about participants and summarizing statistical results effectively.

- Consider incorporating suggestions from Reviewers 2 and 3 regarding the structure of the abstract, tables, and figures.

While the paper demonstrates potential, it requires significant revisions to address the identified shortcomings. By incorporating the suggested improvements, the authors can strengthen the paper's theoretical foundation, enhance the clarity of their research questions, and improve the overall quality of the manuscript.