

Review of: "Mattering and Satisfaction With Life: Gender and Age Differences Among Kenyan Secondary School Students"

Lidija Marković

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

1. Use "related to" instead of "related with".
2. Pay attention to the articles in your paper.
3. I would use one more sentence to point out which scale you use in the research.

Introduction

1. Chapters 1 and 2: It seems that the focus is the decreased life satisfaction and mattering after the pandemic. Are there any gender/age differences in the post-COVID context?
2. There is no need to write a colon before listing the facts.
3. There is no article before China, but there should be before the UK.
4. Use come before "and".
5. Use "point to" instead of "point at".
6. I would prefer to explain the link between happiness and life satisfaction and show the 2024 World Happiness Report after.
7. Pay attention to the articles in your paper.
8. There is no ample evidence. You should write "ample evidence".
9. "First, a focus on students' satisfaction with school life heeds the calls for more research on this among African samples. Second, the rapid growth of the field of mattering coupled with the increasingly popular view of mattering as a core universal need call for more empirical evidence from different countries around the world." This should be placed in the conclusion, but poke the aim of the research in this chapter.
10. Take care of how compounds and semi-compounds are written in the paper.
11. Use female/male instead of boys and girls.

Materials and Methods

1. Use "agreement with" instead of "agreement to".
2. Take care of how compounds and semi-compounds are written in the paper.

Data analysis and results

1. Table 1: Add meaning for M and SD in the Note.
2. “We thus tested the study” should be written “The study was tested...”
3. Use “average scores” instead of “average of scores”.
4. Take care of how compounds and semi-compounds are written in the paper.
5. Don’t use personal adjectives such as “in our study”, it is better “current study”
6. I didn’t see a defined hypothesis in the text before you supported it or not.
7. Don’t write “we used, we tested, etc.”, it should be a passive sentence
8. Where is the note for Table 4?

Discussion

1. Again, “we investigated, we hypothesized...”
2. I would use this sentence later in the practical implications: “This suggests the need for future studies to keep on examining how gender interacts with both mattering and satisfaction with life in efforts aimed at establishing how the two variables relate to each other.”
3. Redefine every sentence into the passive voice, as it is mentioned in the previous parts.

Limitations

1. Use “relate to” instead of “relate with.”
2. Use “hold across the cultures” instead of “hold true across...”
3. Use “discrepancy” instead of “discepancy.”

Good luck J