

Review of: "Channeling the Flow — A Metaphor for Computer Programs"

Sabah Al-Fedaghi¹

¹ Kuwait University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Sabah Al-Fedaghi, Kuwait University

Most of the reviews of this article ask for examples. I believe that this is the result of lacking an ontological dimension. I will give samples of this dimension for part of the materials in the paper. I apologize for sounding dogmatic. I think that the whole notion of flow in the paper can be expressed more clearly as follows.

I would start by specifying that a program (code) is a static description of machines and a computer is a transformational machine that transforms the program into dynamic events. Then the answer to *What do we do when we program computers?* is simply we construct a static machine with (static) actions *on things*. The sequence of actions on things is called flow. The constraints of flow in the program are static constraints, e.g., *if < zero do*. The computer 'event-izes' this static description of the machine (program), making it a complex of events, i.e., involving time. Accordingly, dynamic constraints arise from the actualization of the program, e.g., *if x takes a long time*

