Qeios

Research Article

Pain assessment and management for older patients with hip fractures

Shyh Poh Teo¹

1. Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha (RIPAS) Hospital, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam

Older patients with hip fractures require a multidisciplinary management approach. Central to these considerations and treatment targets is adequate analgesia, which should be provided as early as possible. An overview of pain assessment and management considerations for patients with hip fractures is provided. There are several tools available to assess severity of pain, such as the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS), Visual Analogue Scale, Pictorial Pain Scale and Verbal Descriptor Scale (VDS). For older people, the NRS and VDS may be preferred. For patients with cognitive impairment, the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) scale or the Pain Assessment Checklist for Seniors with Limited Ability to Communicate (PACSLAC) may be used. Ideally, paracetamol should be provided every 6 hours, with opioids for additional breakthrough pain. If this is inadequate or if there is a requirement to reduce administration of opioids, nerve blocks may be considered. Compared to femoral nerve blocks, fascia-iliaca blocks are potentially easier to learn, safer and well-tolerated. Overall, older patients with hip fractures require adequate analgesia, which involves standardised pain assessment using appropriate tools and multimodal pain management approaches.

1. Introduction:

Older patients with hip fractures require a multidisciplinary management approach; ortho-geriatrics models of care providing comprehensive geriatric assessment have been shown to reduce length of hospital stay, dependence of patients and 1-year mortality.^{[1][2]} This approach takes into account multiple considerations such as the diagnosis and management of comorbidities, ensuring adequate analgesia, hydration, oxygenation and nutrition, bowel and bladder management, prevention and treatment of delirium, as well as assessment and management of subsequent fall and fracture risk. Early mobilisation, rehabilitation and discharge planning is essential, with a need for individualised

plans to continue after hospital discharge to ensure progression of improvement in function and mobility. [3][4]

Central to these considerations and treatment targets is adequate analgesia, which should be provided as early as possible. A qualitative study of health-care practitioners in a tertiary hospital found that providing effective pain relief requires clinicians to be less apprehensive regarding prescribing analgesics, with a need to work together as a team to achieve a 'pain-free hospital'.^[5] There is also a need to standardise pain assessment by ensuring availability of guidelines and pain assessment tools. ^[6] Documentation of pain assessment including descriptors and severity of pain, monitoring of side effects and response to analgesia is also important.^[7]

A study of nursing staff from seven university hospitals and ten city-centre hospitals regarding the barriers of post-operative pain management for hip fracture patients found that a significant barrier is pain assessment and resistance to care or restlessness in cognitively impaired patients. ^[8] Fortunately however, a case-control study at a major trauma centre in the United Kingdom found that there were no significant differences in pain management pre-hospital or an the Emergency Department when the management of patients with or without cognitive impairment were compared. ^[9] Other barriers to adequate pain assessment and management include delays in admission and surgery.^{[10][11]} Inadequate analgesia also affects recovery and participation in therapy and rehabilitation.

The postoperative pain severity is also affected by the type of surgical procedure performed for the hip fracture. In a prospective study of hip fracture patients all receiving continuous epidural anaglesia, the highest post-operative pain levels during hip flexion or walking was in patients with dynamic hip screws and intra-medullary hip screws compared to arthroplasty or parallel screws or pins.^[12] An overview of pain assessment and management considerations for patients with hip fractures is provided.

2. Pain Assessment:

An observational study in Sweden found that among patients with hip fractures, 86% have moderate to severe pain, with 25% not receiving pain relieving medications.^[13] A prospective study at a university hospital identified 22% of hip fracture patients experiencing severe pain, with only 27% of

hip fracture patients receiving analgesia.^[14] This should be managed even before arrival to hospital. A qualitative study of older hip fracture patients showed that pre-hospital anxiety and pain relief needed to be improved , as well as a consideration for older people's needs and increased participation, such as providing explanations and clarifying uncertainties regarding management.^[15] There are several tools available to assess severity of pain, such as the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS), Visual Analogue Scale, Pictorial Pain Scale and Verbal Descriptor Scale (VDS). For older people, the NRS and VDS may be preferred.^[16] In the NRS, patients are ask to grade pain between 0 to 10, where 0 is no pain and 10 is the worst imaginable pain. The VDS consists of phrases representing different pain intensities, ranging from no pain, mild pain, moderate pain, severe pain, extreme pain and the most intense pain.^[17]

For patients with cognitive impairment, the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) scale was shown to be a useful pain assessment tool in the Emergency department. This involves rating five observed aspects that may indicate pain (breathing, vocalisation, facial expression, body language and consolability) between 0 to 2, and providing a total score out of ten to indicate the patient's pain level. ^[18] Alternatively, the Pain Assessment Checklist for Seniors with Limited Ability to Communicate (PACSLAC) providing a checklist of observed findings that may indicate pain may be used. A study comparing the PAINAD and PACSLAC showed that there was greater interrater reliability with the PACSLAC compared to the PAINAD. Nurses did not have a preference between the PAINAD or PACSLAC tools.^[19]

3. Pain Management:

A. Standard Care:

Generally, adequate analgesia should be provided immediately for patients presenting with suspected hip fractures, even before presenting to hospital. This is essential to ensure adequate movement for investigations (an ideal hip radiograph requires passive external leg rotation), as well as nursing care. Ideally, paracetamol should be provided every 6 hours, with opioids for additional breakthrough pain. If this is inadequate or if there is a requirement to reduce administration of opioids, nerve blocks may be considered.^[20]

A main limiting factor of systemic opioids are the side effects, such as sedation or confusion, particularly for older people.^[21] Tramadol should be used cautiously in older people, as its use has been associated with risk of emergency department presentations, falls, fractures, cardiovascular disease and mortality.^[22] Thus, the current main pharmacological drug treatment used for pain relief in older people generally involves the use of paracetamol and strong opioids, with a preference away from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and tramadol.^[23]

B. Other Adjuncts in Pain Management:

i. Pre-operative skin traction:

A systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the efficacy of pre-operative skin traction for patients with hip fractures showed that this offered pain relief within an hour post-admission but not at four to six hours, 12 hours or 24 hours after the admission. Alternative analgesia should be considered in addition to skin traction, as well as early surgical intervention for hip fractures.^[24,]

ii. Intravenous Paracetamol:

A systematic review showed that pre-operative intravenous paracetamol was effective for pain management in hip fracture patients, with decreased use of breakthrough analgesia, reduced pain scores and length of hospital stay.^[25] The use of IV Paracetamol was also associated with reduced delirium rates, which may be associated with less opioid use in these patients.^[26]

iii. Regional Anaesthesia (Femoral Nerve or Fascia-Iliaca Regional Block):

A randomised controlled trial comparing an ultrasound-guided femoral nerve block to intravenous morphine showed that fermoral nerve blocks resulted in significantly reduced pain severity at four hours, reduced the need for rescue opiate analgesia without any difference in adverse events. Interestingly, standard care with parenteral opioids were ineffective for patients with severe pain from hip fractures.^[27] A systematic review of femoral nerve blocks (single or continuous) administered in the Emergency Department for older patients with hip fractures confirmed its benefits with an associated significant reduction in pain intensity and need for rescue pain relief.^[28]

A randomised controlled trial comparing fascia-iliaca regional anaesthetic block to intravenous nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) showed that while parenteral NSAIDs are effective, regional fascia-iliaca blocks were superior in managing hip fracture pain given their rapid onset of action, with effects lasting up to eight hours.^[29] Compared to femoral nerve blocks, fascia-iliaca blocks are potentially easier to learn, safer and well-tolerated.^[30] A meta-analysis showed that when both regional block modalities were compared, fascia-iliaca blocks reduced pain scores more at 4 hours after surgery, while femoral nerve blocks were associated with less side effects such as nausea, vomiting and sedation. There were otherwise no other significant differences between both approaches in terms of opiate requirements, time for spinal anaesthesia or patient satisfaction.^[31]

4. Conclusion:

Older patients with hip fractures require adequate analgesia, which involves standardised pain assessment using appropriate tools and multimodal pain management approaches.

References

- 1. [△]C. Y. Henderson, E. Shanahan, A. Butler, B. Lenehan, et al. (2016). <u>Dedicated orthogeriatric service redu</u> <u>ces hip fracture mortality.</u> Ir J Med Sci, vol. 186 (1), 179–184. doi:10.1007/s11845–016–1453–3.
- ^AR. P. Murphy, C. Reddin, E. P. Murphy, R. Waters, et al. (2019). <u>Key Service Improvements After the Intr</u> <u>oduction of an Integrated Orthogeriatric Service.</u> Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil, vol. 10, 21514593198938
 9. doi:10.1177/2151459319893898.
- 3. [△]Ingvild Saltvedt, Anders Prestmo, Elin Einarsen, Lars Gunnar Johnsen, et al. (2012). <u>Development and</u> <u>delivery of patient treatment in the Trondheim Hip Fracture Trial. A new geriatric in-hospital pathway</u> <u>for elderly patients with hip fracture.</u> BMC Res Notes, vol. 5 (1). doi:10.1186/1756-0500-5-355.
- 4. [△]Mark Middleton. (2018). <u>Orthogeriatrics and Hip Fracture Care in the UK: Factors Driving Change to M</u> ore Integrated Models of Care. Geriatrics, vol. 3(3):55.
- [△]Nuraqilah Akbar, Shyh Poh Teo, Hjh Noor Artini Hj-Abdul-Rahman, Hjh Asmah Hj-Husaini, et al. (20 19). <u>Barriers and Solutions for Improving Pain Management Practices in Acute Hospital Settings: Perspe</u> <u>ctives of Healthcare Practitioners for a Pain-Free Hospital Initiative.</u> Ann Geriatr Med Res, vol. 23 (4), 1 90–196. doi:10.4235/agmr.19.0037.

- 6. [△]Amalie Siaw-Fang Chin, Asmah Husaini, Noor Artini Abdul Rahman, Munikumar Ramasamy Venkata salu, et al. (2021). <u>Acute Pain Assessment Practice Among Healthcare Professionals from Medical and S urgical Wards in Brunei Hospitals.</u> Aging Med Healthc, vol. 12 (3), 72–78. doi:10.33879/amh.123.2020.03 007.
- 7. [^]Teo SP. (2021). Adequacy of pain assessment documentation in older people Can we do better?. Chul alongkorn Medical Bulletin, vol. 3(1):43-46.
- 8. [△]Maija Rantala, Päivi Kankkunen, Tarja Kvist, Sirpa Hartikainen. (2014). <u>Barriers to Postoperative Pain</u> <u>Management in Hip Fracture Patients with Dementia as Evaluated by Nursing Staff</u>. Pain Management Nursing, vol. 15 (1), 208–219. doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2012.08.007.
- 9. [^]Raiyyan Aftab, Divyansh Dixit, Simon Williams, Laurence Baker, et al. (2021). <u>Cognitive impairment a</u> <u>nd pain relief following hip fractures: a case control study.</u> British Journal of Pain, vol. 16 (2), 203–213. d 0i:10.1177/20494637211041146.
- 10. [^]Teo SP. (2022). Audit of hip fractures and standards of care in Brunei Darussalam. Journal of Medical B ioscience, vol. 4(1):19-24.
- 11. ^APoh AWY, Teo SP. (2022). Fall assessment audit in older patients with neck of femur fractures in RIPAS Hospital. Pacific Journal of Medical Sciences, vol. 22(2):60-68.
- [^]N.B. Foss, M.T. Kristensen, H. Palm, H. Kehlet. (2009). <u>Postoperative pain after hip fracture is procedur</u> <u>e specific.</u> British Journal of Anaesthesia, vol. 102 (1), 111–116. doi:10.1093/bja/aen345.
- ^APär Wennberg, Margareta Möller, Elisabeth Kenne Sarenmalm, Johan Herlitz. (2020). <u>Evaluation of th</u> <u>e intensity and management of pain before arrival in hospital among patients with suspected hip fractu</u> <u>res.</u> International Emergency Nursing, vol. 49 , 100825. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2019.100825.
- 14. [△]Ludwig Oberkircher, Natalie Schubert, Daphne-Asimenia Eschbach, Christopher Bliemel, et al. (2015).
 <u>Prehospital Pain and Analgesic Therapy in Elderly Patients with Hip Fractures.</u> Pain Pract, vol. 16 (5), 54
 5-551. doi:10.1111/papr.12299.
- 15. ^AKenneth Aronsson, Ida Björkdahl, Birgitta Wireklint Sundström. (2014). <u>Prehospital emergency care fo</u> <u>r patients with suspected hip fractures after falling – older patients' experiences.</u> J Clin Nurs, vol. 23 (21– 22), 3115–3123. doi:10.1111/jocn.12550.
- 16. [△]Ozgur Karcioglu, Hakan Topacoglu, Ozgur Dikme, Ozlem Dikme. (2018). <u>A systematic review of the pai</u> <u>n scales in adults: Which to use?</u>. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, vol. 36 (4), 707-714. do i:10.1016/j.ajem.2018.01.008.

- 17. [^]Maidin MA, Teo SP. (2020). Assessment tools for pain severity in the elderly: a review. Pacific Journal o f Medical Sciences, vol. 20(2):63-67.
- 18. [△]Margaret Fry, Rosalind Elliott. (2018). <u>Pragmatic evaluation of an observational pain assessment scale</u> <u>in the emergency department: The Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) scale.</u> Australasia n Emergency Care, vol. 21 (4), 131–136. doi:10.1016/j.auec.2018.09.001.
- ^ATeofanes Natavio, Elizabeth McQuillen, Mary S. Dietrich, Nancy Wells, et al. (2020). <u>A Comparison of t</u> <u>he Pain Assessment Checklist for Seniors with Limited Ability to Communicate (PACSLAC) and Pain Ass</u> <u>essment in Advanced Dementia Scale (PAINAD)</u>. Pain Management Nursing, vol. 21 (6), 502–509. doi:1 0.1016/j.pmn.2020.04.001.
- 20. [△]Cameron Swift, Saoussen Ftouh, Patrick Langford, Timothy S Chesser, et al. (2016). <u>Interdisciplinary m</u> <u>anagement of hip fracture.</u> Clin Med, vol. 16 (6), 541-544. doi:10.7861/clinmedicine.16-6-541.
- 21. [^]Rachel Cowan, Jun Hao Lim, Terence Ong, Ashok Kumar, et al. (2016). <u>The Challenges of Anaesthesia</u> <u>and Pain Relief in Hip Fracture Care.</u> Drugs Aging, vol. 34 (1), 1-11. doi:10.1007/s40266-016-0427-5.
- 22. [△]Shirley Musich, Shaohung S. Wang, James A. Schaeffer, Luke Slindee, et al. (2021). <u>Safety Events Associated with Tramadol Use Among Older Adults with Osteoarthritis.</u> Population Health Management, vol. 2
 4 (1), 122-132. doi:10.1089/pop.2019.0220.
- [△]Maria Gustafsson, Hugo Lövheim, Maria Sjölander. (2021). <u>Pharmacological Pain Treatment in 2012 a</u> <u>nd 2017 Among Older People with Major Neurocognitive Disorder.</u> Drugs Aging, vol. 38 (11), 1017-1023. doi:10.1007/s40266-021-00897-9.
- 24. [△]Takaomi Kobayashi, Hiroshi Ureshino, Tadatsugu Morimoto, Motoki Sonohata, et al. (2021). <u>Pain reli</u> <u>ef differentiated according to the length of time that preoperative skin traction was carried out for hip fr</u> <u>actures: A systematic review and meta-analysis.</u> International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nurs ing, vol. 43, 100886. doi:10.1016/j.ijotn.2021.100886.
- 25. [△]Ján Dixon, Fiona Ashton, Paul Baker, Karl Charlton, et al. (2018). <u>Assessment and Early Management o</u> <u>f Pain in Hip Fractures: The Impact of Paracetamol.</u> Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil, vol. 9 , 2151459318806 44. doi:10.1177/2151459318806443.
- 26. [△]Keith P. Connolly, Rachel S. Kleinman, Kim L. Stevenson, Mark D. Neuman, et al. (2020). <u>Delirium Red</u> <u>uced With Intravenous Acetaminophen in Geriatric Hip Fracture Patients.</u> Journal of the American Acad emy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, vol. 28 (8), 325-331. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-17-00925.
- 27. [≜]Francesca L. Beaudoin, John P. Haran, Otto Liebmann. (2013). <u>A Comparison of Ultrasound-guided Th</u> <u>ree-in-one Femoral Nerve Block Versus Parenteral Opioids Alone for Analgesia in Emergency Departme</u>

<u>nt Patients With Hip Fractures: A Randomized Controlled Trial.</u> Acad Emerg Med, vol. 20 (6), 584–591. d oi:10.1111/acem.12154.

- 28. [△]Madison Riddell, Maria Ospina, Jayna M. Holroyd–Leduc. (2015). <u>Use of Femoral Nerve Blocks to Man</u> <u>age Hip Fracture Pain among Older Adults in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review.</u> CJEM, v ol. 18 (4), 245–252. doi:10.1017/cem.2015.94.
- 29. [△]Daniel Godoy Monzón, Jorge Vazquez, José R. Jauregui, Kenneth V. Iserson. (2010). <u>Pain treatment in p</u> <u>ost-traumatic hip fracture in the elderly: regional block vs. systemic non-steroidal analgesics.</u> Int J Eme rg Med, vol. 3 (4), 321-325. doi:10.1007/s12245-010-0234-4.
- 30. ^ADaniel Godoy Monzon, Kenneth V. Iserson, Jorge A. Vazquez. (2007). <u>Single Fascia Iliaca Compartment</u> <u>Block for Post-Hip Fracture Pain Relief.</u> The Journal of Emergency Medicine, vol. 32 (3), 257-262. doi:1 0.1016/j.jemermed.2006.08.011.
- 31. [^]Xiao-dan Li, Chao Han, Wen-li Yu. (2022). <u>Comparison of Femoral Nerve Block and Fascia Iliaca Bloc</u> <u>k for Proximal Femoral Fracture in the Elderly Patient: A Meta-analysis.</u> Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil, v ol. 13, 215145932211116. doi:10.1177/21514593221111647.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.