

# Common fixed point results for fuzzy *F*-contractive mappings in a dislocated metric spaces with application

Muhammed Raji1\*; Musa Adeku Ibrahim 2; Kamilu Rauf 3, Rotimi Kehinde 4

<sup>1,2,4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University Lokoja, Kogi State, Nigeria

E-Mail: rajimuhammed11@gmail.com¹\*; adekubash@gmail.com²; krauf@unilorin.edu.ng³; rotimi.kehinde@fulokoja.edu.ng⁴

#### **Abstract**

This article presents a new approach to proving the existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point for fuzzy mappings that satisfy Ciric type F-contraction and Hardy-Roger type F-contraction in a complete dislocated metric space. These results are applied to multivalued mappings in dislocated metric spaces, and we have provided illustrative examples to demonstrate the power of our approach.

**Keywords** Fuzzy mappings; common fixed point; *F*-contraction, dislocated metric spaces

Mathematics subject Classification 54E50; 47H10; 54H25

## 1 Introduction

The study and application of fixed point theory is critical to the advancement of Functional analysis, Mathematics, and the Sciences in general. In 1922, Banach [13] introduced a key result in metric fixed point theory which has become known as the Banach contraction principle. This principle is a widely used tool for establishing the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a wide range of problems in Mathematics and Physical Sciences. Over the past few decades, the Banach contraction principle has been extended and generalized in many ways, with applications in a variety of areas, some of which can be found in [1, 7, 10, 24-27].

Wardowski [5] introduced the concept of an F-contractive mapping on a metric space and proved a fixed point theorem for such a map on a complete metric space. Tomar and Sharma [19] employed the idea of F-contraction introduced by Wardowski to establish coincidence and common fixed point theorems for a pair of discontinuous, noncompatible self-maps in a noncomplete metric space.

Zadeh [2] first proposed the idea of fuzzy sets. Later, Weiss [4] introduced the concept of fuzzy mappings and proved various fixed point results. Building on this work, Heilpern [9] introduced the idea of fuzzy contraction mappings and proved a fixed point theorem for fuzzy contraction mappings that is a fuzzy analogue of Nadler's [15] fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Nigeria

Shahzad et al. [21] introduced the notion of an F-contraction to establish some fixed point results for fuzzy mappings satisfying a new Ciric type rational F-contraction in complete dislocated metric spaces.

In light of the discussion above, we establish the existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point of fuzzy mappings satisfying Ciric type F-contraction and Hardy-Roger type F-contraction in a complete dislocated metric space. We also apply our main results to obtain a common fixed point result for multivalued mappings in dislocated metric spaces, and we provide some illustrative examples to demonstrate the applicability of our results.

Throughout this article, we will denote the set of real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of positive real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and the set of natural numbers by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

# 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we begin by introducing the notion of an F-contraction and providing some relevant definitions and examples.

**Definition 2.1** [17,23] Let X be a nonempty set. A function  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . A pair (X, d) is called a distance space. If d satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) d(x, y) = 0 if x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x);
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then, a function  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a dislocated metric on X. If d is a dislocated metric on X, then the pair (X, d) is said to be a dislocated metric space.

**Definition 2.2** [2,11] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A map  $f: X \to X$  is an F-contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\tau + F(d(fx, fy)) \le F(d(x, y)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $fx \neq fy$ , where  $F: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying:

- (i) F is strictly increasing, i.e., for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha < \beta, F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$ ;
- (ii) For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty}F(\alpha_n)=-\infty$ ;
- (iii) There exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \to 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

We denote F, the family of all functions  $F: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii). Every F-contraction is a contractive map i.e.,

$$d(fx, fy) < d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $fx \neq fy$  and hence is necessarily continuous.

**Definition 2.3** [16] Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $f, g : X \to X$ . A pair of self maps f and g have a coincidence point at  $x \in X$  if fx = gx. Further, a point  $x \in X$  is a common fixed point of f and g if fx = gx = x.

**Definition 2.4** [14] A fuzzy set in X is a function whose domain is X and whose range is the interval [0,1]. The set of all fuzzy sets in X is denoted by F(X). Given a fuzzy set A and a point X in X, the value A(x) is called the degree of membership of X in A. The  $\alpha$ -level set of a fuzzy set A is denoted by A0 and is defined as follows:

$$[A]_{\alpha} = \{x : A(x) \ge \alpha\} \text{ where } \alpha \in (0,1], [A]_{0} = \overline{\{x : A(x) > 0\}}.$$

**Definition 2.5** [8,22] Let X be a nonempty set and Y be a metric space. A mapping T is called a fuzzy mapping if it is a mapping from X into F(Y), the set of all fuzzy sets on Y. The membership function of a fuzzy mapping T, denoted T(x)(y), is the degree to which Y is a member of T(x). That is, T(x)(y) is the degree of membership of Y in the fuzzy set T(x). For simplicity, we use the notation  $[Tx]_{\alpha}$  to refer to the  $\alpha$ -level set of T(x), instead of  $[T(x)]_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 2.6** [11] A point  $x \in X$  is called a fuzzy fixed point of a fuzzy mapping  $T: X \to F(X)$  if there exists  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  such that  $x \in [Tx]_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 2.7** [17] Let  $(X, d_1)$  be a dislocated metric space.

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, d_l)$  is called Cauchy sequence if, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there corresponds  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ , we have  $d_l(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$  or  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d_l(x_m, x_n) = 0$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  dislocated converges (for short  $d_l$ -converges) to x if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_l(x_n, x) = 0$ . In this case x is called a  $d_l$ -limit of  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Definition 2.8** [17] Let K be a nonempty subset of dislocated metric space X, and let  $x \in X$ . An element  $y_0 \in K$  is called a best approximation in K if

$$d_l(x, K) = d_l(x, y_0)$$
, where  $d_l(x, K) = \inf_{y \in K} y \in K \ d_l(x, y)$ .

If each  $x \in X$  has at least one best approximation in K, then K is called a proximal set. Denote by P(X) the set of all proximal subsets of X.

**Definition 2.9** [17] The function  $H_{d_l}: P(X) \times P(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , defined by

$$H_{d_l}(A,B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d_l(a,B), \sup_{b \in B} d_l(A,b) \right\},\,$$

is called dislocated Hausdorff metric on P(X).

**Lemma 2.10** [23] Let A and B be nonempty proximal subsets of a dislocated metric space  $(X, d_I)$ . If  $a \in A$ , then

$$d_l(a,B) \le H_{d_l}(A,B).$$

**Lemma 2.11** [18] Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a dislocated metric space. Let  $(P(X), H_{d_l})$  be a dislocated Hausdorff metric space. Then, for all  $A, B \in P(X)$  and for each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b_a \in B$  satisfying

$$d_l(a, B) = d_l(a, b_a),$$

then

$$H_{d_l}(A,B) \ge d_l(a,b_a).$$

## 3 Main Results

In this section, we begin with the following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be two fuzzy mappings on X and (A, B) a pair of Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(M_l(x, y)),\tag{3.1}$$

where

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}), d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})', \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.1) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_1(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary point in X. Let  $x_1 \in [Ax_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$  be an element such that  $d_l(x_0, [Ax_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) = d_l(x_0, x_1)$ . Again let  $x_2 \in [Bx_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$  be an element such that  $d_l(x_1, [Bx_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) = d_l(x_1, x_2)$ . Continuing this process, we construct a sequence  $x_n$  of points in X such that  $x_{2n+1} \in [Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}$  and  $x_{2n+2} \in [Bx_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Also,  $d_l(x_{2n}, [Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) = d_l(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}) = d_l(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}).$ Hence, we define the iteration by  $\{BA(x_n)\}$ . If  $M_I(x,y)=0$ , obviously, x=y is a common fixed point of A and B. Then the proof is complete. Let  $M_l(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  with  $x \neq 0$ y and by using (3.1) and Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$\begin{split} F\Big(d_l(x_{2i+1},x_{2i+2})\Big) &\leq F\Big(H_{d_l}\big([Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}\big)\Big) \\ &\leq F\Big(M_l(x_{2i},x_{2i+1})\Big) - \tau, \end{split}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

$$M_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}), d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}), \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}) d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}) d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}) d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [By]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})})}{d_{l}(x_{2i}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})} \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}), \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}), \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}), \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) \\ d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \{d_{l}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_{l}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})\}$$

$$(3.3)$$

(3.3)

If there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\max\{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})\} = d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})$ , then (3.3) becomes

$$F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) \le F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) - \tau, \tag{3.4}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\max\{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}), d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})\} = d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Hence, from (3.3), we get

$$F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) \le F(d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})) - \tau$$
, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . (3.5)

Similarly, we get

$$F(d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})) \le F(d_l(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})) - \tau, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (3.6)

Letting (3.6) in (3.5), we get

$$F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) \le F(d_l(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})) - 2\tau$$

By continuing the same way, we have

$$F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) \le F(d_l(x_0, x_1)) - (2i+1)\tau, \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$F(d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})) \le F(d_l(x_0, x_1)) - 2i\tau \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$F(d_l(x_n, x_{n+1})) \le F(d_l(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau \tag{3.9}$$

On taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3.9), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F\left(d_l(x_n, x_{n+1})\right) = -\infty \tag{3.10}$$

Consider (3.10) and  $(F_2)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. (3.11)$$

From (3.10), there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \left( d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right)^k F(d_l(x_n, x_{n+1})) \right) = 0.$$
 (3.12)

From (3.10), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\left( d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right)^k F\left( d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) - F\left( d_l(x_0, x_1) \right) \right) \le - \left( d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right)^k n\tau \le 0.$$
 (3.13)

Using (3.11), (3.12) and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3.13), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( n \left( d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right)^k \right) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n(d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ , that is,

$$d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1}{n^{1/k}}$$
 for all  $n \ge n_1$ . (3.15)

For all  $m > n > n_1$ , by using (3.15) and the triangle inequality, we get

$$d_{l}(x_{n}, x_{m}) \leq d_{l}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + d_{l}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_{l}(x_{m-1}, x_{m})$$

$$= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d_{l}(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} d_{l}(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}.$$
(3.16)

Since the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$  is convergent, taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} d_l(x_n, x_m) = 0. (3.17)$$

This proves that  $\{BA(x_n)\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d_l)$ .

Since  $(X, d_l)$  is a complete dislocated metric space, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \{BA(x_n)\} = x^*$ . That is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_l(x_n, x^*) = 0. {(3.18)}$$

By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le \tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right), \quad (3.19)$$

Contractive condition (3.1) also holds for  $x^*$ , then we have

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le F(M_l(x_{2n}, x^*)), \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &M_{l}(x_{2n},x^{*}) \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_{l}(x_{2n},x^{*}),d_{l}(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}),d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}),\\ &\frac{d_{l}(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})}{d_{l}(x_{2n},x^{*})+d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})+d_{l}(x^{*},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})',\\ &\frac{d_{l}(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})+d_{l}(x^{*},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})}{d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})+d_{l}(x^{*},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x_{2n}, x^{*}), d_{l}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_{l}(x^{*}, [Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d_{l}(x^{*}, [Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})}{d_{l}(x_{2n}, [Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*}, x_{2n+1})}, \\ \frac{d_{l}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d_{l}(x_{2n}, [Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*}, x_{2n+1})}{d_{l}(x_{2n}, [Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*}, x_{2n+1})} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.21)$$

Using (3.18) and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3.21), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_l(x_{2n}, x^*) = d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}). \tag{3.22}$$

Since F is strictly increasing, then (3.20) implies

$$d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) < M_l(x_{2n}, x^*). \tag{3.23}$$

Again, using (3.22) and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3.23), we get

$$d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) < d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})$$
(3.24)

a contradiction. So  $d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) = 0$  or  $x^* \in [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}$ . Similarly, by using (3.18) and Lemma 2.11 and

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+2}, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le \tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Bx_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right), \quad (3.25)$$

we can also show from (3.25) that  $d_l(x^*, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) = 0$  or  $x^* \in [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}$ . Hence, A and B have a common fixed point  $x^*$  in X. Now,

$$d_l(x^*, x^*) \le d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) + d_l([Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}, x^*) \le 0$$

Also,

$$d_l(x^*, x^*) \le d_l \Big( x^*, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \Big) + d_l \Big( [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}, x^* \Big) \le 0.$$

Thus,  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A: X \to W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{A(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ay]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(M_l(x, y)),\tag{3.26}$$

where

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}), d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})', \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{cases}$$
(3.27)

Then,  $\{A(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.26) holds for  $x^*$ , then A has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary point in X. Let  $x_1 \in [Ax_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$  be an element such that  $d_l(x_0, [Ax_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) = d_l(x_0, x_1)$ . Again let  $x_2 \in [Ax_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$  be an element such that  $d_l(x_1, [Ax_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) = d_l(x_1, x_2)$ . Continuing this process, we construct a sequence  $x_n$  of points in X such that  $x_{2n+1} \in [Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}$  and  $x_{2n+2} \in [Ax_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Also,

 $d_l(x_{2n}, [Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) = d_l(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$  and  $d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Ax_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}) = d_l(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$ . Hence, we define the iteration by  $\{A(x_n)\}$ . If  $M_l(x, y) = 0$ , obviously, x = y is a fixed point of A. Then the proof is complete. Let  $M_l(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in \{A(x_n)\}$  with  $x \neq y$  and by using (3.26) and Lemma 2.11, then the results follow from Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.3** Let  $X = \{0,1,2\}$  and  $d_l(x,y) = x + y$  be a complete dislocated metric space defined by a pair of fuzzy mappings  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  as follows:

$$A(x)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} & \text{if } \frac{x}{6} \le t < \frac{x}{4} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text{if } \frac{x}{4} \le t \le \frac{x}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{4} & \text{if } \frac{x}{2} < t < x \\ 0 & \text{if } x \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$B(x)(t) = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } \frac{x}{8} \le t < \frac{x}{6} \\ \frac{\beta}{4} & \text{if } \frac{x}{6} \le t \le \frac{x}{4} \\ \frac{\beta}{6} & \text{if } \frac{x}{4} < t < x \\ 0 & \text{if } x \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

Define the function  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  by

 $F(x) = \ln(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$ .

Consider,

$$[Ax]\alpha_{/2} = \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]$$
 and  $[By]_{\beta_{/4}} = \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]$ 

for  $x \in X$ , we define the sequence  $\{BA(x_n)\} = \{1, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{48}, \dots\}$  generated by  $x_0 = 1$  in X. We have

$$\begin{split} H_{d_l}\left([Ax]_{\alpha_{/2}},[By]_{\beta_{/4}}\right) &= max \left\{\sup_{a \in Sx} d_l\left(a,[By]_{\beta_{/4}}\right), \sup_{b \in Tx} d_l\left([Ax]_{\alpha_{/2}},b\right), \right\} \\ &= max \left\{\sup_{a \in Sx} d_l\left(a,\left[\frac{y}{8},\frac{y}{4}\right]\right), \sup_{b \in Tx} d_l\left(\left[\frac{x}{6},\frac{x}{2}\right],b\right)\right\} \\ &= max \left\{\sup_{a \in Sx} d_l\left(\frac{x}{6},\frac{y}{8}\right), \sup_{b \in Tx} d_l\left(\frac{x}{6},\frac{y}{4}\right)\right\} \\ &= max \left\{\frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}, \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4}\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{l}(x,y) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right), d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right), \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right) d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right)}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right) d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right) d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right)}{d_{l}\left(x, \left[\frac{y}{8}, \frac{y}{4}\right]\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \left[\frac{x}{6}, \frac{x}{2}\right]\right)} \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_{l}(x, y), d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right), d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right), \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)}{d_{l}(x, y) + d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', \\ \frac{d_{l}\left(x, \frac{x}{6}\right) d_{l}\left(x, \frac{y}{8}\right) + d_{l}\left(y, \frac{x}{6}\right)', d_{l}\left(y, \frac{y}{8}\right)', d$$

= x + y.

Case 1. Suppose 
$$max \left\{ \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}, \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4} \right\} = \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}$$
, and  $\tau = \ln\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)$ , we get 
$$16x + 12y \le 36x + 36y$$
$$\frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}\right) \le x + y$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{8}{3}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}\right) \le \ln(x + y).$$

That is,

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(M_l(x, y))$$

Case 2. Again suppose  $max\left\{\frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{8}, \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4}\right\} = \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4}$ , and  $\tau = \ln\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)$ , we get

$$16x + 24y \le 36x + 36y$$

$$\frac{8}{3} \left( \frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4} \right) \le x + y$$

$$\ln\left(\frac{8}{3}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{x}{6} + \frac{y}{4}\right) \le \ln(x + y).$$

That is,

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(M_l(x, y))$$

Then, we can see that all the hypothesis in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence, A and B have a common fixed point

We have the following corollaries for Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction:

Corollary 3.4 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be two fuzzy mappings on X and (A, B) a pair of Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(M_l(x, y)),$$

where

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}), \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})}, \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{cases}$$
(3.28)

Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.28) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_I(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be two fuzzy mappings on X and (A, B) a pair of Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \le F\big(M_l(x, y)\big),$$

where

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}), d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}), \\ d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) \\ d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}) \end{cases}$$
(3.29)

Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.29) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_I(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be two fuzzy mappings on X and (A, B) a pair of Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \le F\big(M_l(x, y)\big),$$

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}), d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.30) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_I(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

Corollary 3.7 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be two fuzzy mappings on X and (A, B) a pair of fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{BA(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F(d_l(x, y)),\tag{3.31}$$

Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.31) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

Corollary 3.8 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A: X \to W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings on X satisfying fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{A(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in \{0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ay]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le F\left(d_l(x, y)\right),\tag{3.32}$$

Then,  $\{A(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

Now, we consider Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy *F*-contraction to a pair of mappings.

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be a pair of fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AB(x_n)\}$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(x,y) + a_{2}d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}) + \\ a_{3}d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_{4} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \\ + a_{5} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3.32)$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$  and  $a_3 \neq 1$ . Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \rightarrow x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof** From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that  $x_1 \in [Ax_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$  and  $x_2 \in [Bx_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$ , with (3.32) and Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$\tau + F(d_{l}(x_{1}, x_{2})) \leq \tau + F\left(d_{l}(x_{1}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})\right)$$

$$\leq \tau + F\left(H_{d_{l}}([Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})\right)$$

$$a_{1}d_{l}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + a_{2}d_{l}(x_{0}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})}) + d_{l}(x_{0}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})$$

$$d_{l}(x_{0}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})d_{l}(x_{1}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})$$

$$+ a_{1}\frac{d_{l}(x_{0}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})d_{l}(x_{0}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})d_{l}(x_{1}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})}{d_{l}(x_{0}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})d_{l}(x_{1}, [Bx_{1}]_{\alpha(x_{1})})}$$

$$= F\left(a_{1}d_{l}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + a_{2}d_{l}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, [Ax_{0}]_{\alpha(x_{0})})\right)$$

$$= F\left(a_{1}d_{l}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + a_{2}d_{l}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, x_{2}) + d_{l}(x_{1}, + d_{l}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

Since F is strictly increasing, we have

$$d_l(x_1, x_2) < (a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + a_5)d_l(x_0, x_1) + a_3d_l(x_1, x_2)$$

implies

$$d_l(x_1, x_2) < \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_3}\right) d_l(x_0, x_1)$$

From  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$  and  $a_3 \neq 1$ , we deduce  $1 - a_3 > 0$  and so  $d_l(x_1, x_2) < d_l(x_0, x_1)$ 

Therefore,

$$F(d_l(x_1, x_2)) \le F(d_l(x_0, x_1)) - \tau.$$

Again, from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that  $x_{2i+1} \in [Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}$  and  $x_{2i+2} \in [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}$ , with (3.32) and Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \tau + F\Big(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})\Big) &\leq \tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2i+1}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})})\right) \\ &\leq \tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}, [Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}\big)\right) \end{split}$$

$$\leq F \begin{pmatrix} a_1d_l(x_{2i},x_{2i+1}) + a_2d_l(x_{2i},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})}) + \\ a_3d_l(x_{2i+1},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + a_4 \frac{d_l(x_{2i},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})d_l(x_{2i+1},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})})}{d_l(x_{2i},x_{2i+1}) + d_l(x_{2i},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_l(x_{2i+1},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})} \\ + a_5 \frac{d_l(x_{2i},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})d_l(x_{2i},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_l(x_{2i+1},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})d_l(x_{2i+1},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})})}{d_l(x_{2i},[Bx_{2i+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2i+1})}) + d_l(x_{2i+1},[Ax_{2i}]_{\alpha(x_{2i})})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= F \begin{pmatrix} a_1 d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + a_2 d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \\ d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \\ a_3 d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) + a_4 \frac{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})}{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})} \\ + a_5 \frac{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})}{d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}) + d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq F((a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + a_5)d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + a_3d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}))$$

Since *F* is strictly increasing, we have

$$d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) < (a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + a_5)d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + a_3d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})$$

implies

$$d_l(x_{2i+1},x_{2i+2}) < \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_3}\right) d_l(x_{2i},x_{2i+1})$$

From  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$  and  $a_3 \neq 1$ , we deduce  $1 - a_3 > 0$  and so  $d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) < d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})$ 

Hence,

$$F(d_l(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})) \le F(d_l(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})) - \tau.$$

Following the same arguments in Theorem 3.1, we have  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^*$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_l(x_n, x^*) = 0. {(3.33)}$$

By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le \tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right),$$

using (3.32), we have

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_1d_l(x_{2n},x^*) + a_2d_l\Big(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}\Big) + \\ a_3d_l\Big(x^*,[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}\Big) + a_4 \frac{d_l(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_l\Big(x^*,[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}\Big)}{d_l(x_{2n},[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) + d_l\Big(x^*,[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}\Big)} \\ + a_5 \frac{d_l(x_{2n},[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})d_l\Big(x_{2n},[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}\Big) + d_l\Big(x^*,[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}\Big)d_l\Big(x^*,[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}\Big)}{d_l\Big(x_{2n},[Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}\Big) + d_l\Big(x^*,[Ax_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}\Big)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq F \left( a_{1}d_{l}(x_{2n},x^{*}) + a_{2}d_{l}(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + \\ a_{3}d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + a_{4}\frac{d_{l}(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})}{d_{l}(x_{2n},x^{*}) + d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*},x_{2n+1})} \\ + a_{5}\frac{d_{l}(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*},x_{2n+1})d_{l}(x^{*},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})})}{d_{l}(x_{2n},[Bx^{*}]_{\alpha(x^{*})}) + d_{l}(x^{*},x_{2n+1})} \right)$$

Since F is strictly increasing, we have

$$\begin{split} d_l \big( x_{2n+1}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big) \\ &< a_1 d_l (x_{2n}, x^*) + a_2 d_l (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + a_3 d_l \big( x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big) \\ &+ a_4 \frac{d_l (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d_l \big( x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big)}{d_l (x_{2n}, x^*) + d_l \big( x_{2n}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big) + d_l \big( x^*, x_{2n+1} \big)} \\ &+ a_5 \frac{d_l (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d_l \big( x_{2n}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big) + d_l \big( x^*, x_{2n+1} \big) d_l \big( x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big)}{d_l \big( x_{2n}, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} \big) + d_l \big( x^*, x_{2n+1} \big)} \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3.33), we get

$$d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) < a_3 d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})$$

a contradiction. So  $d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) = 0$  or  $x^* \in [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}$ . Similarly, by using (3.32), (3.33) and Lemma 2.11 and

$$\tau + F\left(d_l(x_{2n+2}, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right) \le \tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Bx_{2n+1}]_{\alpha(x_{2n+1})}, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)})\right), \quad (3.34)$$

also, we can show from (3.34) that  $d_l(x^*, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) = 0$  or  $x^* \in [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}$ . Hence, A and B have a common fixed point  $x^*$  in  $(X, d_l)$ . Now,

$$d_l(x^*, x^*) \le d_l(x^*, [Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) + d_l([Bx^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}, x^*) \le 0$$

Also,

$$d_l(x^*, x^*) \le d_l(x^*, [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}) + d_l([Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)}, x^*) \le 0.$$

Thus,  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

If we take A = B in Theorem 3.8, we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A: X \to W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$ 

and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AA(x_n)\}, x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ay]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \le$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(x,y) + a_{2}d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)}) + \\ a_{3}d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_{4} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \\ + a_{5} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[Ay]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3.33)$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$  and  $a_3 \ne 1$ . Then,  $\{AA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.33) holds for  $x^*$ , then A has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_1(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

If we take  $a_1 = 0$  in Theorem 3.8, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.8 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be a pair of fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AB(x_n)\}$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)},[By]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \leq$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(x, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_{2}d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) \\ +a_{3} \frac{d_{l}(x, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x, y) + d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \\ +a_{4} \frac{d_{l}(x, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3.32)$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$  and  $a_2 \neq 1$ . Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

If we take  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$  in Theorem 3.8, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.8 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be a pair of fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AB(x_n)\}$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)})\right) \le$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_{2} & \frac{d_{l}(x, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x, y) + d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \\ + a_{3} & \frac{d_{l}(x, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y, [By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x, [By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y, [Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.32)

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$  and  $a_1 \neq 1$ . Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

If we take  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$  in Theorem 3.8, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.8 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be a pair of fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AB(x_n)\}, x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \le$$

$$F\left(\begin{array}{c} a_{1} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} + \\ a_{2} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{array}\right)$$

$$(3.32)$$

and  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 = 1$ . Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

If we take  $a_2 = a_3 = 0$  in Theorem 3.8, we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3.8** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  be a pair of fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{AB(x_n)\}, x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}\big([Ax]_{\alpha(x)}, [By]_{\alpha(y)}\big)\right) \le$$

$$F\left(\begin{array}{c} a_{1}d_{l}(x,y) + a_{2} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \\ + a_{3} \frac{d_{l}(x,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})d_{l}(y,[By]_{\alpha(y)})}{d_{l}(x,[By]_{\alpha(y)}) + d_{l}(y,[Ax]_{\alpha(x)})} \end{array}\right)$$

$$(3.32)$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$ . Then,  $\{BA(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (3.32) holds for  $x^*$ , then A and B have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

# 4 Application

As an application of our work, we will now show how Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.8 can be used to prove the existence of common fixed points for multivalued mappings in a dislocated metric space. The following theorem follows directly from our previous results.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $R, S: X \to W(X)$  be two multivalued fuzzy mappings on X and (R, S) a pair of Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{SR(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}(Rx, Sy)\right) \le F\left(M_l(x, y)\right),\tag{4.1}$$

where

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,Rx), d_{l}(y,Sy), \\ d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(y,Sy) \\ \hline d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx), \\ d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx)d_{l}(y,Sy) \\ \hline d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx) \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

Then,  $\{SR(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (4.1) holds for  $x^*$ , then R and S have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_I(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $\alpha: X \to (0,1]$  be an arbitrary mapping. Consider a fuzzy mapping  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & t \in Rx, \\ 0, & t \notin Rx, \end{cases}$$
$$(Bx)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & t \in Sx, \\ 0, & t \notin Sx. \end{cases}$$

We have that

$$[Ax]_{\alpha(x)} = \{t : Ax(t) \ge \alpha(x)\} = Rx,$$

and

$$[Bx]_{\alpha(x)} = \{t : Bx(t) \ge \alpha(x)\} = Sx.$$

Thus, condition (4.1) becomes condition (3.1) in Theorem 3.1. It implies that there exists  $x^* \in [Ax]_{\alpha(x)} \cap [Bx]_{\alpha(x)} = Rx \cap Sx$ .

Now, we consider Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy *F*-contraction to a pair of mappings.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $R, S: X \to P(X)$  be a pair of multivalued fuzzy mappings on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose

there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{SR(x_n)\}, x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}(Rx, Sy)\right) \le$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(x,y) + a_{2}d_{l}(x,Rx) + \\ a_{3}d_{l}(y,Sy) + a_{4} \frac{d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(y,Sy)}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx)} \\ + a_{5} \frac{d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx)d_{l}(y,Sy)}{d_{l}(x,Sy) + d_{l}(y,Rx)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.3)$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$  and  $a_3 \neq 1$ . Then,  $\{SR(x_n)\} \rightarrow x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (4.3) holds for  $x^*$ , then R and S have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $d_l(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $\alpha: X \to (0,1]$  be an arbitrary mapping. Consider a fuzzy mapping  $A, B: X \to W(X)$  defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & t \in Rx, \\ 0, & t \notin Rx, \end{cases}$$
$$(Bx)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & t \in Sx, \\ 0, & t \notin Sx. \end{cases}$$

We have that

$$[Ax]_{\alpha(x)} = \{t : Ax(t) \ge \alpha(x)\} = Rx,$$

and

$$[Bx]_{\alpha(x)} = \{t: Bx(t) \ge \alpha(x)\} = Sx.$$

Hence, condition (4.3) becomes condition (3.32) in Theorem 3.8. It implies that there exists  $x^* \in [Ax]_{\alpha(x)} \cap [Bx]_{\alpha(x)} = Rx \cap Sx$ .

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $R: X \to P(X)$  be a multivalued fuzzy mapping on X satisfying Ciric type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{R(x_n)\}$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_l}(Rx, Ry)\right) \le F\left(M_l(x, y)\right),\tag{4.4}$$

$$M_{l}(x,y) = max \begin{cases} d_{l}(x,y), d_{l}(x,Rx), d_{l}(y,Ry), \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(y,Ry)}{d_{l}(x,y) + d_{l}(x,Ry) + d_{l}(y,Rx)}, \\ \frac{d_{l}(x,Rx)d_{l}(x,Ry) + d_{l}(y,Rx)d_{l}(y,Ry)}{d_{l}(x,Ry) + d_{l}(y,Rx)} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\{R(x_n)\} \to x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (4.1) holds for  $x^*$ , then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in Rx^*$ .

**Proof** Let  $\alpha: X \to (0,1]$  be an arbitrary mapping. Consider a fuzzy mapping  $A: X \to F(X)$  defined by

$$(Ax)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & t \in Rx, \\ 0, & t \notin Rx. \end{cases}$$

We have that

$$[Ax]_{\alpha(x)} = \{t : Ax(t) \ge \alpha(x)\} = Rx.$$

Hence, condition (4.5) becomes condition (3.27) in Theorem 3.2. It implies that there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in [Ax^*]_{\alpha(x^*)} = Rx^*$ .

Corollary 4.3 Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space with  $R: X \to P(X)$  be a multivalued fuzzy mapping on X satisfying Hardy-Rogers-type fuzzy F-contraction. Suppose there exist  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \{R(x_n)\}, x \neq y$  and  $\alpha(x) \in (0,1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\tau + F\left(H_{d_{l}}(Rx, Ry)\right) \le F \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}d_{l}(x, y) + a_{2}d_{l}(x, Rx) + \\ a_{3}d_{l}(y, Ry) + a_{4}\frac{d_{l}(x, Rx)d_{l}(y, Ry)}{d_{l}(x, y) + d_{l}(x, Ry) + d_{l}(y, Rx)} \\ + a_{5}\frac{d_{l}(x, Rx)d_{l}(y, Ry)}{d_{l}(x, y) + d_{l}(x, Ry) + d_{l}(y, Rx)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.6}$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 > 0$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$  and  $a_3 \neq 1$ . Then,  $\{R(x_n)\} \rightarrow x^* \in X$ . Moreover, if condition (4.6) holds for  $x^*$ , then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in Rx^*$ .

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have established the existence and uniqueness of common fixed points for fuzzy mappings that satisfy Ciric type F-contraction and Hardy-Roger type F-contraction in complete dislocated metric spaces. In addition, we have applied our main results to prove common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in dislocated metric spaces. To demonstrate the usefulness of our approach, we have provided several examples.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their deepest gratitude to all those who have contributed in some way to the completion of this work.

# **Declaration**

# **Author's contribution**

All authors have contributed equally to the writing of this paper.

# Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflicting interests:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Research involving human participants and/or animals:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals that were conducted by the authors.

Funding Not applicable

Data Availability Not applicable

#### References

- 1. Batra R., Vashistha S., 2014 Fixed points of an F-contraction on metric spaces with a graph, Int. J. Comput. Math. (2014), doi: 10.1080/00207160.2014.887700.
- 2. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets. Inf. Control 8(3), 338–353 (1965)
- 3. Raji M, Ibrahim MA. 2023 Coincidence and common fixed points for *F*-Contractive mappings. Ann Math Phys 6(2): 196-212. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17352/amp.000102
- 4. Weiss, M.D.: Fixed points and induced fuzzy topologies for fuzzy sets. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **50**, 142–150 (1975)
- 5. Wardowski D., 2012 Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 94.
- 6. Wardowski D., Dung N. V., 2014 Fixed Points Of F-Weak Contractions On Complete Metric Spaces. Demonstr. Math. 47, 146-155
- 7. Minak G., Helvaci A. and Altun I. 2014 Ciri'c type generalized F-contractions on complete metric spaces and fixed point results. Filomat, 28,6, 1143–1151.
- 8. Phiangsungnoena, S, Kumam, P: Fuzzy fixed point theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in *b*-metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8, 55-63 (2015)
- 9. Heilpern, S.: Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83(2), 566–569 (1981)
- 10. Arshad, M, Shoaib, A, Vetro, P: Common fixed points of a pair of Hardy Rogers type mappings on a closed ball in ordered dislocated metric spaces. J. Funct. Spaces 2013, Article ID 638181 (2013)
- 11. Raji M., Ibrahim M.A. 2024 Fixed point theorems for modified F-weak contractions via α-admissible mapping with application to periodic points, Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science, 20, 82-97.
- 12. Tomar A., Giniswamy, C. Jeyanthi and P. G. Maheshwari. 2016 On coincidence and common fixed point of six maps satisfying F-contractions. TWMS J. App. Eng. Math., 6,2, 224–231.
- 13. Banach, S.: Sur les op'erations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations itegrales. Fund. Math. 3, 133–181 (1922)
- 14. Azam, A 2011: Fuzzy fixed points of fuzzy mappings via a rational inequality. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 40(3), 421-431.
- 15. Nadler, BS 1969: Multivalued contraction mappings. Pac. J. Math. 30, 475-488.
- 16. Raji M., 2023 Generalized α-ψ Contractive Type Mappings and Related Coincidence Fixed

- Point Theorems with Applications, The Journal of Analysis, 31(2), 1241-1256.
- 17. Hitzler, P., Seda, A.K.: Dislocated topologies. J. Electr. Eng. 51(12/s), 3–7 (2000)
- 18. Shoaib A., Kumam P., Shahzad A., Phiangsungnoen S., 2018 Fixed point results fuzzy mappings in a b-metric space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2, 12 pages
- 19. Tomar A. and Sharma R. 2018 Some coincidence and common fixed point theorems concerning F-contraction and applications, Journal of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 8, 181-198.
- 20. Cosentino M. and Vetro P. 2014 Fixed point results for F-contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers type. Filomat, 28,4, 715–722.
- 21. Shahzad A., Shoaib A., Khammahwong K., Kumam P. 2019 New Ciric type rational fuzzy F-contraction for common fixed points, ECONVN, 809, 215-229
- 22. Butnariu, D.: Fixed point for fuzzy mapping. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 7, 191–207 (1982)
- 23. Raji M., and Ibrahim M.A., 2024. Fixed point theorems for fuzzy contractions mappings in a dislocated b-metric spaces with applications. Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science, 21, 1-13.
- 24. Vetro C., 2020. A Fixed-Point Problem with Mixed-Type Contractive Condition, Constr. Math. Anal., 3, 1, 45-52.
- 25. Acar O., Durmaz G., Minak G., 2023. Generalized multivalued F-contractions on complete metric spaces, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society 40 (6), 1469-1478.
- 26. Acar Ö., 2023. Some recent and new fixed point results on orthogonal metric-like space, Constr. Math. Anal., 6 3, 184-197.
- 27. Kadelburg Z., Radenovi'c S., 2018. Notes on Some Recent Papers Concerning F-Contractions in b-Metric Spaces, Constr. Math. Anal., 1, 2, 108-112