

# Review of: "Reconciling Afterlife Concepts of Major Religions Through the Cosmological Model of a Cyclic Universe"

Ana Da Costa Polonia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro Universitário Euroamericano

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Observations:

(a) Summary: The text lacks its objective, remove the repetition of authors, and transform the wording because it is confusing. Review the section '...the authors believe...' (those who produced the article?).

(b) Keywords: Review public health and professional caregivers because there is not enough discussion to include them.

(c) Textual production: Absence of references in some passages, for example, parts that talk about Egypt and Greece, Judaism, Edwin Hubble; thus, adjust the gaps.

(d) Conclusions: They need to be written in textual form, as the way they are presented impoverishes and limits reflection and does not allow for the articulation between similar and different ideas. Item 7 familiarity can be useful for professional groups, but it does not guide or indicate the contribution.

## Contributions

(a) Considerations on the concepts of soul and the possible dialogue between religion and science. Remember that there is an area called the science of religion.

(b) Axis of discussion: cosmological theories and approaches to Spinoza's thought.

(c) In an embryonic way, I discussed how the issue of spirituality contributes to ethical and moral behavior in the social space. It highlights the role of collective rituals associated with beliefs that can be aspects for dealing with critical situations related to illness, death, and reflection on human existence.