

Review of: "Physiological Adaptation to Altitude: A Comparison of Fast and Slow Ascents to 5,300 m Above Sea Level"

Roberto W. Dal Negro

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is clear and well-written. Several authors had faced the same topic in the past, including Italian authors who investigated several aspects of the Everest ascent (see in PubMed papers by Cogo A. et al., also published in 2011 and 2014 in Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine).

In the present paper, respiratory conditions were not investigated, and respiratory changes were not mentioned. It is a relevant limitation of the paper. Can you implement your present data?

R. W. Dal Negro

Qeios ID: XM9RZU · https://doi.org/10.32388/XM9RZU