#### **Open Peer Review on Qeios**

# Evaluating the Impact of Nutritional and Socioeconomic Factors on Cognitive and Academic Performance in age 6-13 years

#### Shanza Mukhtar<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Faisalabad

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work. Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

#### Abstract

Nutritional and socioeconomic status is a critical health concern in childhood due to its direct impact on cognitive and academic performance. This study aimed to examine the relationship between nutritional status, cognition, and socioeconomic factors among schoolchildren in different regions of Faisalabad, Pakistan. The study included 225 students aged 6-11 years from both urban and rural areas. Anthropometric measurements and z-scores for weight-for-age, height-for-age, and BMI-for-age were calculated using WHO growth standards. Socioeconomic status and dietary history were assessed through a general questionnaire, food frequency questionnaire, and food group records. Cognitive and academic performance was measured using the ASER method, focusing on reading, math, and grade levels. Data analysis included both descriptive and inferential statistics.

The study found that 26% of the children were malnourished, with higher rates among older children. A significant 79.6% of the children were classified as middle class due to low income levels and large family sizes. Malnutrition was more prevalent among children whose parents had secondary rather than tertiary education. Over half of the children did not meet their daily requirements for cereals, fruits, and meat. Healthy children generally performed better academically, with 93% of them achieving A grades. The relationship between weight, height, and BMI varied by age. These findings suggest a strong association between nutritional status, socioeconomic factors, and the cognitive and academic performance of children. The study also revealed that many children had dietary intakes below the recommended levels.

#### Shanza Mukhtar<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Faisalabad, 38000, Punjab-Pakistan

\*Correspondence: <a href="mailto:shanzakhan11@yahoo.com">shanzakhan11@yahoo.com</a>

# Introduction

Nutritional status is an important public health concern all over the world for children. The "double burden" of malnutrition including under-nutrition and over-nutrition is now faced by developing world <sup>[1]</sup>, as the prevalence of overweight in children has increased 8.1-12.9% in boys and 8.4-13.4% in girls in 2013. The prevalence has also increased in developed countries 16.923.8% in boys and 16.2-22.6% in girls from 1980-2013. The burden of under-nutrition is also very high in developing countries, as almost 2 lac deaths in children has occurred due to protein energy malnutrition. Prevalent cases of iron deficiency anemia among children are almost 600 million in 2013, that's why it is the leading cause of years <sup>[2]</sup>.

Development of children is important concern for individual's cognitive achievement because it effects child's lifelong health, socioeconomic status (SES), and productivity. Cognitive and academic performances involve the mental processes of reading, reasoning, problems solving abilities and grade level of children. Poor cognitive achievement among children may ultimately lead to mental health issues, physical health, social development as well as peer relationship, that eventually later affect the quality of life <sup>[3]</sup>.

Several risk factors are linked with poor cognitive achievement among children including low socioeconomic status (SES) such as education level of both mother and father, micronutrient as well as macronutrient deficiencies, malnutrition specially protein energy malnutrition, stunting, poor quality food intake such as fruits and vegetables, unstimulating environment, infections in children and loss of hearing. These factors affect the mental health of a child, that's why they must be controlled because childhood is very crucial period for growth of brain and a child can face mental health problems later in his/ her life <sup>[4]</sup>.

Occupation, income and education typically characterize a multidimensional concept of socioeconomic status of an individual. Nutritional status, socioeconomic status and cognitive performance are interlinked with each other as their relation is greatly supported by outcomes of developing as well as developed world <sup>[5]</sup>. Cognitive and academic performances can also be assessed through nutritional status. Functioning of brain and development of nervous system requires a good nutrition. Cognitive and academic performance is effected in both under-nutrition and over-nutrition. Intelligence level of children can't be affected due to nutritional as well as socioeconomic status because hereditary is mainly responsible for intelligence. Intelligence is a complex combination of environmental impacts and genetic <sup>[6]</sup>. Childhood is an important period for physical growth and neural as well as psychologic development. Adequate nutrition, as the basis for growth and development in children, can decrease the occurrence of many infectious diseases in this stage of life by improving immunity and chronic diseases in adults' life. Additionally, promotion of a healthy diet is linked with ultimate benefits <sup>[7]</sup>. Malnutrition in Childhood stage decreases individual's income and social output in adulthood, and thus, induces overall socioeconomic improvement <sup>[8]</sup>. Nutritional status of a child is an indicator of socioeconomic improvement <sup>[8]</sup>. Nutritional status of a child is an indicator of socioeconomic improvement <sup>[8]</sup>. Nutritional status of a child is an indicator of socioeconomic improvement <sup>[8]</sup>. Nutritional status of a child is an indicator of socioeconomic improvement of a nation, thus it ensures that well-nourished and healthy children contribute to high quality human resources. In developing countries, there should be focused on public health awareness and improvement of food's quality at national level to achieve the desire nutritional status of children <sup>[9]</sup>.

Healthy eating is a source of growth as well as development of children as it participates in overall health. Health

behaviors and eating habits that are adopted by a child helps in determining his/her overall health which also effects later in life <sup>[10]</sup>. A healthy nutrition for a child is defined as the adequate intake of all the nutrients including macro- as well as micronutrients. However, high energy foods intake declines micronutrient consumption in diet. In other words, low nutritious and caloric dense foods are used to consumed by children now a day while high fiber and nutrient dense foods such as fruits and vegetables are not preferred which gives an unbalanced space to child's plate <sup>[11]</sup>. Fruits as well as vegetables consumption ensures the intake of adequate vitamins, minerals and high fiber which play beneficial role in nutritional status and prevention of chronic diseases as well as constipation among children <sup>[12]</sup>.

The purpose of current study was to assess the nutritional and socioeconomic status of school going children and their relation with cognitive and academic performances because children face problems in cognition and academic achievements in a developing country due to low nutritional status. Nutritional status of a child is affected by socioeconomic factors such as poor education level of parents, low income, and poor households.

# Materials and methods

#### Setting

This study conducted was quantitative which analyzed the nutritional status of both public and private school going children in urban and rural areas of Faisalabad. The data obtained included the age, height, weight, BMI, number of meals per day, sleep cycle, educational level of father, educational level of mother, number of siblings and number of family members, residential area, profession of mother, profession of father, monthly income of parents and food frequency from six food groups. According to the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, the population of Faisalabad District was estimated to be 8.29 million. Out of this, 4.91 million people live in the urban areas whereas, 3.38 million people reside in the rural areas. The Population of the Tehsil City and Tehsil Saddar were estimated to be 2.67 million and 1.05 million respectively. The schools were selected using the purposive stratified sampling technique.

## Study design

A total of 225 students from urban and rural areas were included in the study. The age group of the respondents was 6-11 years. The consent was obtained from the students, school administration and the parents using a structured consent form.

#### Outcome variables

The scores of students in reading and mathematics and the current grade level used as three dependent variables in the study. The tests for reading and mathematics were developed by Pratham, an Indian NGO, and are annually implemented in Pratham's Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) Survey throughout India. The existing survey was followed the same procedure as the ASER survey <sup>[13]</sup>.

## Explanatory variables

The main independent variables of nutritional assessment were anthropometric measurements. Anthropometric data (height as well as weight) was composed for every child from an expert evaluator. This data was used to measure mean and z-scores of height-for-age, weight-for-age and BMI-for-age based on WHO standards growth charts 2006 <sup>[14]</sup>, with the exclusion of weight-for-age for children 11 years old, which was measured by WHO growth standards UK <sup>[15]</sup>. The socioeconomic status of the respondents was assessed by asking about their residence number of siblings, number of family members, educational status of father, educational status of mother, profession of father, profession of mother and monthly income of parents. Dietary history was assessed by using food frequency questionnaire and food group's record.

#### Statistical analysis

Data collected from all schools including all parameters were recorded in a Microsoft Excel sheet. Descriptive statistics including the means, frequencies, percentages and standard deviations were used to characterize and summarize all the parameters of the study. Inferential statistics including the ANOVA, t-tests, chi-square tests, SPSS and reference value charts were used <sup>[16]</sup>.

## Results

#### **Descriptive statistics**

Age of students was categorized into three groups 6-7, 8-9, and 10-11 years. The average weight of 6-7, 8-9 and 10-11 was 22.35, 26.62 and 34.16 kg respectively. The weight of students increased with the age. The height of 6-7, 8-9 and 10-11 was 47.27, 50.98 and 54.94 inches respectively. The average BMI of children falls in normal ranges according to WHO standards. About 70% children in Faisalabad were healthy that had BMI with normal range and lie between 5 - <85<sup>th</sup> percentile. 11% had <5<sup>th</sup> percentile and remaining 14% had >85<sup>th</sup> percentile. The average child aged 6-11 years had completed grade three and had a reading score of 2.73, meaning the child was not able to read a paragraph but close to being able to read four words (Table 1). The average child was close to being able to solve simple subtraction with 3.45 math score. About 30.7% of children had three siblings and 26.7% had two. While 10.7% and 11.2% had four and more than four siblings respectively. More than 58% of students had 6-10 members in their families. Most of them lived in joint family. Around 30% fathers and 33.8% mothers of respondents attained primary or zero education which leads towards low economic level. 79.6% of respondents were assessed as middle class in Faisalabad. More than half of the children didn't meet their daily requirements of cereals, fruits and meet. 60% children meet their daily requirements of milk group.

| Table 1. Children aged 6-11 anthropometrics, outcome   |      |           |     |       |  |  |  |
|--|------|-----------|-----|-------|--|--|--|
| measures, and socioeconomic characteristics (N = 225). |      |           |     |       |  |  |  |
| Variables  | Obs. | Mean or % | S.D | Range |  |  |  |
| Explanatory variables                                  |      |           |     |       |  |  |  |

| Weight-for-age (WAZ)        |     |                  |      |      |
|-----------------------------|-----|------------------|------|------|
| 6-7 years                   |     | 22.35 (-0.1 SD)  | 4.13 |      |
| 8-9 years                   |     | 26.62 (-0.13 SD) | 5.3  |      |
| 10-11 years                 |     | 34.16 (-0.2 SD)  | 3.45 |      |
| Height-for-age (HAZ)        |     |                  |      |      |
| 6-7 years                   |     | 22.35 (0.14 SD)  | 2.4  |      |
| 8-9 years                   |     | 26.62 (0.09 SD)  | 2.63 |      |
| 10-11 years                 |     | 34.16 (-0.3 SD)  | 3.4  |      |
| BMI-for-age (BAZ)           |     |                  |      |      |
| 6-7 years                   |     | 15.3 (-0.3 SD)   | 1.81 |      |
| 8-9 years                   |     | 16.1 (-0.31SD)   | 3.4  |      |
| 10-11 years                 |     | 17.7 (-0.7 SD)   | 2.11 |      |
| Nutritional status          |     |                  |      |      |
| Healthy                     | 166 | 73.8%            |      |      |
| Obese                       | 12  | 5.3%             |      |      |
| Overweight                  | 21  | 9.3%             |      |      |
| Underweight                 | 26  | 11.6%            |      |      |
| Outcome variables           |     |                  |      |      |
| Reading level               |     | 2.73             | 1.55 | 1-5  |
| Math level                  |     | 3.45             | 1.29 | 1-5  |
| Academic grade level        |     | 3.29             | 1.79 | 1-6  |
| Control variables           |     |                  |      |      |
| Age                         |     |                  |      |      |
| 6-7                         | 87  | 38.6%            |      |      |
| 8-9                         | 69  | 30.7%            |      |      |
| 10-11                       | 69  | 30.7%            |      |      |
| Father's education          |     |                  |      |      |
| Primary or No               | 68  | 30.2%            |      |      |
| Secondary                   | 71  | 31.6%            |      |      |
| Tertiary                    | 86  | 38.2%            |      |      |
| Mother's education          |     |                  |      |      |
| Primary or No               | 76  | 33.8%            |      |      |
| Secondary                   | 61  | 26.2%            |      |      |
| Tertiary                    | 86  | 38.2%            |      |      |
| Income levels of parents    |     |                  |      |      |
| Low                         | 16  | 7.1%             |      |      |
| Middle                      | 179 | 79.6%            |      |      |
| High                        | 30  | 13.3%            |      |      |
| Number of siblings          |     | 3.51             | 1.59 | 0-7  |
| Number of family<br>members |     | 7.85             | 4.29 | 4-20 |

| Residence           |     |       |      |      |
|---------------------|-----|-------|------|------|
| Rural               | 112 | 49.7% |      |      |
| Urban               | 113 | 50.3% |      |      |
| Number of meals/day |     | 2.96  | 0.59 | 2-4  |
| Sleep cycle         |     | 8.78  | 1.14 | 6-11 |

# Multivariate analysis

Odds ratios for the ordered logit analysis, coefficients for the OLS analysis, and standard errors from the regression are presented in Table 2. Table 2 showed that about 32% of healthy children scored 5. Around 40% of malnourished children were not able to read or recognize at least four word from a paragraph. More than 50% of healthy students scored 4-5 in math. 29% and 16.5% of malnourished students got 1 and 2 scores respectively. 93% of children were healthy who got A grade. Around 20% malnourished children got F grade. The current study determined that nutritional status was greatly linked with cognition.

It was found that healthy children were more associated with higher reading and math level while obese were associated with lower scores. There was positive relationship among fathers' education and math and grades levels of children while the association between mothers' primary education and grades levels increased thrice than reading and math levels. There was negative association among current grade levels and all the food groups.

| Outcomes $\rightarrow$ | Reading lev | el            | Math level |               | Grade level           |               |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|--|
|                        | Odds ratio  | 95% CI        | Odds ratio | 95% CI        | Coefficients from OLS | 95% CI        |  |  |
| Age (Ref= G3)          |             |               |            |               |                       |               |  |  |
| G1                     | 0.466       | 1.84,<br>2.42 | 1.812      | 2.68, 3.2     | 0.258                 | 3.48,<br>4.19 |  |  |
| G2                     | 3.127       | 2.38,<br>3.13 | 3.816      | 3.02,<br>3.62 | 0.049                 | 2.97,3.81     |  |  |
| Nutritional Status     |             |               |            |               |                       |               |  |  |
| Healthy                | 0.460       | 2.25,<br>2.71 | 0.276      | 3.14,<br>3.54 | 1.024                 | 3.15, 3.7     |  |  |
| Obese                  | 3.904       | 4.3, 4.98     | 2.198      | 3.9, 5.01     | 0.001                 | 0.82,<br>1.91 |  |  |
| Overweight             | 1.110       | 3.91, 4.8     | 0.563      | 3.86,<br>5.08 | 0.390                 | 1.34,<br>2.66 |  |  |
| Father's Education     |             |               |            |               |                       |               |  |  |
| Primary                | 0.173       | 1.6, 2.14     | 1.966      | 2.73,<br>3.22 | 1.435                 | 3.91,<br>4.57 |  |  |
| Secondary              | 1.229       | 2.33,<br>3.05 | 0.941      | 2.97,<br>3.71 | 0.061                 | 2.69,<br>3.58 |  |  |
| Mother's Education     |             |               |            |               |                       |               |  |  |

**Table 2.** Adjusted models for the association between cognitive achievement and nutritional and socioeconomic status of children

| Primary         | 0.168 | 1.84,<br>2.35 | 0.029 | 2.84,<br>3.27 | 3.019 | 3.42,<br>4.07 |
|-----------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| Secondary       | 0.104 | 1.96,<br>2.76 | 0.005 | 2.66,<br>3.49 | 2.742 | 3.43,<br>4.46 |
| Income Level    |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| High            | 0.315 | 2.95,<br>3.97 | 0.209 | 3.17,<br>4.05 | 1.152 | 2.37,<br>3.58 |
| Low             | 1.205 | 2.18,<br>2.89 | 8.605 | 3.1, 3.66     | 0.040 | 3.09, 3.9     |
| Cereals Group   |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| 2 servings/day  | 0.005 | 1.44,<br>2.56 | 0.004 | 2.62,<br>3.57 | 0.651 | 3.43,<br>4.85 |
| 3 servings/day  | 0.057 | 2.24,<br>2.86 | 0.013 | 2.89,<br>3.38 | 1.160 | 3.07,<br>3.77 |
| 4 servings/day  | 0.063 | 2.09,<br>2.95 | 0.075 | 2.89,<br>3.67 | 1.224 | 3.15,<br>4.13 |
| Vegetable Group |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| 1 serving/day   | 2.087 | 1.39,<br>2.79 | 1.120 | 2.22,<br>3.96 | 0.054 | 2.96,<br>5.04 |
| 2 servings/day  | 1.833 | 2.18,<br>2.88 | 1.650 | 2.82,<br>3.41 | 0.073 | 3.0, 3.83     |
| 3 servings/day  | 2.237 | 2.43,<br>3.08 | 0.346 | 3.24,<br>3.75 | 0.306 | 2.97, 3.7     |
| Fruit Group     |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| 1 serving/day   | 0.054 | 2.21,<br>3.15 | 0.008 | 3.65, 4.2     | 0.542 | 2.68,<br>3.62 |
| 2 servings/day  | 0.009 | 2.12,<br>3.11 | 0.015 | 2.75,<br>3.66 | 0.089 | 2.3, 3.4      |
| 3 servings/day  | 0.005 | 3.56,<br>4.52 | 0.081 | 4.47,<br>4.97 | 0.164 | 1.19,<br>2.17 |
| 4 servings/day  | 0.067 | 3.9, 4.01     | 0.006 | 1.9, 2.0      | 0.024 | 3.9, 4.02     |
| Meat Group      |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| 0 serving/day   | 0.002 | 2.21,<br>2.73 | 3.810 | 3.13,<br>3.58 | 0.005 | 3.31,<br>3.94 |
| 1 serving/day   | 0.004 | 2.2, 3.01     | 2.207 | 3.01,<br>3.68 | 0.431 | 2.68,<br>3.52 |
| 2 servings/day  | 0.001 | 3.07,<br>3.96 | 2.415 | 3.23,<br>4.18 | 0.027 | 2.16,<br>3.47 |
| 3 servings/day  | 0.160 | 4.89, 5.0     | 0.065 | 4.89, 5.0     | 0.098 | 1.0, 1.05     |
| Milk Group      |       |               |       |               |       |               |
| 0 serving/day   | 3.494 | 2.13, 3.0     | 3.544 | 2.95,<br>3.77 | 0.265 | 2.91,<br>3.91 |
| 1 serving/day   | 2.309 | 2.08,<br>2.86 | 2.914 | 2.8, 3.5      | 0.494 | 2.83,<br>3.78 |
| 2 servings/day  | 3.317 | 1.99,<br>2.02 | 1.878 | 3.98,<br>4.02 | 0.636 | 3.41,<br>4.14 |
| 3 servings/day  | 3.693 | 3.68,<br>4.66 | 0.162 | 4.43,<br>4.81 | 0.044 | 1.22,<br>2.02 |
| Fat Group       |       |               |       |               |       |               |

| 1 serving/day  | 3.755 | 2.11,<br>3.08 | 0.947 | 2.94,<br>3.75 | 0.469 | 3.05,<br>4.14 |
|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| 2 servings/day | 1.012 | 2.08,<br>2.74 | 1.077 | 2.58,<br>3.21 | 0.500 | 3.53,<br>4.41 |
| 3 servings/day | 2.772 | 2.14,<br>2.89 | 3.205 | 3.11, 3.8     | 0.176 | 2.67,<br>3.57 |

There were associations among nutritional status and reading, math and grade levels of children shown in figure 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Figure 1 showed that healthy children were abler to read paragraph as compared to unhealthy. About 28% of healthy children scored 5. Around 40% of malnourished children are not able to read or recognize at least four word from a paragraph. The association among reading level and nutritional status of students is significant with each other.



Figure 1. Association among reading level and nutritional status of students

Figure 2 showed that more than 50% of healthy students scored 4-5 in math. 29% and 16.5% of malnourished students got 1 and 2 scores respectively. The ratio of healthy students was higher among those who solved division problems. The ratio of malnourished was higher among those who had 2nd and 3rd levels. The association among math level and nutritional status of students is significant with each other.



The results show that 93% of children were healthy who got A grade. Around 20% malnourished children got F grade. Figure 3 determined that nutritional status is greatly linked with cognition. There was significant association among the academic grade and nutritional status of the respondents.





# Discussion

The results of current study confirm the association between low nutritional as well as socioeconomic status and poor cognitive functioning in school going children of Faisalabad aged 6-11 years.

A study was done in Pakistan in 2012. The sample was taken from primary school children 5-12 years which shows that the mean for weight, height and BMI are 26.9 kg, 128.4 cm and 16.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> <sup>[17]</sup>. Another study was conducted in Karachi in 2016 among children aged 5-15 years which concluded that overall 1.5% children were underweight <sup>[18]</sup>. According to another cross-sectional study was done in an Indian Army School, the prevalence of underweight was 9.87%. there was a significant association among parental education, family size and nutritional status <sup>[19]</sup>. A research was carried out in Islamabad to assess the nutritional status of children aged 5-10 years. The study indicated that 35% of 6-7 years and 59% of 8-9 years were malnourished. Socioeconomic status (including family size and monthly income) was also greatly associated with nutritional status <sup>[20]</sup>.

According to United Nations 2017, about 40% of families have 6 or more people in Pakistan 201 $2^{211}$ . The current data analyses that only 38% of male acquired tertiary education and only 26% and 38% of female acquired secondary and tertiary education respectively in Faisalabad. According to the World Bank recent data, literacy rate of Pakistan in 2019 is 58% with about 70% of male and less than 46.5% of female ages 15 or above.

Those who took adequate macronutrients (met RDA's) performed better than those who didn't. The study concluded that nutritional intake and BMI are significantly associated with cognitive as well as academic achievement among children. The school performance is greatly affected by dietary intake of children <sup>[22]</sup>. Another study was conducted in a rural area of south India among children of 8-11 years. The results of that study indicated that underweight and stunted children were linked with lower scores of math, reading and grade level. It revealed that both acute and chronic malnutrition is linked with poor cognition and grade level of children and adequate nutrition is important for overall health <sup>[23]</sup>.

A research was conducted from Agha Khan University which reported low protein, fruit and vegetable intake among children. A low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet was associated with better growth and development in children. The importance of a balanced diet in children's nutrition a balanced diet that includes a variety of foods is essential for maintaining optimal nutritional status in children. Children who consumed a balanced diet that included a variety of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains had a better nutritional status compared to children who consumed a diet that was low in these foods <sup>[24]</sup>.

# Conclusion

Malnutrition in children is a significant public health issue worldwide, especially in low-income countries. This study found that the relationship among weight, height, and BMI varied by age. Malnutrition was more common among children from middle-class families and those whose parents had lower levels of education. Many children reported nutritional intakes below recommended levels, with over half not meeting their daily requirements for cereals, fruits, and meat. Children within a healthy weight range performed better academically than those who were underweight, overweight, or obese. These findings highlight the need for further research into the interconnected impacts of socioeconomic status and health,

as well as public and environmental factors that can improve the nutritional status and cognitive health of children.

# References

- 1. WHO: Obesity and overweight factsheet from the WHO. Health 2018.
- <sup>^</sup>Kyu HH, Pinho C, Wagner JA, Brown JC, Bertozzi-Villa A, Charlson FJ, Coffeng LE, Dandona L, Erskine HE, Ferrari AJ, Fitzmaurice C. Global and national burden of diseases and injuries among children and adolescents between 1990 and 2013: findings from the global burden of disease 2013 study. JAMA pediatrics. 2016 Mar 1;170(3):267-87.
- 3. <sup>^</sup>Christie D, Rashid H, El-Bashir H, Sweeney F, Shore T, Booy R, Viner RM. Impact of meningitis on intelligence and development: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2017 Aug 24;12(8): e0175024.
- <sup>^</sup>Poh BK, Lee ST, Yeo GS, Tang KC, Afifah N, Rahim A, Siti Hanisa A, Parikh P, Wong JE, Ng AL. Low socioeconomic status and severe obesity are linked to poor cognitive performance in Malaysian children. BMC public health. 2019 Jun;19(4):1-0.
- 5. <sup>^</sup>Kariman N, Ahmadi Doulabi M, Hajian S, Keshavarz Z, Rashidi Fakari F. Prediction of Child Development based on Social Determinants of Health: A Path Analysis. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2020 Aug 1;8(8):11761-74.
- <sup>^</sup>Wang T, Cao S, Li D, Chen F, Jiang Q, Zeng J. Association between dietary patterns and cognitive ability in Chinese children aged 10–15 years: evidence from the 2010 China Family Panel Studies. BMC public health. 2021 Dec;21(1):1-3.
- <sup>^</sup>Wells JC, Sawaya AL, Wibaek R, Mwangome M, Poullas MS, Yajnik CS, Demaio A. The double burden of malnutrition: aetiological pathways and consequences for health. The Lancet. 2020 Jan 4;395(10217):75-88.
- <sup>^</sup>Hawkes C, Ruel MT, Salm L, Sinclair B, Branca F. Double-duty actions: seizing programme and policy opportunities to address malnutrition in all its forms. The Lancet. 2020 Jan 11;395(10218):142-55.
- Yang ZY, Zhang Q, Yi ZH, Tao XU, Wang YY, Bo WC, Tang XJ, Yuan XL, Fang HY, Yan ZH, Pang XH. National Nutrition and Health Systematic Survey for Children 0–17 Years of Age in China. Biomedical and Environmental Sciences. 2021 Nov 1;34(11):891-9.
- <sup>^</sup>Larson N, Loth KA, Eisenberg ME, Hazzard VM, Neumark-Sztainer D. Body dissatisfaction and disordered eating are prevalent problems among US young people from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds: findings from the EAT 2010– 2018 study. Eating Behaviors. 2021 Aug 1; 42:101535.
- 11. <sup>^</sup>Amin SA, Yon BA, Taylor JC, Johnson RK. Impact of the National School Lunch Program on fruit and vegetable selection in northeastern elementary schoolchildren, 2012–2013. Public Health Reports. 2015 Sep;130(5):453-7.
- 12. <sup>^</sup>Khalid S, Williams CM, Reynolds SA. Is there an association between diet and depression in children and adolescents? A systematic review. British journal of nutrition. 2016 Dec;116(12):2097-108.
- 13. <sup>^</sup>Banerji R, Bhattacharjea S, Wadhwa W. The annual status of education report (ASER). Research in Comparative and International Education. 2013 Sep;8(3):387-96.
- 14. <sup>^</sup>World Health Organization. WHO Child Growth Standards and the Identification of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children: A Joint Statement by the World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund. 2006.

- 15. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition; Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Application of the WHO Growth Standards in the UK. Norwich: Stationery Office: 2007.
- 16. MALAYSIA MM. Department of Statistics, Malaysia. Change. 2014 Aug;2015.
- 17. <sup>^</sup>Mushtaq MU, Gull S, Mushtaq K, Abdullah HM, Khurshid U, Shahid U, Shad MA, Akram J. Height, weight and BMI percentiles and nutritional status relative to the international growth references among Pakistani school-aged children. BMC pediatrics. 2012 Dec;12:1-2.
- Ahsan S, Saleh Z, Sheikh SA, Fahim MF, Memon MS, Shakil S. Nutritional status of school going children of 5-15 years of age: urban slums scenario in Karachi, Pakistan. Biostatistics and Biometrics Open Access Journal. 2020;10(2):22-6.
- 19. <sup>^</sup>Mukherjee R, Chaturvedi S, Bhalwar R. Determinants of nutritional status of school children. Medical Journal Armed Forces India. 2008 Jul 1;64(3):227-31.
- 20. <sup>^</sup>Mian RM, Ali M, Ferroni PA, Underwood P. The nutritional status of school-aged children in an urban squatter settlement in Pakistan. Pak J Nutr. 2002;1(3):121-3.
- 21. <sup>^</sup>United Nations-Population Division. Household size and composition. 2017.
- 22. <sup>^</sup>Miller KM. Weighing in on the relationship between macronutrient intake, weight status, cognitive functioning, and academic performance in school-aged children. 2013.
- 23. Acharya Y, Luke N, Haro MF, Rose W, Russell PS, Oommen AM, Minz S. Nutritional status, cognitive achievement, and educational attainment of children aged 8-11 in rural South India. PloS one. 2019 Oct 9;14(10): e0223001.
- 24. <sup>^</sup>Khan DS, Das JK, Zareen S, Lassi ZS, Salman A, Raashid M, Dero AA, Khanzada A, Bhutta ZA. Nutritional Status and Dietary Intake of School-Age Children and Early Adolescents: Systematic Review in a Developing Country and Lessons for the Global Perspective. Frontiers in nutrition. 2022 Feb 2;8:1310.