

Review of: "Evaluation of Chemical Content and Phytochemical Composition of Yemeni Almond Cultivars"

Walid Zeghibib¹

¹ Université de Béjaia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

Please find my remarks.

1. The concentrations written in the abstract should be corrected as "mg gallic acid equivalent/g" and "mg Rutin equivalent/g"; you should also mention if you worked on "dry extract," "dry sample," or else.
2. Rewrite the last sentence in the abstract: "It is concluded from the study that Yemeni almonds are characterized by unique properties that promise multiple uses in the food and pharmaceutical industries and in the prevention and treatment of many diseases."
3. In the keywords, replace "nutrients contains" with "nutrient content" and remove "Yemen."
4. In the first paragraph of the introduction, it seems that there is a repetition in the beginning, and there is also a lot of futile information that can be dispensed with.
5. The second paragraph of the introduction: I noticed the same thing as previously.
6. Globally, your introduction is not well written; there is a lot of unnecessary information that can be discarded, and the paragraphs are not well presented.
7. Rewrite this: "Nine samples of almond seeds, each weighing 1 kg, were collected from each of the identified trees. They were kept in sterile polyethylene bags, then transported to the laboratory, peeled, and kept in sterile polyethylene bags at 4 °C until use, and they were transported to laboratories and preserved in the same way for chemical analysis. Almond seed samples were ground and preserved in sterile polyethylene vacuum-sealed bags in the dark at 4°C and used for analysis on the same day."
8. The unit should be corrected: "mL" is more correct than "ml."
9. Rewrite this: "This was indicated by Ruggeri et al. (1998), Sathe (1993), and Drogoudi et al. (2013), who indicated that the chemical composition plays an important role in the difference between almond samples in protein ratios."
10. There was no statistical analysis performed on Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5.
11. Main revision in discussion and conclusion parts.

Overall, the manuscript could be accepted after a major revision.