

[Open Peer Review on Qeios](#)

Determining Appropriateness of Antibiotic Therapy in Nursing Home Residents: A Review

Joseph Mylotte

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Abstract

Upwards of 70% of nursing home residents receive at least one course of antibiotics yearly but as many as 75% of these courses have been considered inappropriate. In response to this potential overprescribing of antibiotic nursing homes are now required to have an antimicrobial stewardship program to monitor and improve prescribing. However, determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in the nursing home setting has been a major challenge. The objectives of this review were to identify and review studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing homes with special focus on the criteria utilized for determining appropriateness. Of the 30 studies identified in the literature review, 50% utilized infection surveillance definitions that were not designed to assess appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in individual residents. There was also variation in the size of study populations, study design, and criteria for identifying the study population. These limitations not only make comparisons among studies problematic but also raise concerns about the validity of the findings regarding the level of appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing in nursing homes. Suggestions are provided for the design of future studies of antibiotic appropriateness in nursing homes that focus on standardizing the methodology to minimize the variation observed in the studies in this review.

Joseph M. Mylotte, M.D.

ORCID: 0000-0003-4728-4584

Professor Emeritus of Medicine

Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

State University of New York at Buffalo

Buffalo, NY

Corresponding author

Joseph M. Mylotte, M.D.

15 F Hickory Hill Rd

Williamsville, NY 14221

jmm702@gmail.com

[386-872-1402](tel:386-872-1402)

Key Words: Antibiotic Treatment, Appropriateness, Long-term Care, Nursing Home.

Introduction

In the past two decades there has been an increasing focus on evaluating the appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in the nursing home setting due to several factors. First, upwards of 70% of residents in nursing homes receive at least one course of antibiotics yearly^{[1][2][3][4][5]}. Second, as many as 75% of antibiotic prescriptions in nursing home residents have been considered inappropriate^{[6][7][8][9][10][11]}. Third, unnecessary antibiotic therapy can result in adverse drug reactions, *C. difficile* infection, and development of antibiotic resistance that can lead to increased healthcare costs, morbidity, and mortality^{[12][13]}. In response to these issues the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), in its recently published revision of the conditions of participation regarding infection control requirements, required long-term care facilities to have an antimicrobial stewardship program that includes protocols and monitoring for antibiotic use^[14]. To assist nursing homes in meeting CMS requirements the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed core elements for antimicrobial stewardship in nursing homes of which one component is Identifying inappropriate use of antibiotics and implementing interventions to improve use^[15].

However, determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in the nursing home setting has been a major challenge. An example of this challenge is identifying residents with symptomatic urinary tract infection (UTI) that has resulted in publication of multiple decision tools for the diagnosis and treatment of UTI in the nursing home setting^[16]. But these efforts are hindered by two factors: lack of a gold standard for the diagnosis of UTI in residents and no standardized criteria for the clinical diagnosis of this infection^[16]. Previously, considerable variability in definitions and methods for evaluating appropriateness in the hospital setting was identified that creates concerns about the results of studies evaluating appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^[17].

Therefore, the objectives of this review are: a) to identify and summarize the findings of studies that evaluated appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents; b) to evaluate the methodology utilized for determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in these studies; and c) to provide suggestions for the design of future studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in the nursing home setting.

Methods

A literature search was conducted using Google Scholar and Medline with Ovid Studies from January 1, 1980 to March 31, 2022 to identify studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents published in English. Search terms included nursing home, long-term care, antibiotics, antimicrobial use, appropriateness, and antimicrobial management in various combinations. References of included studies were searched for additional studies

not identified in the literature review. Letters to the editor, conference proceedings, commentaries, reviews, and studies that involved only surveillance for infection were excluded. Data abstracted from each study included: first author, year of the publication, country where the study was conducted, number of facilities studied, study years, study design, infections studied, criteria for inclusion into a study, and results. The author conducted all literature searches and abstracted all the data included in this study.

Following review of the studies meeting inclusion criteria, they were classified into the following categories:

1. Initial studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents conducted in the 1980s;
2. Studies that utilized surveillance definitions specifically designed for the nursing home setting to evaluate appropriateness^{[18][19]};
3. Studies that utilized criteria for initiation of antibiotics specifically designed for the nursing home setting to evaluate appropriateness^[20];
4. Studies that compared surveillance definitions^{[18][19]} and criteria for initiation of antibiotics^[20] to evaluate appropriateness; and
5. Studies published in the past 2 decades that utilized various other approaches to evaluate appropriateness.

Results

Initial studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents conducted in the 1980s (Table 1)

Initial studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents were conducted in the 1980s^{[21][22][23][24]}. Two studies published in the 1990s^{[23][24]} utilized data collected in the 1980s and are included in this group. Differences in methodology were identified among these 4 studies including variation in the number of study facilities, study design, and how infections were identified. Most importantly, there was variation in the criteria for appropriateness of antibiotic therapy. The variation in methodology in these 4 studies resulted in a wide range of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy for common infections: UTI 18-65%, lower respiratory infection (LRTI) 16-85%, and skin/soft tissue infection (SSTI) 13-59%. Therefore, it is difficult to determine if any of these studies provide an accurate assessment of the appropriateness of antibiotic treatment in nursing homes at the time the studies were conducted.

Table 1. Studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing homes using decision to treat criteria conducted in the 1980s

Author, year	Country	# Facilities	Study Years	Design	Infections Studied	Criteria for Infection Identification	Criteria for Appropriateness	Results
Zimmer, 1986 ^[21]	United States	42 nursing homes in New York State	1982	Point Prevalence survey of residents on antibiotic treatment	All infections treated with systemic antibiotic (N = 173)	Modification by authors of CDC criteria for hospital surveillance UTI 58% LRTI 19% SSTI 5% ? Site 14%	Expert panel developed minimum criteria for initiating antibiotic treatment	Percent appropriateness based on meeting minimum criteria: All infections 62% UTI 65% LRTI 85%
Jones, 1987 ^[22]	United States	2 nursing homes in Oregon	3 months in 1986	Retrospective chart review of residents receiving oral or parental antibiotic	Any infection treated with systemic antibiotic (N = 170)	Infection logs of infection control: UTI 52% LRTI 27% SSTI 15%	Expert panel used prior publications ^{26, 27} to evaluate appropriateness	Appropriateness of initial treatment: 49% appropriate 42% inappropriate 9% unjustified Appropriateness by site of infection: UTI 57% LRTI 50% SSTI 31%
Warren, 1991 ^[23]	United States	52 nursing homes in Maryland	Feb 1985-	Longitudinal surveillance of 3,899	Surveillance for: UTI, LRTI,	2 methods of identifying infection:	Minimum criteria for diagnosis of	2,120 residents treated (54% of residents) with
			Jan 1986	residents for infection	SSTI, FUO, URI	Prescriber diagnosis (53%), or chart review for signs/sx reviewed by expert panel (43%); or no diagnosis (4%)	infection developed by panel of 10 physicians	4,462 antibiotic courses <u>% Infections (%Appropriate)</u> UTI 36% (18%) LRTI 16% (4%) SSTI 17% (13%) FUO 6% (2%)
Montgomery 1995 ^[24]	Canada	100 facilities in Manitoba province	Fiscal 1986-87	Retrospective chart review of residents on antibiotic treatment	All infections	20% probability sample of antibiotic prescriptions (N = 979 prescriptions)	Minimum criteria of Zimmer et al ²¹ (no. (% of total prescriptions) UTI 256 (26%) SSTI 253 (26%) LRTI 211 (23%)	% Appropriateness of treatment course by infection site: UTI 31% SSTI 59% LRTI 87% 57% of all courses met criteria for appropriateness

Abbreviations: CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; UTI = urinary tract infection; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; SSTI = skin/soft tissue infection; FUO = fever of unknown origin; URI = upper respiratory infection; dx =

diagnosis; signs/sx= signs/symptoms

Studies that utilized surveillance definitions for infection specifically designed for the nursing home setting as criteria for appropriateness (Table 2)

Surveillance definitions for infections specifically designed for nursing homes were first published in 1991 (McGeer criteria)^[18] and revised in 2012 (“revised McGeer criteria”)^[19] with improvements in the specificity and positive predictive value of criteria for UTI and pneumonia^[25]. It was explicitly stated in the revision that because of the specificity of the criteria they may not be adequate for use as diagnostic criteria for real-time diagnosis of infection and decision to initiate antibiotic therapy.

Utilization of the McGeer criteria^[18]

Four studies were identified that utilized the McGeer criteria^[18] to assess appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^{[6][26][27][28]}. There was variation in study design, and the infections studied among the four studies. The number of antibiotic courses evaluated in 3 studies was large: 662^[28], 988^[27], and 1,602^[26] whereas only 172 episodes were included in a study of UTI^[6]. In 3 studies, appropriateness of treatment based on the McGeer criteria^[18] was 49%^[26], 60%^[27], and 37%^[28]. In the study of UTI^[6], only 15% (26/172) of episodes met McGeer criteria^[18]. In 3 studies^{[26][27][28]} the authors noted the limitation of using the McGeer criteria^[18] for determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy.

Utilization of the revised McGeer criteria^[19]

Four studies were identified that utilized the revised McGeer criteria^[19] to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^{[29][30][31][32]}. The design of 3 studies^{[29][30][31]} was retrospective and the fourth^[32] was a randomized control trial (RCT) of an intervention to reduce antibiotic use in nursing. The infections evaluated in these 4 studies varied: pneumonia^[29], UTI^{[30][31]}, and all infections^[32]. The study population was small in all 4 studies. Inclusion criteria varied: chart documentation of infection^[29], residents with a urine culture^[30], treatment of presumed UTI^[31], and antibiotic treatment for any infection^[32]. In the pneumonia study^[29], based on chart documentation of infection, the revised McGeer criteria was fulfilled in 84/108 episodes (78%). Excluding the study of pneumonia^[29], in 3 studies^{[30][31][32]} that utilized different methodology for determining the study population, a low percentage of episodes met revised McGeer criteria for appropriateness of treatment (918%).

The validity of the 8 studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy determined by application of the McGeer^[18] or the revised McGeer criteria^[19] is a concern. First, there was variation in the criteria for determining the study population illustrated by the 5 studies evaluating treatment of UTI: identification of infection by an infection control nurse^[26]; residents with a positive urinalysis^[6]; suspected infection by nursing staff^[28]; residents with a urine culture^[30]; and, infection logs indicating treatment^[31]. Second, 5 of the 8 studies had a retrospective design. The lack of chart documentation of signs and symptoms of infection noted in one retrospective study^[30] illustrates the difficulty of evaluating appropriateness of

therapy using this design. Lastly, the revised McGeer criteria^[19] were utilized despite the statement in the paper describing these criteria that they were not designed for clinical diagnosis of infection.

Table 2. Studies that utilized surveillance definitions specifically designed for the nursing home setting^{[18][19]} as decision to treat criteria to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy

Author, year	Country	# Facilities	Study Years	Design	Infections Studied	Criteria for Inclusion in study	Results
Studies that utilized the original McGeer criteria^[18]							
Loeb 2001 ^[26]	Canada	22 nursing homes; 2,408 residents; 9,373 antibiotic courses	Nov 96-Oct 97	Prospective	LRTI, UTI, SSTI	1,602 antibiotic courses for LRTI, UTI, and SSTI based on ICP chart review	Overall, 49% of antibiotic courses met criteria for treatment Appropriateness of treatment by infection: LRTI 58% UTI 28% SSTI 65%
Rotjanapan 2011 ^[6]	United States	2 nursing homes in Rhode Island	June-Nov 2008	Retrospective chart review	UTI	Review of 172 charts of residents without foley who had positive urinalysis	Overall, 15% (N = 26) met McGeer criteria ^[18] for UTI and all were treated with an antibiotic Of the 85% (N = 146) not meeting criteria, 70 (41%) received treatment; no hospitalizations or deaths occurred in those not treated
Stuart 2012 ^[27]	Australia	5 nursing homes	July 2009August 2011	Retrospective review of infection control treatment logs	All infections	988 Antibiotic courses during the study period	Overall, 596 (60%) antibiotic courses met McGeer criteria ^[18] Among the 5 facilities, the range for meeting criteria was 57-70%.
Lim 2012 ^[28]	Australia	4 nursing homes	2009-2010	Prospective evaluation of suspected infection by the ICP	All infections	662 episodes of suspected infection by staff and had antibiotic treatment	415 (37%) episodes of suspected infection met McGeer criteria ^[18] for treatment Appropriateness of treatment courses by infection: LRTI 33% UTI 49% SSTI 33%
Studies that utilized the revised McGeer criteria^[19]							
Zimmerman 2016 ^[29]	US	16 nursing homes in North Carolina	JulDec 2013	Retrospective review of 1,089 records	Pneumonia	3 criteria for pneumonia: 1. diagnosis in chart; 2. revised McGeer criteria ^[19] ; 3. treated with antibiotic used for pneumonia	Overall, 108 of 1,089 (9.9%) cases met one of the diagnostic criteria # cases /1000 RCD varied by criterion: Clinical diagnosis: 0.71/1000 Revised McGeer: 0.56/1000 Antibiotic prescribed: 0.32/1000 Clinical diagnosis identified 107/108 (99%) Revised McGeer identified 84/108 (78%) Antibiotic identified 47/108 (44%) Conclusion: Written documentation of diagnosis of pneumonia identified 99% of cases identified by any of the 3 criteria
		31				Chart review by	46 (18%) of 254 residents met revised McGeer criteria ^[19] for UTI; 19 (41%) of

Sloane 2017 ^[30]	United States	nursing homes in North Carolina	Nov 2014Mar 2015	Retrospective review of charts (N = 254)	UTI	research staff of a random selection of residents with urine cultures	46 started on empiric treatment; Of 178 residents not started on empiric treatment, 111 (62%) had a positive urine culture; 14 (13%) of the 111 met revised McGeer criteria ^[19] for UTI									
Khatri 2021 ^[31]	Australia	6 nursing homes	March, April, May 2019	Retrospective audit of antibiotic treatment of presumed UTI	UTI	Infection logs indicating treatment for UTI (N = 74)	Applying revised McGeer criteria ^[19] : 10 (13%) met clinical and micro criteria 15 (20%) met clinical criteria only 34 (46%) met micro criteria only (ASB) 15 (20%) no criteria met 66% of those treated did not meet clinical criteria									
Fleet 2014 ^[32]	United Kingdom	30 nursing homes in London	Jan 2010May 2011	Cluster RCT of an intervention to reduce antibiotic use in NHs	All infections	PPS of antibiotic treatment in intervention and control groups	% Episodes meeting McGeer ^[18] and revised McGeer criteria ^[19] before (N = 139) and after intervention (N = 135) in intervention group: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Before</th> <th>After</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>McGeer</td> <td>9.4 %</td> <td>11.1 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Revised McGeer</td> <td>9.4%</td> <td>10.4%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Before	After	McGeer	9.4 %	11.1 %	Revised McGeer	9.4%	10.4%
	Before	After														
McGeer	9.4 %	11.1 %														
Revised McGeer	9.4%	10.4%														

Abbreviations: UTI = urinary tract infection; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; SSTI = skin/soft tissue infection; U/A = urinalysis; ICP = infection control preventionist; micro = microbiologic; ASB = asymptomatic bacteriuria; RCT = randomized controlled trial; PPS = point prevalence survey

Studies that utilized the Loeb criteria^[20] to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents (Table 3)

An expert panel developed minimum criteria for initiating antibiotic treatment in nursing home residents with suspected infection (“Loeb criteria” or “Loeb minimum criteria”)^[20]. In the description of these criteria, a clear distinction was made between surveillance definitions for infection and minimum criteria for initiating antibiotic therapy. It was also noted that non-localizing signs (change in mental status, falls, decreased appetite, etc.) were not included in the criteria because of poor specificity even though they may occur with infection in residents. More recently, Hughes et al^[33] in the United Kingdom published guidelines for the identification of UTI, LRTI, and SSTI in nursing home residents based on a revision of the Loeb criteria^[20]. The important revision to the criteria was that non-specific signs and symptoms (fever, change in behavior, falls, etc.) by themselves could initiate an evaluation for infection. Because this guideline was recently published, no studies evaluating its use were identified in the literature review.

Utilization of the Loeb criteria^[20] (Table 3)

Six studies were identified that utilized the Loeb criteria^[20] to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^{[11][34][35][36][37][38]}. There was variation in study design, infections evaluated, and size of study population among these 6 studies. In 3 studies of UTI^{[35][36][37]} inclusion criteria varied but the percentage of cases that met Loeb criteria^[20] was low: 16%^[35], 8%^[36], and 17%^[37]. In the 2 studies that evaluated treatment of UTI, LRTI, and SSTI^{[11][34]}, the findings regarding meeting Loeb criteria^[20] differed: 12.7%^[34] and 53%^[11]. In the study of all infections^[38], 41% of antibiotic prescriptions met Loeb criteria^[20]. Overall, of the 6 studies that utilized the Loeb criteria to

assess appropriateness of antibiotic therapy, only one^[11] had a level of appropriateness > 50%. All 6 studies provided appropriateness levels for UTI that ranged from 8-44%.

Table 3. Studies that utilized the Loeb criteria^[20] as decision to treat criteria to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents

Author, year	Country	# Facilities	Study Years	Design	Infections Studied	Criteria for Inclusion in study	Results
Olsho 2013 ^[34]	United States	12 nursing homes in North Carolina	Mar-May 2011	Retrospective chart audit of residents on antibiotic treatment (N = 653 prescriptions)	UTI, LRTI, SSTI	Antibiotic treatment for UTI, LRTI, or SSTI	Overall, only 12.7% of all prescriptions met Loeb criteria ^[20] Loeb criteria met by infection: UTI 10.2% LRTI 1.9% SSTI 42.7%
D'Agata 2013 ^{[35]*}	United States	25 nursing homes in MA	Sept 2009-Nov 2011	Prospective study of 266 residents with advanced dementia	UTI	Suspected UTI based on chart report of UTI by MD, NP, PA, or nurse	72 (27%) of 266 residents had 131 suspected UTIs (10 with UC) 21 (16%) of 131 episodes met Loeb criteria ^[20] for treatment 15 of 21 episodes that met criteria had a positive U/A and culture
Doernberg 2015 ^[36]	United States	3 nursing homes in California	Sept 2011-May 2012	Quasiexperimental study to assess an intervention to improve treatment of UTI	UTI	Documentation of treatment for UTI by infection control and in medical record	104 of 183 treated UTI episodes during the intervention period were evaluated for initial appropriate treatment
							Only 8% of 104 met Loeb criteria ^[20] for initiating antibiotic treatment
Kistler 2017 ^[37]	United States	31 nursing homes in North Carolina	Nov 2014-Mar 2015	Retrospective chart review of random selection of residents treated for UTI (N = 260 cases)	UTI	Infection logs documenting treatment for UTI	Of 260 cases, 106 (41%) had at least 1 Loeb criteria ^[20] but only 43 (17%) met all criteria
Penney 2018 ^[38]	Canada	10 nursing homes in Newfoundland	Jan 2015-Jan 2016	Retrospective chart review of random selection of 448 antibiotic prescriptions	All infections	Residents on antibiotic treatment	Of 448 prescriptions, 41% met Loeb criteria ^[20] Loeb criteria met by infection: UTI 48/163 (29%) LRTI 62/140 (44%) SSTI 53/68 (78%)
Pulia 2018 ^[11]	United States	5 nursing homes in Wisconsin	Jan 2013=Sept 2014	Retrospective chart review of residents on antibiotic treatment	UTI, LRTI, SSTI	Treatment for UTI, LRTI, or SSTI or met sepsis criteria ^{[39][40]}	Of 640 prescriptions, 53% (N = 336) were appropriate Of 336 appropriate courses, 99 (29%) met sepsis criteria % appropriate by infection type: UTI (N = 324) 44% LRTI (N = 94) 48% SSTI (N = 29) 78%

* In this study mental status and rigor were added to the Loeb criteria²⁰ by the authors because of the limited ability of residents with advanced dementia to express other symptoms localizing to the urinary tract.

Abbreviations: UTI = urinary tract infection; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; SSTI = skin/soft tissue infection; MA = Massachusetts; NP = nurse practitioner; PA = physician assistant; UC = urinary catheter; U/A = urinalysis

Studies that compared the McGeer^{[18][19]} and Loeb criteria^[20] for assessing appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents (Table 4)

Seven studies were identified that compared the McGeer^{[18][19]} and Loeb^[20] criteria in the evaluation of the appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^{[41][42][43][44][45][46][47]}. There was variation in study design (prospective evaluation^{[41][42][47]}, retrospective chart review^{[43][44]}, and post hoc analyses of prospectively collected data^{[45][46]} and infections studied (UTI^{[41][45][46]}, pneumonia and UTI^[42], pneumonia only^[43], SSTI^[44], and UTI, LRTI, and SSTI^[47]). The variation in identification of the study population is demonstrated by the UTI studies (suspicion of UTI by a physician or nurse plus urinalysis and culture^[41], independent chart review verifying treatment for UTI^[45], and a clinical diagnosis of catheter-associated UTI^[46]) and the pneumonia studies (documented infection in the chart plus ≥ 5 days of antibiotic treatment^[42] and residents with a chest radiograph^[43]). Overall, with the exception of one study^[47], the study populations were relatively small.

Two studies^{[41][42]} compared the McGeer^[18], and Loeb criteria^[20] and in both studies most of the suspected infections did not meet either criterion. In the 5 studies^{[43][44][45][46][47]} that compared the revised McGeer criteria^[19] to the Loeb criteria^[20], appropriate treatment rates were consistently higher using the Loeb criteria. One study^[45] also utilized an algorithm developed by Crnich and Drinka^[48] to evaluate appropriateness of treatment of UTI. This algorithm was based partly on criteria published by Loeb et al^[20]. However, a unique aspect of this algorithm is the option to initiate empiric antibiotic therapy if there is a change in status of a resident associated with one or more specific “warning signs” (fever, rigors, delirium, or unstable vital signs) in the absence of localizing urinary signs and symptoms. The Crnich/Drinka criteria^[48] are the foundation of a recently published multicomponent toolkit to improve the diagnosis and management of UTI in nursing home residents^[49].

In 5 of the 7 studies the percentage of episodes that met Loeb criteria^[20] was consistently higher than for the revised McGeer criteria^[19]. However, interpretation of the findings of these studies is limited because infection was identified based on chart documentation^{[42][45][46]} or treatment with an antibiotic^{[44][47]} and there is no way to independently determine the validity of the diagnosis.

Table 4. Studies comparing the McGeer^{[18][19]} and Loeb criteria^[20] for the evaluation of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents.

Author, year	Country	# Facilities	Study Years	Design	Infections Studied	Criteria for Inclusion in study	Results
Juthani-Mehta 2007 ^[49]	United States	3 nursing homes in Connecticut	May 2005-April 2006	Prospective surveillance of 340 residents for suspected	UTI	Physician or nurse clinically suspected UTI and U/A and	100 residents suspected to have UTI; 43 (43%) had positive U/A and culture Operating characteristics of each criteria for positive U/A and culture: Sen Spcc PPV NPV

				UTI		UTI and SSTI and culture were done	McGeer ^[18] 30% 82% 57% 61% Loeb ^[20] 19% 89% 57% 59%															
Wang 2012 ^[50]	United States	15 nursing homes in Michigan	No dates stated	Prospective surveillance of residents for infection with and without indwelling devices	Pneumonia and UTI	Documented infection in chart plus ≥5 days of antibiotic treatment	146 pneumonia and UTI cases (72 with and 74 without a device); <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Device</th> <th>No Device</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total cases</td> <td>72</td> <td>74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N (%) McGeer^[18]</td> <td>8 (11)</td> <td>15 (20)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N (%) Loeb^[20]</td> <td>12 (17)</td> <td>10 (14)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N (%) Either</td> <td>13 (18)</td> <td>18 (24)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Device	No Device	Total cases	72	74	N (%) McGeer ^[18]	8 (11)	15 (20)	N (%) Loeb ^[20]	12 (17)	10 (14)	N (%) Either	13 (18)	18 (24)
	Device	No Device																				
Total cases	72	74																				
N (%) McGeer ^[18]	8 (11)	15 (20)																				
N (%) Loeb ^[20]	12 (17)	10 (14)																				
N (%) Either	13 (18)	18 (24)																				
Brown 2016 ^[51]	United States	31 nursing homes in North Carolina	Nov 2014March 2015	Retrospective chart review of 226 residents	Pneumonia	Residents with chest radiograph ordered	Of 226 CXR, 118 (52%) read as unlikely pneumonia; 67 (30%) highly likely, and 41 (18%) ambiguous N (%) of cases meeting criteria: Revised McGeer ^[19] 15 (7) Loeb ^[20] 68 (30)															
Feldstein 2017 ^[52]	United States	31 nursing homes in North Carolina	Sept 2014March 2015	Retrospective chart review	Skin/soft tissue	Treatment for SSTI based on logs provided by each NH	70% of treatment courses initiated in NH; 30% courses ordered in non-NH settings. N (%) cases meeting criteria: Revised McGeer ^[19] 40 (25) Loeb criteria ^[20] 77 (48)															
Eure 2017 ^[53]	United States	9 nursing homes in 4 states	Dec 2013May 2014	Post-hoc analysis of 1day point prevalence survey of treatment for UTI	UTI	Treatment determined to be for UTI by independent chart reviewers	On the survey day, 33 residents were on treatment for UTI 3 algorithms applied to determine appropriateness of treatment: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>N (% appropriate)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Loeb criteria^[20]</td> <td>10 (30)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Revised McGeer^[19]</td> <td>5 (15)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Crnich/Drinka^[56]</td> <td>15 (45)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		N (% appropriate)	Loeb criteria ^[20]	10 (30)	Revised McGeer ^[19]	5 (15)	Crnich/Drinka ^[56]	15 (45)							
	N (% appropriate)																					
Loeb criteria ^[20]	10 (30)																					
Revised McGeer ^[19]	5 (15)																					
Crnich/Drinka ^[56]	15 (45)																					
Armbruster 2017 ^[54]	United States	12 nursing homes in Michigan	May 2010- April 2013	Post-hoc analysis of CRT of 233 residents with UC	UTI	Residents with clinical diagnosis of CAUTI	182 cases of CAUTI with complete data N (%) cases meeting criteria: Loeb ^[20] 74 (40) Revised McGeer ^[19] 59 (32) Either criteria 91 (50)															
Uribe-Cano 2021 ^[55]	United States	5 nursing homes in Wisconsin	Jan 2013Sep 2014	Prospective evaluation of residents prescribed an antibiotic	UTI, LRTI, SSTI	Residents on treatment for UTI, LRTI, or SSTI	734 of 1,442 antibiotic courses were for: UTI (363), LRTI (206), and SSTI (165) N (%) of all cases meeting criteria: Loeb ^[20] 372 (52) Revised McGeer ^[19] 211 (29)															
							Either criteria 412 (56) N (%) cases meeting criteria by infection type: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Loeb^[20]</th> <th>Revised McGeer^[19]</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>UTI</td> <td>130 (36)</td> <td>100 (28)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LRTI</td> <td>123 (60)</td> <td>51 (25)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SSTI</td> <td>119 (72)</td> <td>60 (36)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Loeb ^[20]	Revised McGeer ^[19]	UTI	130 (36)	100 (28)	LRTI	123 (60)	51 (25)	SSTI	119 (72)	60 (36)			
	Loeb ^[20]	Revised McGeer ^[19]																				
UTI	130 (36)	100 (28)																				
LRTI	123 (60)	51 (25)																				
SSTI	119 (72)	60 (36)																				

Abbreviations: U/A = urinalysis; Rev Loeb = revised Loeb criteria;³⁸ Sen = sensitivity; Spec = specificity; PPV = positive

predictive value; NPV = negative predictive value; UTI = urinary tract infection; CAUTI = catheter-associated urinary tract infection; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; SSTI = skin/soft tissue infection; UC = urinary catheter; CXR = chest x-ray; CRT = cluster randomized trial

Studies published in the past 2 decades that utilized various other methods to evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents (Table 5)

Five studies published between 2008 and 2018 were identified that utilized other methods for defining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents that differed from other studies published during this time period^{[7][50][53][54][55]}. It is not clear in two studies^{[7][50]} why the authors decided to utilize a totally different approach for assessing appropriateness of treatment compared to previously published studies. In the other 3 studies, national^{[53][54]} or regional^[55] guidelines were used to assess appropriateness but these studies only have relevance to the countries in which the study was done.

Table 5. Studies published since 2000 that utilized various other criteria to assess appropriateness of antibiotic therapy

Author, year	Country	# Facilities	Study Years	Design	Infections Studied	Criteria for Inclusion in study	Criteria for Appropriateness	Results																		
Zabarsky 2008 ^[50]	United States	1 VA nursing home	Feb 2002-Oct 2004	Prospective study of an intervention to reduce abx rx of ASB	UTI	All residents included with or without urinary catheter	2 reviews of UTI in NHs ^{[51][52]}	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th># ASB</th> <th># (%) Rx</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ASB Rx Pre-Inter (68)</td> <td>34</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post-Inter 1-6 mo (69)</td> <td>26</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7-30 mo</td> <td>75</td> <td>33 (44)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		# ASB	# (%) Rx	ASB Rx Pre-Inter (68)	34	23	Post-Inter 1-6 mo (69)	26	18	7-30 mo	75	33 (44)						
	# ASB	# (%) Rx																								
ASB Rx Pre-Inter (68)	34	23																								
Post-Inter 1-6 mo (69)	26	18																								
7-30 mo	75	33 (44)																								
Peron 2013 ^[7]	United States	1 VA nursing home	Oct 2008-Mar 2009	Retrospective review of 100 randomly selected abx courses	All infections	Treated with an antibiotic	2 ID specialists determined if abx necessary using IDSA practice guidelines ^a	<p>Of 100 abx courses, 42 unnecessary;</p> <p>Of 58 necessary courses, part of regimen unnecessary in 22 (20 duration too long)</p>																		
van Buul 2015 ^[53]	Netherlands	10 nursing home	Jan 2012-Oct 2012	Prospective	UTI, RTI, SSTI	Form completed by NH physician for suspected infection	Algorithms developed by research team and expert panel based on Dutch guidelines and Loeb criteria ²⁰	<p>598 cases: UTI 356 (60%), RTI 208 (35%), SSTI 34 (5%)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>% RX Appropriate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>76%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UTI</td> <td>68%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RTI</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		% RX Appropriate	Overall	76%	UTI	68%	RTI	87%										
	% RX Appropriate																									
Overall	76%																									
UTI	68%																									
RTI	87%																									
Lemoine 2018 ^[54]	France	137 nursing homes in Hauts-de-France region	June-Dec 2015	Prospective	UTI	Residents ≥ 75 with dx of suspected UTI	2014 UTI guideline by French Infectious Diseases Society	<p>444 suspected UTI episodes based on MD diagnosis</p> <p>10% of suspected episodes met French guideline for UTI dx</p> <p>After reclassification of episodes using the French guideline, 79% of episodes were considered infections requiring treatment</p>																		
Lee 2018 ^[55]	Canada	7 nursing homes in Saskatchewan	May-July 2017	Prospective before/after intervention	UTI	Residents with positive urine culture	Local health region's diagnostic criteria for UTI ^b	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Pre-Inter</th> <th>Post-Inter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td># UC</td> <td>172</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td># (%) UC pos</td> <td>62 (36)</td> <td>50 (33)</td> </tr> <tr> <td># (%) pos UC with criteria</td> <td>12 (19)</td> <td>15 (30)</td> </tr> <tr> <td># (%) ASB</td> <td>50 (81)</td> <td>35 (70)</td> </tr> <tr> <td># (%) ASB Treated</td> <td>45 (90)</td> <td>22 (63)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Pre-Inter	Post-Inter	# UC	172	151	# (%) UC pos	62 (36)	50 (33)	# (%) pos UC with criteria	12 (19)	15 (30)	# (%) ASB	50 (81)	35 (70)	# (%) ASB Treated	45 (90)	22 (63)
	Pre-Inter	Post-Inter																								
# UC	172	151																								
# (%) UC pos	62 (36)	50 (33)																								
# (%) pos UC with criteria	12 (19)	15 (30)																								
# (%) ASB	50 (81)	35 (70)																								
# (%) ASB Treated	45 (90)	22 (63)																								

^a Infectious Diseases Society of America. [Accessed April 24, 2012] Standards, practice guidelines, and statements developed and/or endorsed by IDSA. Available at: <http://www.idsociety.org/Index.aspx>.

^b Appears to be a modification of the Loeb criteria²⁰ but not clearly stated in the paper

Abbreviations: abx = antibiotic; rx = treatment; ASB = asymptomatic bacteriuria; dx = diagnosis; Pre-inter = pre-intervention; PostInter = Post-Intervention; UTI = urinary tract infection; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; RTI =

respiratory tract infection; SSTI = skin/soft tissue infection; Complic = complicated; ASB = asymptomatic bacteriuria; UC = urine culture; pos = positive

Additional analysis of studies utilizing the McGeer, revised McGeer, and Loeb criteria

Of the 30 studies included in this review, 21 used the McGeer^[18], revised McGeer^[19], or Loeb criteria^[20] alone or in combination for determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents. Additional analysis was done to compare the results of utilizing these criteria by infection type (Table 6). For studies that evaluated appropriateness of treatment for UTI there was variability in the findings of each of the 3 criteria. This is demonstrated best in the 9 studies that utilized the Loeb criteria in which appropriateness varied from 8% to 44%. Overall, the analysis in Table 6 demonstrates the variation in the level of appropriateness of antibiotic treatment among the 3 criteria for various infections that may be related to the variation in methodology of these studies identified in this review.

Table 6. Comparison of the McGeer criteria^[18], revised McGeer criteria^[19], and Loeb criteria^[20] for determining appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in 21 studies in nursing home residents*

Infection (s) Studied	McGeer Criteria ^[18]	Revised McGeer criteria ^[19]	Loeb criteria ^[20]
UTI	28 ^[26] 15 ^[6] 51 ^[29]	18 ^[30]	10 ^[34] 29 ^[38]
		13 ^[31]	16 ^[35] 44 ^[11]
		15 ^[45]	8 ^[36]
		32 ^[46]	30 ^[45]
		28 ^[47]	17 ^[37] 40 ^[46]
			36 ^[47]
Pneumonia	ND	78 ^[29] 7 ^[43]	30 ^[43]
SSTI	65 ^[26] 33 ^[28]	25 ^[44]	43 ^[34]
		36 ^[47]	78 ^[38]
			78 ^[11]
			48 ^[44]
			72 ^[47]
LRTI	33 ^[28] 58 ^[26]	25 ^[47]	1.9 ^[34]
			44 ^[38]
			48 ^[11]
			60 ^[47]
LRTI, UTI, SSTI**	49 ^[26]	29 ^[47]	12.7 ^[34] 53 ^[11] 52 ^[47]
All Infections**	60 ^[27] 37 ^[28] 10.2 ^[32]	9.9 ^[32]	41 ^[38]

*Data are percent appropriate treatment [reference]

**Overall percentage appropriateness for infections in the study

ND = not done

Discussion

Review of the literature for the last 35 years identified 30 studies evaluating appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents. The findings can be summarized as follows. First, initial studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy were conducted in 1980s (Table 1) and utilized criteria for appropriateness developed by expert panels that resulted in a wide range of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy. Second, 15 (50%) of the 30 studies utilized infection surveillance definitions^{[18][19]} to assess appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents despite concerns that surveillance criteria were not appropriate for diagnostic and treatment decisions in individual residents with suspected infection^[19]. Third, the Loeb minimum criteria^[20] for initiating antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents were developed to potentially reduce unnecessary treatment. However, studies utilizing the Loeb criteria^[20] to assess appropriateness of antibiotic treatment demonstrated considerable variability in the findings (Table 6). In addition, there has been little evidence that the Loeb criteria has been utilized by clinicians to make prescribing decisions^[34]. Thus, the variation in methodology and results in the studies noted above, raise concern about their accuracy in assessing appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing in nursing home residents.

Surveillance definitions of infection^{[18][19]} and the Loeb criteria are based only on the presence of localizing signs and symptoms of infection. However, clinicians in the nursing home setting often prescribe antibiotics based solely on the presence of non-localizing signs or symptoms. This has been a particular concern in the diagnosis of UTI because non-specific signs and symptoms, e.g., results of a urinalysis, fever, falls, or change in mental status, are often the most common reason for considering this diagnosis^{[56][57]}. Non-localizing signs and symptoms are not part of the revised McGeer^[19] or Loeb criteria^[20] because of their lack of specificity. Nevertheless, given the frequency with which non-localizing signs and symptoms impact the decision to prescribe antibiotic therapy, there was previously consideration for including non-localizing signs and symptoms in criteria for initiating antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents^[58].

Recently, interest has reemerged regarding non-localizing signs and symptoms as manifestations of infection in nursing home residents specifically focused on the association between delirium and UTI^{[59][60][61][62]}. The basis for this focus is the long-standing dogma that an acute change in mental status is an atypical manifestation of UTI in nursing home residents^{[60][63][64]}. Three systematic reviews of the association between delirium and UTI in the elderly have been published^{[59][61][62]}. In a review of 5 studies an association between delirium and UTI was found but methodological flaws were identified resulting in the potential for bias^[59]. A review of 22 studies concluded there was insufficient evidence to confirm an association between delirium and UTI because of varying definitions of confusion and criteria for the diagnosis of UTI and lack of control of confounding factors^[61]. In a review of 29 studies evaluating the association between UTI and delirium considerable heterogeneity and significant potential for bias was identified but the authors concluded that the findings supported the association between delirium and UTI^[62]. However, only 3 studies in the latest review^[62] were included in the prior review^[61] and this could explain, in part, the differing conclusions of these two reviews. In this author's opinion, it remains unresolved regarding the association between delirium and UTI.

Despite the discordant findings regarding the association of confusion and UTI, the relationship between acute change in mental status and infection in nursing home residents has been recently evaluated from the infection surveillance perspective. This was the subject of a *post hoc* study of data collected as part of a prevalence survey of infections in 161 nursing homes in the United States^[65]. The revised McGeer criteria^[19] was utilized to identify infection and the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) was used to define an acute change in mental status^[66]. The CAM consists of 4 elements that must be present for the diagnosis of delirium: acute onset with fluctuating course; inattention; and either disorganized thinking or altered level of consciousness. The authors also evaluated what was termed “possible acute change in mental status” defined as documentation of any one of the 4 CAM elements. Charts of 15,276 residents were reviewed and none met the full CAM criteria for acute mental status change but 296 (1.9%) residents had at least one element of the CAM documented. The prevalence survey identified 161 infections using the revised McGeer criteria^[19] and adding the “possible acute change in mental status” criterion identified an additional 21 infections. The validity of incorporation of the “possible acute mental status change” criterion in the revised McGeer criteria^[19] for surveillance of nursing home infection requires further study. Nevertheless, these recent studies indicate that more importance is being placed on nonlocalizing signs and symptoms as potential manifestations of infection in the elderly.

The focus on non-localizing signs and symptoms as indicators of infection in nursing home residents has been supported by a recent review the objective of which was to identify specific non-localizing signs and symptoms that, when present by themselves, should result in an investigation for infection in nursing home residents^[67]. After an extensive literature review, the authors recommended the following non-localizing signs or symptoms for consideration as indicators of possible infection in nursing home residents: fever, hypothermia, hypotension, new-onset hyperglycemia, and delirium. According to the report, the findings will be used as “the foundation for an update to the Loeb minimum criteria”.

This paper has focused on studies evaluating appropriateness of *initiating* antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents with suspected bacterial infection. However, it is important to emphasize that there are other components of the process of assessing appropriateness of antibiotic therapy that need to be considered including choice of antibiotic, dosing of an antibiotic in an elderly population, and duration of therapy. Of these factors, duration of therapy has been most extensively studied. Studies conducted in the past 20 years verify that short course treatment of pneumonia, UTI, and SSTI is effective and safe^[68]. Studies in nursing home residents have identified excessively long durations of antibiotic treatment that should be a target for antibiotic stewardship programs^{[69][70][71]}.

Appropriateness of antibiotic therapy may also be influenced by non-clinical factors such as provider-, resident-, family-, and facility-level factors but there has been minimal evaluation of these issues to date. A recent study evaluated facility-level antibiotic use rates in a large cohort of U.S. nursing homes and facility-level characteristics that correlated with these rates^[70]. This study found considerable variability in antibiotic use among 1,664 nursing homes in 2016 and the following facility-level characteristics were correlated with higher antibiotic use: proportion of short-stay (≤ 100 days) residents $\geq 75\%$, for-profit ownership, proportion of residents with low cognitive performance scale $\geq 50\%$, proportion of long-stay residents with pressure ulcers $\geq 5\%$, and at least 1 resident on a ventilator. However, this model explained only 24% of the variability in facility-level antibiotic use rates. Nevertheless, this study identified short-stay residents as a target for antimicrobial stewardship interventions.

Despite the limitations of the studies identified in this review, they can inform the development of future studies of

appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents. First, since surveillance definitions were not designed for making antibiotic treatment decisions in individual residents, they should not be used for evaluating appropriateness of antibiotic therapy. Second, how the study population is determined to assess appropriateness needs to be standardized, e.g., in this review, treatment with a systemic antibiotic was used in 15 studies and prescriber diagnosis of infection in 7 studies. Third, studies should be conducted prospectively. Reliance on retrospective analysis of medical records has demonstrated that the lack of documentation of signs and symptoms of infection makes it difficult to utilize the Loeb criteria^[20]. Fourth, criteria for the clinical diagnosis of common infections in the nursing home setting needs to be standardized. The planned revision of the Loeb criteria^[67] may fulfill the need for standardized criteria for the clinical diagnosis of infection but will require validation studies before it is utilized for this purpose.

In summary, although many of the studies reviewed in this paper identified low rates of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents, these studies have considerable variation in methodology that limit interpretation of the findings. This variation is a major reason to standardize the design of future studies of appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing home residents. However, there are additional issues that need to be addressed. First, when evaluating appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in nursing homes, a distinction should be made between residents admitted for post-acute care and those on longterm care because the rate of antibiotic use in the post-acute population is greater^[70]. Second, the criteria for evaluating appropriateness need to be effectively disseminated to nursing home practitioners and staff. One cannot reliably evaluate appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing using a specific set of criteria unless practitioners and staff are aware of the criteria and that antibiotic use will be evaluated using these criteria. Third, the planned revision of the Loeb criteria^[67] provides the opportunity to standardize the overall approach to evaluating the diagnosis and treatment of infection in the nursing home setting. Lastly, further study of the association of provider-, resident-, family-, and facility-level factors with antibiotic use in nursing home residents will be important to account for these factors when assessing appropriateness of antibiotic treatment in this population.

Acknowledgements

The author participated in 2 studies referenced in this paper (references^[19] and ^[20]).

Additional References

- McElligott M, Welham G, Pop-Vicas A, Taylor L, Crnich CJ. Antibiotic stewardship in nursing facilities. *Infect Dis Clin NA* 2017;31:619-638.

References

1. [^]Nicolle LE, Bentley DW, Garibaldi R, Neuhaus EG, Smith PW; SHEA Long-Term Care Committee. *Antimicrobial use in long-term care facilities. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000;21(8):537-545.

2. [^]D'Agata E, Mitchell SL. Patterns of antimicrobial use among nursing home residents with advanced dementia. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:357-362.
3. [^]Vergidis P, Hamer DH, Meydani SN, Dallal GE, Barlam TF. Patterns of antimicrobial use for respiratory tract infections in older residents of long-term care facilities. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59:1093–1098.
4. [^]van Buul LW, van der Steen JT, Veenhuizen RB et al. Antibiotic use and resistance in long term care facilities. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2012;13:568.e1-13.
5. [^]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *The core elements of antibiotic stewardship for nursing homes*. Atlanta, GA: Department of Health and Human Services; CDC; 2014.
6. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g}Rotjanapan P, Dosa D, Thomas KS. Potentially inappropriate treatment of urinary tract infections in two Rhode Island nursing homes. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171:438–43.
7. ^{a, b, c, d}Peron EP, Hirsch AA, Jury LA, et al. Another setting for stewardship: high rate of unnecessary antimicrobial use in a Veterans Affairs long-term care facility. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013;61:289–290.
8. [^]Nace DA, Drinka PJ, Crnich CJ. Clinical uncertainties in the approach to long-term care residents with possible urinary tract infection. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:133e9.
9. [^]Lim CJ, Kong DCM, Stuart RL. Reducing inappropriate antibiotic prescribing in the residential care setting: current perspectives. *Clin Interv Aging* 2014;9:165–177.
10. [^]Dumyati G, Stone ND, Nace DA, Crnich CJ, Jump RL. Challenges and strategies for prevention of multidrug-resistant organism transmission in nursing homes. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2017;19:18.
11. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j}Pulia M, Kern M, Schwei RJ, Shah MN, Sampene E, Crnich CJ. Comparing appropriateness of antibiotics for nursing home residents by setting of prescription initiation: A cross-sectional analysis. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2018;7:74.
12. [^]Daneman N, Bronskill SE, Gruneir A, et al. Variability in antibiotic use across nursing homes and the risk of antibiotic-related adverse outcomes for individual residents. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175:1331-1339.
13. [^]Mody L, Crnich C. Effects of excessive antibiotic use in nursing homes. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175:1339-1341.
14. [^]Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2016). *Reform of requirements for long-term care facilities: Final rule (CMS-3260-P)*, 2016. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/10/04/2016-23503/medicare-and-medicicaid-programs-reform-of-requirements-for-long-term-care-facilities>
15. [^]CDC. *The Core Elements of Antibiotic Stewardship for Nursing Homes*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2015. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/longtermcare/index.html>.
16. ^{a, b}Mylotte JM. Decision tools and studies to improve the diagnosis of Urinary tract infection in nursing home residents: A narrative review. *Drugs Aging* 2021;38:29-41.
17. [^]Spivak ES, Cosgrove S, Srinivasan A. Measuring appropriate antimicrobial use: Attempts at opening the black box. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;63:1639-1344.
18. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z}McGeer A, Campbell B, Emori TG, et al. Definitions of infection for surveillance in longterm care facilities. *Am J Infect Control*1991 Feb 1;19(1):1-7.
19. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^}Stone ND, Ashraf MS, Calder J, et al. Surveillance definitions of infections in long-term care facilities: Revisiting the McGeer criteria. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012

Oct;33(10):965-77.

20. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#), [j](#), [k](#), [l](#), [m](#), [n](#), [o](#), [p](#), [q](#), [r](#), [s](#), [t](#), [u](#), [v](#), [w](#), [x](#), [y](#), [z](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#), [^](#) Loeb M, Bentley DW, Bradley S, et al. Development of minimum criteria for the initiation of antibiotics in residents of long-term-care facilities: results of a consensus conference. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2001 Feb;22(2):120-4.
21. [a](#), [b](#) Zimmer JG, Bentley DW, Valenti WM, Watson NM. Systemic antibiotic use in nursing homes: A quality assessment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1986;34:703-710.
22. [a](#), [b](#) Jones SR, Parker DF, Liebow ES, Kimbrough RC, Frear RS. Appropriateness of antibiotic therapy in long-term care facilities. *Am J Med* 1987;83(3):499–502.
23. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#) Warren JW, Palumbo FB, Fitterman L, Speedie SM. Incidence and characteristics of antibiotic use in aged nursing home patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:963-972.
24. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#) Montgomery P, Semenchuk M, Nicolle LE. Antimicrobial use in nursing homes in Manitoba. *J Geriatr Drug Ther* 1995;9:55-74.
25. [^](#) Moro ML. A significant step forward: new definitions for surveillance of infections in longterm care. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2012 Oct;33(10):978-80.
26. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#), [j](#) Loeb M, Simor AE, Landry L, et al. Antibiotic use in Ontario facilities that provide chronic care. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:e376-e383.
27. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#) Stuart RL, Wilson J, Bellaard-Smith E, et al. Antibiotic use and misuse in residential aged care facilities. *Intern Med J* 2012;42:e1145-e1149.
28. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#) Lim CJ, McLellan SC, Cheng AC, et al. Surveillance of infection burden in residential aged care facilities. *Med J Aust* 2012;196:327e331.
29. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#) Zimmerman S, Cohen LW, Scales K, et al. Pneumonia identification using nursing home records. *Res Gerontol Nurs* 2016;9:109-114.
30. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#) Sloane PD, Kistler CE, Reed D, Weber DJ, Ward K, Zimmerman S. Urine culture testing in community nursing homes: Gateway to antibiotic overprescribing. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2017;38:524-531.
31. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#) Khatri D, Burrows J. Assessment and management of urinary tract infections in aged care facilities. *Aus J Ageing* 2021;40:58-65.
32. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#) Fleet E, Gopal Rao G, Patel B, et al. Impact of implementation of a novel antimicrobial stewardship tool on antibiotic use in nursing homes: A prospective cluster randomized control pilot study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014;69:2265-2273.
33. [^](#) Hughes C, Ellard DR, Campbell A, et al. Developing evidence-based guidance for assessment of suspected infections in care home residents. *BMC Geriatr* 2020;20(1):59.
34. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#), [f](#), [g](#), [h](#), [i](#) Olsho, L. E. W., Bertrand, R. M., Edwards, et al. Does adherence to the Loeb minimum criteria reduce antibiotic prescribing rates in nursing homes? *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2013;14:309.e1-309.e7.
35. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#) D'Agata ED, Loeb MB, Mitchell SL. Challenges in assessing nursing home residents with advanced dementia for suspected urinary tract infections. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013 Jan;61(1):62-66.
36. [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#), [e](#) Doernberg SB, Dudas V, Trivedi KK. Implementation of an antimicrobial stewardship program targeting residents with urinary tract infections in three community long-term care facilities: A quasi-experimental study using

- time-series analysis. *Antimicrob Resis Infect Control* 2015;4:54.
37. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Kistler, C. E., Zimmerman, S., Scales, et al. The antibiotic prescribing pathway for presumed urinary tract infections in nursing home residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2017;65:1719-1725.
 38. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g}Penney CC, Boyd SE, Mansfield A, Dalton J, O'Keefe J, Daley PK. Antimicrobial use and suitability in long-term care facilities: a retrospective cross-sectional study. *Official J Assoc Med Microbiol Infect Dis Canada*. 2018;3:209-216.
 39. [^]Bone RC, Balk RA, Cerra FB, et al. The ACCP/SCCM Consensus Conference Committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. *Chest* 1992;101:1644-1655.
 40. [^]Seymour CW, Liu VX, Iwashyna TJ, et al. Assessment of clinical criteria for sepsis: For the Third International Consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016;315:762-774.
 41. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Juthani-Mehta, M., Tinetti, M., Perrelli, E., Towle, V., Van Ness, P. H., & Quagliarello, V. Diagnostic accuracy of criteria for urinary tract infection in a cohort of nursing home residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007;55:1072-1077.
 42. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f}Wang L, Lansing B, Symons K, et al. Infection rate and colonization with antibiotic-resistant organisms in skilled nursing facility residents with indwelling devices. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;31:1797-1804.
 43. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g}Brown MM, Sloane PD, Kistler CE, et al. Evaluation and management of the nursing home resident with respiratory symptoms and an equivocal chest X-ray report. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2016;17:1164.e1.-1164.e5.
 44. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g}Feldstein D, Sloane PD, Weber D, Ward K, Reed D, Zimmerman S. Current prescribing practices for skin and soft tissue infections in nursing homes. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18:265-270.
 45. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i}Eure T, LaPlace LL, Melchreit R, et al. Measuring antibiotic appropriateness for urinary tract infections in nursing home residents. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2017;38:998– 1001.
 46. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h}Armbruster CE, Prenovost K, Mobley HL, Mody L. How often do clinically diagnosed catheter-associated urinary tract infections in nursing homes meet standardized criteria? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2017;65:395-401.
 47. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n}Uribe-Cano D, Bahranian M, Jolles SA, et al. Comparison of criteria for determining appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing in nursing homes. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2021:1-4.
 48. ^{a, b}Crnich CJ, Drinka PJ. Improving the management of urinary tract infections in nursing homes: It's time to stop the tail from wagging the dog. *Ann Long Term Care* 2014:43–47.
 49. ^{a, b}Ford JH, Vranas L, Coughlin D, et al. Effect of a standard vs enhanced implementation strategy to improve antibiotic prescribing in nursing homes: A trial protocol of the improving management of urinary tract infections in nursing institutions through facilitated implementation (IMUNIFI) study. *JAMA Network Open* 2019;2(9):e199526.
 50. ^{a, b, c, d}Zabarsky TF, Sethi AK, Donskey CJ. Sustained reduction in inappropriate treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in a long-term care facility through an educational intervention. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:476-480.
 51. ^{a, b}Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infections in long-term-care facilities. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2001;22:167-75.
 52. ^{a, b}Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infection in long-term-care facility residents. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;31:757-61.
 53. ^{a, b, c, d}van Buul LW, Veenhuizen RB, Achterberg WP, et al. Antibiotic prescribing in Dutch nursing homes: How appropriate is it?. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:229-237.
 54. ^{a, b, c, d}Lemoine L, Dupont C, Capron A, et al. Prospective evaluation of the management of urinary tract infections in

- 134 French nursing homes. *Medecine et Maladies Infectieuses* 2018;48:359-364.
55. ^{a, b, c, d}Lee C, Phillips C, Vanstone JR. Educational intervention to reduce treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in long-term care. *BMJ open quality*. 2018 Dec 1;7(4):e000483.
56. [^]Eke-Usim AC, Rogers MAM, Gibson KE, Crnich C, Mody L, on behalf of the Targeted Infection Prevention Study Team. Constitutional symptoms trigger diagnostic testing before antibiotic prescribing in high-risk nursing home residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2016;64:1975-1980.
57. [^]Kistler CE, Beeber AS, Zimmerman S, et al. Nursing home clinicians' decision to prescribe antibiotics for a suspected urinary tract infection: Findings from a discrete choice experiment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020;21:675-682.
58. [^]Rowe TA, Juthani-Mehta M. Urinary tract infection in older adults. *Aging Health* 2013;9:519-528.
59. ^{a, b, c}Balogun SA, Philbrick JT. Delirium, a symptom of UTI in the elderly: fact or fable? A systemic review. *Can Geriatr J* 2014;17:22-26.
60. ^{a, b}Mayne S, Sundvall PD, Gunnarsson R. Confusion strongly associated with antibiotic prescribing due to suspected urinary tract infections in nursing homes. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:274–281.
61. ^{a, b, c, d}Mayne S, Bowden A, Sundvall PD, Gunnarsson R. The scientific evidence for a potential link between confusion and urinary tract infection in the elderly is still confusing—a systematic literature review. *BMC geriatrics*. 2019 Dec;19(1):1-5.
62. ^{a, b, c, d}Krinitzki D, Kasina R, Klöppel S, Lenouvel E. Associations of delirium with urinary tract infections and asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults aged 65 and older: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2021;69:3312-3323.
63. [^]Juthani-Mehta M, Drickamer MA, Towle V, Zhang Y, Tinetti ME, Quagliarello VJ. Nursing home practitioner survey of diagnostic criteria for urinary tract infections. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1986–1990.
64. [^]Juthani-Mehta M, Quagliarello V, Perrelli E, Towle V, Van Ness PH, Tinetti M. Clinical features to identify urinary tract infection in nursing home residents: A cohort study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;67:963-970.
65. [^]Penna AR, Sancken CL, Stone ND, et al. Documentation of acute change in mental status in nursing homes highlights opportunity to augment infection surveillance criteria. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:848-850.
66. [^]Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: The confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;113:941–948.
67. ^{a, b, c}Rowe TA, Jump RL, Andersen BM, et al. Reliability of non-localizing signs and symptoms as indicators of the presence of infection in nursing-home residents. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 Dec 9:1-10.
68. [^]Wald-Dickler N, Spellberg B. Short-course antibiotic therapy—replacing constantine units with “shorter is better”. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019;69:1476-1479.
69. [^]Daneman N, Gruneir A, Bronskill SE, et al. Prolonged antibiotic treatment in long-term care: role of the prescriber. *JAMA Int Med* 2013;173:673–682.
70. ^{a, b, c}Kabbani S, Wang SW, Ditz LL, et al. Description of antibiotic use variability among US nursing homes using electronic health record data. *Antimicrob Steward Healthcare Epidemiol* 2021;1, e58:1-7.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2021.207>
71. [^]Langenstroer MC, Jolles S, Hossin T, et al. Antibiotic postprescribing modification opportunities among nursing home



residents treated for urinary tract infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2022.202>